600100775

STUDY PAPER NO. 21

POSTWAR MOVEMENT OF PRICES AND WAGES IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

BY

HAROLD M. LEVINSON

AND

SUPPLEMENTARY TECHNICAL MATERIAL TO THE STAFF REPORT

 \mathbf{BY}

GEORGE W. BLEILE AND THOMAS A. WILSON

MATERIALS PREPARED IN CONNECTION WITH THE STUDY OF EMPLOYMENT, GROWTH, AND PRICE LEVELS

FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES



m7/4/no.2/TGERS UNIVERSITY

Printed for the use of the Joint Economic Committee

UNITED STATES

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON: 1960

010-2274

50505

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

(Created pursuant to sec. 5(a) of Public Law 304, 79th Cong.)

PAUL H. DOUGLAS, Illinois, Chairman WRIGHT PATMAN, Texas, Vice Chairman

SENATE

JOHN SPARKMAN, Alabama
J. WILLIAM FULBRIGHT, Arkansas
JOSEPH C. O'MAHONEY, Wyoming
JOHN F. KENNEDY, Massachusetts
PRESCOTT BUSH, Connecticut
JOHN MARSHALL BUTLER, Maryland
JACOB K. JAVITS, New York

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

RICHARD BOLLING, Missouri
HALE BOGGS, Louisiana
HENRY S. REUSS, Wisconsin
FRANK M. COFFIN, Maine
THOMAS B. CURTIS, Missouri
CLARENCE E. KILBURN, New York
WILLIAM B. WIDNALL, New Jersey

STUDY OF EMPLOYMENT, GROWTH, AND PRICE LEVELS

(Pursuant to S. Con. Res. 13, 86th Cong., 1st sess.)

Otto Eckstein, Technical Director John W. Lehman, Administrative Officer James W. Knowles, Special Economic Counsel

11

This is part of a series of papers being prepared for consideration by the Joint Economic Committee in connection with its "Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels." The committee and the committee staff neither approve nor disapprove of the findings of the individual authors.



LETTERS OF TRANSMITTAL

JANUARY 18, 1960.

To Members of the Joint Economic Committee:

Submitted herewith for the consideration of the members of the Joint Economic Committee and others is study paper No. 21, "Postwar Movement of Prices and Wages in Manufacturing Industries."

This is among the number of subjects which the Joint Economic Committee requested individual scholars to examine and report on in connection with the committee's study of "Employment,

Growth, and Price Levels."

The findings are entirely those of the authors, and the committee and the committee staff indicate neither approval nor disapproval by this publication.

Paul H. Douglas, Chairman, Joint Economic Committee.

January 12, 1960.

Hon. Paul H. Douglas, Chairman, Joint Economic Committee, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

Dear Senator Douglas: Transmitted herewith is one of the series of papers prepared for the study of "Employment, Growth, and Price Levels" by outside consultants and members of the staff. The author of this paper is Harold M. Levinson of the University of Michigan.

All papers are presented as prepared by the authors.

Otto Eckstein, Technical Director, Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels.

CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	1 1 2 7 13 17
Sources and limitations of dataIV. Summary	21
APPENDIXES	
Appendix A. Sources of basic data	$\frac{23}{49}$ 54
TABLES	
Table 1. Simple cross-section correlation coefficients between wage changes and selected variables, 1947–58. Table 2. Cross-section regress equations: Wages. Table 3. Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages and selected variables, 1947–58. Table 4. Time series partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages and employment and profits, 1947–58. Table 5. Changes in wages, profit rates, concentration ratios, union strength, and employment in manufacturing industries, 1947–53 and 1953–58. Table 6. Wage-fringe adjustments in selected manufacturing industries, 1946–58. Table 7. Basic trends in the steel and automobile industries, 1947–58. Table 9. Simple cross-section correlation coefficients between price changes and selected variables, 1947–58. Table 10. Cross-section regression equations: Prices. Table 11. Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices and selected variables, 1947–58. Table 12. Time series partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices, output, and hourly earnings, 1947–58. Table 13. Basic trends in all manufacturing, 1947–58. Table 14. Ratio of indexes in specific industries relative to all manufacturing, 1947–58.	3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 11 14 15 16 17 17 18 20
SUPPLEMENTAL STAFF MATERIAL TO THE STAFF REPORT Technical Note No. 1—The service section: Data on output, employment, prices, and income, by George W. BleileTechnical Note No. 2—Productivity and output in the postwar period, by	RT 63 129
Thomas A. Wilson	110



STUDY PAPER NO. 21

POSTWAR MOVEMENT OF PRICES AND WAGES IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

I. Introduction 1

This study paper is designed primarily to present the underlying data and the statistical procedures developed as part of the analysis of the postwar inflation prepared for consideration by the Joint Economic Committee of the Congress.² In general, the present report does not attempt to carry the analysis of the data beyond that already presented in the staff report; rather, the major purpose is to make the basic data generally available, and to present the results of the various statistical procedures which were employed in analyzing the movement of wages, prices, and profits in manufacturing industries from 1947 to 1958.

