But let us take a broader look at the problem of assets.

Table 8-1.—The share of national saving in changes in national wealth and combined net worth, period totals: 1897 to 1949

Period	Change in wealth	Change in combined net worth	Saving (3)	Share of saving in change in (percent)—	
				Wealth (4)	Net worth
1807-1900 1901-12 1913-22 1923-29 1930-33 1934-39 1940-45 1946-49 1901-49 1807-1949	Billions \$19 77 169 105 -109 65 175 328 810 830	### Billions \$103	Billions \$8 45 87 112 -20 11 35 170 439 447	42 58 51 107 18 17 20 52 54 54	44 40 49 10 16 13 48 43

Source: R. W. Goldsmith, "A Study of Savings in the United States," vol. I, p. 194, 1955.

This table reveals the increases in national wealth and net worth from 1897 to 1949. Assets seem to rise in all periods except in 1930–33 when there was a substantial deflation, As a general rule, savings account for roughly one-half of the increase in wealth, but there are important exceptions: 1930–33, 1934–39, 1940–45. Where savings are not the explanation, the major factor is of course the increase in the price level. The explanation of the greater rise of national net worth than wealth is given as follows by Goldsmith: 64

While national wealth changes essentially reflect three factors only—cumulated domestic saving, changes in the prices of tangible assets, and, though much less importantly, the net foreign balance—combined national net worth shows in addition the effects of realized capital gains and losses, and of unrealized appreciation or depreciation due to changes in the prices of equity securities. Combined national net worth is, furthermore, affected by certain duplications, such as the inclusion of the market value of corporate stock and the balance sheet value of participations in unincorporated business enterprises, first in the calculation of the net worth of the owners and then again in that of the balance sheet value of the equity of corporations and unincorporated businesses. Combined national net worth may therefore be expected to show wider fluctuations, at least in absolute terms, than national wealth, with the result that cumulated saving accounts for a smaller proportion of changes in the former than in the latter.

⁶⁴ Ibid., pp. 194-195.