Table 3.—Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages and selected variables, 1947-58 1

	Percent change in straight-time hourly earnings on—				
Industry	Percent change, production worker em- ployment	Percent change, output	Rate of return on equity before taxes	Rate of return on equity after taxes	Rate of return on equity before taxes lagged 1 year
20. Food 21. Tobacco 22. Textiles. 23. Apparel. 24. Lumber. 25. Furniture. 26. Paper. 27. Printing. 28. Chemicals. 29. Petroleum. 30. Rubber. 31. Leather. 32. Stone, clay, and glass. 33. Primary metals. 34. Fabricated metals. 35. Machinery, except electrical. 36. Electrical machinery. 37. Transportation equipment. 38. Instruments.	. 252 050 . 049 170 . 266 . 681 . 283 . 192 . 381 . 139 131 . 317	-0. 638 099 . 173 409 . 012 290 344 . 098 005 . 210 063 145 139 014 189 . 214 175 128 . 237	0. 234	0. 353 . 168 . 835 . 122 - 322 . 533 . 529 . 712 . 178 . 787 . 072 - 371 . 188 . 009 . 449 . 525 - 243 . 307 . 281	0. 805 017 709 395 201 870 287 287 317 792 439 173 110 7112 671 -617 -301

 $^{^{\}rm l}$ The 5-percent level of significance is 0.6021; the 1-percent level is 0.7348. Source: See app. A.

This approach was carried one step further by testing for the partial effects of both lagged profits and employment changes; the results are shown in table 4. Lagged profits continued to be strongly correlated to wage changes, with coefficients above the 5-percent level in nine industries. By contrast, employment, while a more important variable than was indicated by simple correlation coefficients, still exceeded the 5-percent level in only two cases. Consequently, the same general conclusions were supported.