Sources and Limitations

In order to evaluate the major factors which might underlie these movements in the several manufacturing sectors of the economy during the period since 1947, data for a number of variables were obtained for each of 19 2-digit Standard Industrial Classifications in manufacturing. All of these basic series are presented in appendix A, together with a description of the sources and methodology used. At this point, however, a number of technical aspects of the data should be noted.

Of particular importance is the fact that the underlying figures were gathered by different Government agencies, often utilizing different sampling techniques and different methods of classification. Thus the data on earnings and employment were obtained on an establishment basis, with each establishment assigned to a particular industry on the basis of its principal product, measured in value terms. The figures for profits, sales, stockholders' equity, and depreciation and depletion, on the other hand, were obtained by the FTC-SEC on a corporationwide basis; the data for the entire corporation were then assigned to the industrial classification on the basis of the corporation's

assistance throughout.

² For the general discussion of the postwar inflation, see the "Staff Report on Employment, Growth, and Price Levels," ch. V. (Government Printing Office, Dec. 24, 1959).

I I have received much helpful assistance from several Government agencies in the course of preparing the present study. In particular, I would like to express my appreciation to Harry Douty and Lily Mary David of the BLS Division of Wages and Industrial Relations; to Sidney Jaffe, Allan Searle, and Helen Hald of the BLS Division of Prices and Cost of Living; to Jack Alterman of the BLS Division of Productivity; to Gladys Miller, Robert Stein, and Sophia Cooper of the BLS Division of Manpower and Employment; to Hyman Lewis of the BLS Office of Labor Economics; and to Louis Paradiso of the U.S. Department of Commerce. Thomas Wilson of the staff of the Joint Economic Committee provided estensive help in the statistical computations; and Stanley Heckman and Hamilton Gewehr provided general assistance throughout.

principal product, measured in terms of annual sales volume. And finally, concentration ratios were computed from data based on the value of product shipments directly, irrespective of the establishment

or corporation involved.

As a result of these differences in concept and scope, the several series are not completely comparable. To a substantial degree, however, the varying bases of classification are probably corrected by the fact that the 2-digit industry classifications used here are quite broad; consequently, they would normally embrace both the primary and the great majority of secondary products produced by any given establishment. In the case of corporatewide classification, however, there is a greater possibility that the profits figures will be overstated or understated. Classification on a product basis directly,

of course, raises no serious issues.

The meaning and limitations on the use of concentration ratios also deserve some preliminary discussion. In general, concentration ratios provide a measure of the proportion of the total value of shipments or of total employment in a particular manufacturing industry which is accounted for by the largest companies in that industry. As such, they may provide a rough measure of the extent of competitive pressures existing in the product market, on the presumption that the larger the proportion of the product value which is sold by the largest firms, the greater is the "degree of monopoly" involved. however, important limitations on the use of concentration ratios for this purpose. On the one hand, such ratios do not reflect the pressure of competition from substitute products, such as plastics for metals; nor do they reflect the extent to which imports may compete in the domestic market. As a result, concentration ratios may overstate the degree of monopoly in a particular situation. On the other hand, these ratios do not reflect the extent to which the relevant product market may be regional or local in character, as in the case of goods having high transportation costs. In these instances, ratios based on product value shipments for the entire country tend to understate the effective degree of concentration.3 Nevertheless, concentration ratios can provide at least a general frame of reference for evaluating whether a particular industrial classification is "more" or "less" competitive.

II. WAGE MOVEMENTS IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

A number of statistical analyses were carried out relating the percentage changes in straight time hourly earnings in the 19 manufacturing industries with the movements of several other variables, including the percentage changes in production worker employment, output, productivity per production worker man-hour, the level of profits (as a rate of return on equity), and concentration ratios.

Some of the results of a complete year-to-year cross section analysis are summarized in table 1; in addition, a complete matrix of all possible simple correlation coefficients is shown in appendix B.⁴ The simple coefficients listed in table 1 suggest several important points. Of considerable interest is the fact that no significant relationship was

³ An excellent presentation of these and other limitations on the use of concentration ratios can be found in "Concentration in American Industry," Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly, at pp. 3-6.

⁴ All the regressions and correlation coefficients presented in the following discussion are single equation least squares estimates. All equations fitted were linear.

evident between the year-to-year changes in earnings and percentage changes in output, production worker employment, or productivity per production worker man-hour. On the other hand, the data indicate a strong interrelationship, particularly after 1951, between hourly earnings, profit levels, and 1954 concentration ratios. With the exception of the year 1955–56, earnings and profits were very highly correlated; the relationship of earnings to concentration ratios, while weaker, was still quite marked.

Table 1.—Simple cross section correlation coefficients between wage changes and selected variables in 19 manufacturing industries, 1947-58 ¹

		Strai	ght time	earnings	on-			Concen	
Year	Produc- tion worker employ- ment	per pro- duction		Profits before taxes	Profits after taxes	Con- centra- tion ratios	Output on profits before taxes	Profits before taxes	Profits after taxes
1947-48	0.417 050 563 .171 .087 .249 .203 .233 197 .230 576	-0. 248 . 162 . 362 247 . 118 . 251 279 . 102 . 354 . 390 . 049	0. 195 .024 372 .078 .039 .332 067 .383 .086 .372 440	0. 012 .616 087 .178 .598 .550 .628 .514 .055 .546 .392	0. 138 .777 097 . 127 .707 . 689 . 520 . 600 . 146 . 544 . 484	0. 226 .336 .035 .045 .283 .423 .463 .383 .428 .607 .549	0.463 .237 .654 .631 .491 .724 059 .500 .259 .726 .222	-0. 108 . 447 . 307 . 361 . 458 . 559 . 553 . 447 . 512 . 506	0. 071 . 527 . 340 . 371 . 463 . 537 . 598 . 460 . 603 . 755 . 698

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.4555. The 1 percent level is 0.5751.

Sources: See apps. A and B.

The use of simple correlation techniques may, however, yield misleading results. In particular, it will be noted in table 1 that profits were often significantly, though rather sporadically, related to changes in output. In order to test the relationship between earnings and profits, after correcting for the effects of changes in output, partial correlation coefficients were computed for each year. The general conclusions indicated above were not greatly affected, although the coefficients fell to somewhat below the 5 percent level of significance in 1954–55 and 1956–57. The partial correlation coefficients, using profits before taxes as the profit variable, were as follows: ⁵

7			0.627
1947-48	-0.009	1900-04	0. 0
1948–49		1954-55	. 403
1948-49	. 020	1001 002222222	. 034
1949-50	. 223	1955-56	432
1950-51	. 167	1956-57	. 404
1951-52		1957-58	. 559
1059 59	47h		

Finally, two multiple cross-section regressions were computed for the subperiods 1947–53 and 1953–58, relating changes in hourly earnings to (1) the average level of profits before taxes, (2) the percent change in production worker employment, and (3) the percent change in output. The results, presented in table 2, were again consistent with the previous findings. For the earlier period, the partial correlations coefficients were not significant for any variable; for the years 1953–58,

⁵ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.4683; the 1 percent level is 0.5897.

however, the coefficient for profits was significant at well above the 5 percent level, while both employment and output were of virtually no significance whatever.⁶

Table 2.—Cross-section regression equations: Wages

			=	
Independent variable	Regression coefficient	Partial cor- relation coefficient	Beta co- efficient	Standard error of beta coefficient
1947-53:				
Average profit rate before taxes Percent change:	0.7430	0.3028	0.4196	0.3409
Production worker employment Output	2345 . 1329	2009 . 1787	4007 . 3798	. 5044 . 5398
Average profit rate before taxes Percent change:	1.7498	1.6590	. 6797	. 2003
Production worker employmentOutput	. 0034 0526	. 0046 —. 1055	. 0049 1139	. 2759 . 2770
Regression constants:				
1947–53 1953–58 Multiple correlation coefficient:				19. 58 7. 28
1947-53 1953-58				
Coefficient of multiple determination: 1947-53				$R^2 = .2129$
1953–58 Degrees of freedom				$R^2 = 1.4528$ N-4=15
4.74				

¹ Significant at the 5-percent level.

In addition to these cross-section tests, some time series analyses were also conducted for each two-digit classification. In view of the limited number of annual observations available, and the rather sharp structural readjustments occurring in the economy as a whole during the immediate postwar and Korean periods, the use of time series is subject to important limitations; nevertheless, the results were generally quite consistent with those indicated by the cross-section data.

Table 3 indicates, for each two-digit industry, the simple correlation coefficients between the year-to-year percentage change in straight-time hourly earnings and the percentage changes in employment and output; in addition, coefficients are given for the relationship between earnings and three different measures of profit levels. There was no important relationship evident with respect to either output or employment. In the case of profits, however, the correlations were consistently stronger, particularly for profits before taxes, lagged 1 year. In the latter instance, the correlation coefficients were at a 5-percent level of significance or better in 9 out of 19 industries, including 5 which were at a 1-percent level.

⁶ Another bit of corroborative evidence can be found in a similar study of 61 smaller (3-digit) industries conducted by Conrad. On the basis of both simple and multiple cross-section regression analysis, he found a "remarkably low degree of relationship" between average annual changes in production workers' wages and output, employment, and productivity. He did not test for the role profits. See Alfred H. Conrad, "The Share of Wages and Salaries in Manufacturing Incomes, 1947–56," Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels, Study Paper No. 9, pp. 149–152.

Table 3.—Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages and selected variables, 1947-58 1

	Percent	change in st	traight-time	hourly earni	ngs on—
Industry	Percent change, production worker em- ployment	Percent change, output	Rate of return on equity before taxes	Rate of return on equity after taxes	Rate of return on equity before taxes lagged 1 year
20. Food 21. Tobacco 22. Textiles. 23. Apparel 24. Lumber. 25. Furniture. 26. Paper. 27. Printing. 28. Chemicals. 29. Petroleum. 30. Rubber. 31. Leather. 32. Stone, clay, and glass. 33. Primary metals. 34. Fabricated metals. 35. Machinery, except electrical. 36. Electrical machinery. 37. Transportation equipment. 38. Instruments.	476 408 027 252 050 049 170 266 681 283 192 381 131 131 139	-0. 638 099 . 173 409 . 012 290 344 005 . 210 063 145 . 139 014 189 . 214 175 . 128 . 237	0. 234	0. 353 . 168 . 835 . 122 . 322 . 532 . 529 . 712 . 178 . 787 . 072 . 371 . 188 . 009 . 449 . 525 . 243 . 307 . 328	0. 805 . 017 . 709 . 395 201 . 805 . 749 . 870 . 287 . 317 . 792 . 439 . 173 . 110 . 712 . 617 301 . 167

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ The 5-percent level of significance is 0.6021; the 1-percent level is 0.7348.

Source: See app. A.

This approach was carried one step further by testing for the partial effects of both lagged profits and employment changes; the results are shown in table 4. Lagged profits continued to be strongly correlated to wage changes, with coefficients above the 5-percent level in nine industries. By contrast, employment, while a more important variable than was indicated by simple correlation coefficients, still exceeded the 5-percent level in only two cases. Consequently, the same general conclusions were supported.

Table 4.—Time series partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages, employment, and lagged profits, 1947-58 1

	cent change	lation ² of per in straight- y earnings on—
Industry	Percent change in production worker employment	Rates of return on stockholders' equity before taxes, lagged 1 year
20. Food	. 228 . 595 . 420 — 049 . 213 . 680 . 550 . 380 . 385 . 186 . 050 . 386 . 050 . 386 . 291 . 333	0. 834 072 821 395 282 879 798 866 240 316 847 538 186 707 692 499 393 008

¹ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6319; the 1 percent level is 0.7646. ² These are partial correlation coefficients corresponding to the regression coefficients in the equation $W_{\bullet}=a+bE+cP$, where W_{\bullet} is the percent change in straight time hourly earnings, E is the percent change in production worker employment, and P is the rate of return on stockholders' equity, lagged 1 year.

Unfortunately, no recent data were available to evaluate the possible relationship between wage changes and union strength. The most recent study of the extent of union organization in different industries was made in 1946;7 it is probable, however, that the strength of unionism has not changed greatly in most industries since that time. In any case, on the basis of the best estimates available, there does not appear to be any general relationship between union strength and wage changes. This is suggested by the figures in table 5, in which industries are ranked in accordance with their percentage increases in earnings during two major subperiods, together with data on estimated union strength, average profit levels, concentration ratios, and production worker employment in those industries. During both of the periods 1947-53 and 1953-58, the six industries which had the greatest increases in hourly earnings ranged from quite weakly unionized sectors, such as food and chemicals, to such strongly organized industries as primary metals. Contrariwise, the half dozen industries with the lowest increases in earnings included apparel, which was highly organized, as well as textiles and leather at the other extreme. Union strength per se therefore, does not appear to have been an important factor explaining developments in the wage structure; it must be stressed, however, that it does not necessarily follow from this that collective bargaining has not had an effect on the wage level. For it may be that wages are increased in the more strongly unionized industries by more than would otherwise be the case, and that other industries, both union and nonunion, adopt the same "pattern." Thus the lack of any evident relationship between wage changes and

^{7&}quot;Extent of Collective Bargaining and Union Recognition, 1946," Monthly Labor Review, May 1947.

union strength is not sufficient to demonstrate that unionism is purely a passive factor.

Table 5.—Changes in wages, profit rates, concentration ratios, union strength, and employment in manufacturing industries, 1947-53 and 1953-58

Industry	Percent change in straight time earnings	Average profit rates, before taxes	Concentra- tion ratios	Estimated union strength, percent	Percent change in production worker employ- ment
			1947-53		
Chemicals Petroleum refining Primary metals Food Paper Printing Instruments Stone, clay, and glass Lumber Fabricated metals Nonelectrical machinery All manufacturing Furniture Transportation equipment Tobacco Electrical machinery Rubber Textiles Leather Apparel	45. 5	26. 0 19. 1 22. 8 20. 2 26. 2 23. 3 24. 6 26. 6 23. 9 26. 0 33. 1 20. 0 31. 2 25. 5 20. 3 15. 5	59. 4 99. 1 81. 1 22. 4 5. 0 2. 3 69. 9 57. 9 1. 5 19. 3 31. 1 7. 3 83. 2 100. 0 72. 2 51. 2 11. 9 2. 3 5. 7	25-50 50-75 75-100 25-50 50-75 75-100 50-75 50-75 50-75 50-75 75-100 25-50 75-100 0-25 25-50 75-100 75-100 75-100	5.2 1.4 5.3 -6.0 8.8 7.2 17.7 4.1 -10.6 13.2 2.7 5.8 1.6 6.6 47.2 -13.5 31.0 0.2 -10.8 7.1
			1953-58		
Primary metals Tobacco. Chemicals Paper Pood Fabricated metals. Nonelectrical machinery Instruments Petroleum refining Electrical machinery Transportation equipment. Rubber Stone, clay, and glass. All manufacturing. Printing. Furniture Lumber. Leather. Leather. Apparel. Toxtiles	24. 9 24. 6 24. 3 24. 1 24. 1 23. 0 22. 9 21. 6 18. 1 16. 1 15. 9 14. 9 13. 7	21. 0 24. 0 24. 3 19. 9 17. 7 18. 9 20. 9 23. 8 14. 9 24. 6 30. 7 22. 7 24. 4 20. 3 21. 6 18. 7 19. 6 12. 8 9. 9	81.1 100.0 59.4 5.0 22.4 19.3 31.1 69.9 99.1 72.2 82.3 51.2 57.9 2.3 5.2 2.3 5.1 1.9	75-100 25-50 25-50 50-75 25-50 50-75 75-100 50-75 75-100 75-100 75-100 50-75 75-100 25-50 25-50 25-50 25-50 0-25	-21. 2 -15. 9 -7. 3 -0. 6 -8. 9 -14. 5 -20. 2 -15. 8 -18. 9 -27. 1 -15. 6 -9. 2 -15. 7 -9. 2 -15. 6 -9. 2 -15. 8 -4 -7. 1 -20. 2 -8. 4 -6. 9 -2. 2

Sources: See app. A.

WAGE PATTERNS IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

The general forces underlying wage changes, as developed in the preceding section, are also given support by an analysis of the collective bargaining settlements negotiated in several manufacturing industries, or in companies generally representative of entire industries, during the postwar period. These settlements are summarized in table 6 for each year and for major subperiods. For purposes of analysis, they are separated into two broad groups according to the general degree of concentration in the industries involved. In addition, the "key" bargains are designated for each period.⁸

⁸ The term "key" bargain is used here to designate the collective agreement which is widely alleged to establish a standard, or "pattern," of wage-fringe adjustments which is accepted by other industries or companies as the basis for subsequent agreements. The steel and automobile settlements are usually given this status because of their size and the strength of the union in them, even though other settlements may, in point of time, precede them.

Table 6.—Wage-fringe adjustments in selected manufacturing industries, 1946-58

Company or industry	Total settlements, 1946-50
High concentration:	
United States Steel (key)	62½ cents plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance.
General Motors (key)	56 cents plus 6 holidays plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory incurance (includes 11 cent automatic increase)
International Harvester	56 cents plus 6 holidays plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance (includes 11-cent automatic increase). 53½ cents plus 6 holidays plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance (includes 3-cent automatic increase).
Rubber (4 companies)	52½ cents plus 6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance.
General Electric	52 cents plus 7 holidays, plus contributory pensions, plus contributory insurance.
Armour	55½ cents plus 6 holidays, 58 cents plus 6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncon- tributory insurance.
Anaconda Copper Lockheed Aircraft	57 cents plus 6 holidays, plus contributory insurance.
Glenn Martin North American Aviation	tributory pensions previously in effect). 43 cents plus 7 holidays, plus contributory insurance. 47.46 cents plus 6 holidays (contributory insurance previously in
Bethlehem Shipbuilding Pacific Shipbuilding	effect. 37 cents plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance.
Sinclair Oil	47 cents (new construction). 79 cents (includes 25 cents negotiated in 1945) plus contributory insurance (6 holidays plus contributory pensions previously in effect).
American Viscose	55 cents plus 6 holidays, plus contributory pensions (noncontributory insurance previously in effect).
Low concentration: Full Fashioned Hosiery	
Northern Cotton Textiles	tory insurance previously in effect). 54 cents plus 6 holidays (noncontributory insurance previously in
American Woolen	effect). 57 cents plus 6 holidays (noncontributory insurance previously in
Men's Clothing	effect). 52½ cents (6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncon-
Women's Clothing	tributory insurance previously in effect). 56 cents (6½ holidays for time workers, plus noncontributory pen- sions, plus noncontributory insurance previously in effect).
International Shoe	42 cents plus 6 holidays. 42½ cents plus 6 holidays (noncontributory insurance previously in effect).
	Total settlements, 1951-54
High concentration: United States Steel (key)	2014 cente plus 6 helidere
International Harvester	29½ cents plus 6 holidays. 32 cents (includes 31-cent automatic increase). 28 cents (all automatic).
Rubber (4 companies)	32 cents. 33 cents (estimated; includes 9-cent automatic increase).
Armour	31½ cents plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncontributory insurance.
Aluminum Co. (steelworkers). Anaconda Copper Lockheed Aircraft	35½ cents (estimated). 33 cents plus noncontributory pensions.
Lockbeed Aircraft	36 cents (includes 3-cent automatic increase). 43½ cents plus noncontributory pensions (includes 24-cent automatic
North American Aviation	increase). 38½ cents plus noncontributory pensions (includes 15-cent automatic increase).
Bethlehem Shipbuilding Pacific Shipbuilding	52½ cents plus 6 holidays.
Sinclair Oil	55 cents (new construction) plus noncontributory insurance. 31½ cents plus 1 holiday (estimated; includes 3-cent automatic increase).
American ViscoseLow concentration:	15 cents (includes 11-cent automatic increase).
Full Fashioned Hosiery Northern Cotton Textiles American Woolen Men's clothing	25 percent reduction in rates (estimated). 4 cents (includes 3-cent automatic increase).
Men's clothing	4 cents. 12½ cents. 14 cents.
International Shoe	10½ cents plus noncontributory insurance.
Massachusetts Shoe	8 cents plus 1/2 holiday.

Table 6.-Wage-fringe adjustments in selected manufacturing industries, 1946-58—Continued

Company or industry	Total settlements, 1955-58
High concentration:	
United States Steel (key)	59½ cents plus SUB 1 plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 34-cent automatic increase).
General Motors (key)	47½ cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 31-cent automatic
International Harvester	increase). 49 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 32-cent automatic
	ingrage)
Rubber (4 companies)	43 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday. 40 cents (estimated; includes 37-cent automatic increase).
General Electric	54 cents (includes 28-cent automatic increase).
Aluminum Co. (steelworkers)	63 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 36-cent automatic
Anaconda Copper	increase). 37 cents plus 1 holiday (includes 14-cent automatic increase).
Anaconda Copper Lockheed Aircraft	39 cents plus I holiday (estimated; includes 1-cent automatic increase). 41 cents (estimated; includes 38-cent automatic increase).
Glenn Martin	36 cents plus 1 holiday (includes 19-cent automatic increase).
Bethlehem Shipbuilding	66 cents plus 1 holiday. 51 cents plus 6 holidays. 41% cents (estimated) plus 1 holiday. 41% cents (estimated) plus 1 holiday.
Pacific Shipbuilding	41% cents (estimated) plus 1 holiday.
American Viscose	13½ cents (includes 8½-cent automatic increase).
Low concentration: Full Fashioned Hosiery	Association bargaining discontinued after 1954.
Northern cotton textiles (Berk-	7½ cents.2
shire-Hathaway). American Woolen	Out of business after 1954.
Men's clothing Women's clothing	12½ cents plus 1 holiday.
Women's clothing	14 cents. 14½ cents plus noncontributory pensions.
Massachusetts Shoe	18 cents plus 1/2 holiday

¹ SUB=Supplementary unemployment benefit. ² Association bargaining discontinued after 1954. The it had been a major concern in the previous association. The Berkshire-Hathaway Co. was substituted because

Source: Wage Chronology Series, Bureau of Labor Statistics and data published by the Bureau of Naonal Affairs. Some added information was obtained from personal correspondence. tional Affairs.

Two important characteristics of postwar wage patterns can be noted from the table. First, the general level of settlements during the period 1946-50 were very similar for the great majority of firms and industries covered; in particular, no important differences were evident as between the high versus the low concentration sectors. During this period, five separate rounds of wage-fringe increases occurred. With few exceptions, manufacturing industries or companies, regardless of their product market characteristics, followed similar patterns. In the few instances of substantial downward modification of the pattern, as in aircraft and shipbuilding, the differences were made up in the 1951–54 period.

Beginning in 1951, however, very substantial deviations began to develop, primarily in line with the competitive characteristics of the industry. In the nonconcentrated sectors—textiles, clothing, and leather (shoes)—settlements fell very far below the pattern. In addition, the one company in the concentrated sector which fell below—American Viscose, manufacturers of rayon yarn—was subject to severe competition from the development of other synthetic fibers. In effect, those manufacturing industries which were subject to increasing competitive pressures in the product market and in which profits were being seriously curtailed, did not match the pattern established by the more profitable, and in most cases more concentrated, industries.

This general situation continued through 1955-58. The textile and clothing industries, including American Viscose, and the shoe firms continued to reach agreements for below the level set in the better situated industries. Within the latter, more diversification also developed, although the bulk of settlements ranged between 40 and 50 cents per hour. The major exceptions were in industries organized by the steel union—steel, aluminum, and Atlantic coast shipbuilding (Bethlehem Steel Co.); in these sectors, wage increases were 59½, 63, and 66 cents, respectively (plus fringes), over the 4-year period.

The second point to be noted from the data is the increasing importance of automatic wage changes, incorporated into long-term contracts in the form of cost-of-living adjustments and annual improvement factors. During the 1946–50 period, this approach was introduced by General Motors, but was rarely followed elsewhere. In 1951–54, however, largely as a result of the sharp rise in the cost of living which accompanied the outbreak of the Korean war in 1950, the annual improvement factor-cost of living approach was adopted in automobiles, farm equipment, aircraft, electrical equipment, and a few others. The steel union, however, continued to follow the more traditional approach, as did several other leading companies and unions.

During 1955–58, however, most of the latter group also went over to automatic adjustments. As a result, virtually every strongly unionized company in the concentrated sectors listed in table 6 had negotiated long-term contracts in 1955 and 1956, providing for automatic annual wage increases plus automatic costs-of-living adjustments through 1957, 1958, and, in some cases, 1959. The only exceptions were rubber, shipbuilding, and oil (Sinclair). On the other hand, none of the low concentration sectors followed this policy after 1955.

The sequence of wage developments during the 1955-58 period is also of very considerable interest. In the summer of 1955, the major "key" bargain was negotiated in the automobile industry, in which sales and profits were at record or near record levels. The contract extended for 3 years to mid-1958, and included an annual improvement factor of approximately 6 cents per hour, a cost-of-living clause, and additional fringes estimated to be worth approximately 12 cents per bour. Shortly thereafter, the steel industry negotiated a straight wage increase of 15 cents, under a wage reopener clause, in a contract which expired in 1956. Output and profits in steel had also risen sharply from the 1954 recession low; the relevant data for both the automobile and steel industries are shown in table 7. Before the year was out, the leading firms in several other major industries in which market conditions and profits were adequate had negotiated similar contracts, with many adopting the 3-year approach of the automobile industry.

Table 7.—Basic trends in the steel and automobile industries, 1947-58

Year	Profits before taxes on equity (percent)	Profits after taxes on equity (percent)	Profits before taxes as per- cent of sales	Output (1947-49== 100)	Production worker em- ployment (1947-49- 100)
	IRON	AND STEE	L		
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1951 1952 1958 1954 1955 1955 1955 1955 1956 1957		12.1 14.7 9.9 14.2 12.3 8.5 10.7 8.1 13.5 12.7 11.4 7.2	10. 9 12. 3 10. 9 15. 1 16. 0 9. 3 12. 4 10. 5 14. 5 12. 9 13. 0 10. 5	101 106 92 118 131 117 139 109 146 143 139	101 105 93 104 110 95 110 97 107 104 105 86
	моте	OR VEHICL	ES		
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958	51. 8 39. 5 36. 8 37. 9 29. 4 46. 1 27. 1 28. 1	15.6 18.7 20.9 24.6 14.1 13.6 13.9 21.1 13.0 14.0	10. 4 11. 8 13. 2 17. 1 13. 2 12. 6 11. 0 10. 8 15. 1 10. 8 7. 0	95 101 104 132 120 102 126 109 153 125 128	100 101 98 109 110 100 119 97 116 100 98 74

Sources: See app. A. The output index for "Iron and Steel" is the Federal Reserve Board index of industrial production, with 1947 weights.

In mid-1956, the "key" bargain open for negotiation was in steel. Both production and profits were at about their 1955 levels, a major investment boom was developing in plant and equipment, and the precedent set by the previous year's settlements in automobiles and other industries was strong. The result was an extremely favorable contract for the steelworkers—a 3-year contract extending into 1959, including a 9-cent annual improvement factor, automatic cost-of-living adjustments, and major fringe benefits. Similarly, favorable long-term contracts were signed in the aluminum industry; in most others, the terms were somewhat less liberal, but also involved long-term commitments to annual wage increases.


The results of these two major "patterns," established in the automobile and steel industries during the period of high output and profits, continued to be felt throughout the declining years of 1957 and 1958. In both of these years, despite marked declines in output and employment throughout the economy, wage increases were automatic in several major manufacturing industries. Further, the widespread use of cost-of-living escalators magnified the effects of quite

small (originating) increases in the Consumer Price Index. The automobile contract, which terminated in the midst of the sharp recession of 1958, was again renewed for a 3-year period, and again included an automatic annual improvement factor of 2½ percent per year (about 7 cents) plus cost-of-living adjustments. Thus the recession did not appear to have had any appreciable effect on the annual rate of increase in negotiated rates; the direct costs of additional fringe benefits negotiated in the 1958 automobile contract, however, were very low. And in 1959, the steel contract was again being negotiated in the context of a developing boom.

The probability that the rate of increase in wages after 1958 has not been appreciably affected by the 3-year automobile contract is given added support by a comparison of the wage-fringe increases negotiated during the first 6 months of 1959 as compared to the same period in 1955. These periods were generally comparable, since they both represented approximately the same phase of sharp recovery from previous recessions. From December 1954 to June 1955, unemployment declined from 5.0 to 4.1 percent, seasonally adjusted; in the same period, December 1958 to June 1959, the rate fell from 6.1 to

4.9 percent.

NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENTS, FIRST SIX MONTHS 1955 AND 1959



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The above chart relates to settlements involving 1,000 or more workers concluded during the 6-month period. It includes all wage changes negotiated during the January-June period that are scheduled to go into effect during the contract year—i.e., the 12-month period following the effective date of the agreement. In summarizing percentage increases, it has been necessary to estimate

their value in terms of cents on the basis of available information on wage levels in the industry.

This chart excludes—

Settlements involving fewer than 1,000 workers.

Settlements in construction, the service trades, finance, and government. Instances in which contract reopening privileges were not exercised.

Wage increases and changes in supplementary practices that went into effect during the period but that were negotiated earlier—for example, deferred wage increases, cost-of-living adjustments, or annual improvement factor increases.

Chart 1 provides a comparison of the number of employees covered by negotiated contracts who received wage increases within specified ranges in the first 6 months of 1955 and 1959. In 1955, 72 percent of employees received wage increases of 5 to 11 cents, compared to only 60 percent in early 1959. However, a full 30 percent received more than 11 cents in 1959, contrasted to only 8 percent in 1955; contrariwise, 15 percent received less than 5 cents in 1955 compared to 8 percent in 1959. An estimate of the weighted average of wage increases for 1955 was 7.6 cents; in 1959, 9.2 cents. This increase of about 20 percent approximates the rise in hourly earnings from 1955 to 1959; relatively, therefore, the 1959 increase was no greater than On the other hand, the rate of unemployment was almost one percentage point greater in the first 6 months of 1959 as compared And finally, 69 percent of the 1959 settlements also liberalized one or more fringe benefits as contrasted to 60 percent in the first 6 months of 1955, although the costs of the 1959 fringes may well have been below those of 1955. The weight of evidence, however, indicates that the rate of advance in wage-fringe costs has not been slower during the 1959 upswing.

One final possible qualification should be noted. The data on which these comparisons are based excludes contracts which contained reopening clauses that were not utilized—that is, contracts in which no increases occurred because the union chose not to request one. They also exclude several types of settlements noted in the chart. It is doubtful that this would affect the data in any important

way.

III. THE MOVEMENT OF MANUFACTURING PRICES

An analysis similar to that applied to wage movements was also carried out for price movements in 16 two-digit manufacturing industries. Since the Bureau of Labor Statistics does not compute wholesale price indexes on a basis consistent with most two-digit classifications, it was necessary to construct such indexes by recombining various subgroups of the wholesale price index. The sources and methods used are described in appendix A. The resulting price indexes are shown in table 8 ; in all, they account for close to 80 percent of the weights in the entire wholesale price index, and for approximately 95 percent of the total weight in the "all manufactures" index. The major additional items included in the entire wholesale price index are, of course, farm products.

^o Only 16 industrial sectors are represented because of lack of adequate price data for the remaining 3—printing and publishing, transportation equipment, and instruments. Wherever feasible in the following discussion, price and other data for the three-digit industry, motor vehicles, is used in place of transportation equipment. All of the statistical tests, however, are based only upon the 16 two-digit sectors.

Table 8.—Wholesale price indexes in manufacturing industries, 1947-581

[1947-49=100]

Industry	1954 weight	1947	1948	1949	1950	1921	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	Percent increase
Primary motals Nondectrical machinery Nondectrical machinery Stone, city, finss Motor vohicles and equipment 2 Rubber products Electrical machinery Pobaco products Petroloum products Food products Food products Food products Cohemical Apparel	885838188888888888888888888888888888888	6222918888888888888888888888888888888888	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	0100.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00	1111.7.7 1100.2.2.7 1100.2.2.7 1100.2.2.7 1100.2.2.7 1111.0.1 1113.9 104.8 104	123.8 121.6 121.6 121.6 122.9 110.2 110.6 110.0 110.0 110.0 110.0	98.95	132.3 125.4 125.4 125.4 125.4 120.2 111.0 111.0 111.0 104.3 106.3 106.6 106.6	136.1 127.4 8 127.4 8 127.4 0 1127.4 0 116.3 3 116.3 1 118.0 0 101.6 8 107.0 0 107.0 0	23.50 20.50	167.75 10	163.0 171.18.18.20.0 171.18.20.0 172.20.0 172.20.0 172.20.0 172.20.0 172.20.0 172.0	88.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Leather products. Chemicals Apparel Textile products.	1.27 5.83 3.22 3.18	99.5 101.4 100.7 99.2	102.1 103.8 103.2 105.9	98.4 94.8 96.1 94.0	104.9 96.3 96.7 101.2	120.5 110.0 104.4 115.9	103.8 104.5 101.2 98.9	104.3 103.6 100.6 4	101.6 107.0 100.1 91.8			101.4 100.6 92.2	101.4 106.6 106.3 100.3 92.2 91.7	101.4 107.4 108.0 106.6 107.2 109.5 100.3 101.7 101.8 92.2 91.7 01.6

¹Printing and publishing, transportation equipment, and instruments are omitted because of lack of data. ²Motor vehicles and equipment is included in place of transportation equipment.

Sources: See app. A.

A complete year-to-year cross-section analysis, relating the percentage change in price to several variables, was conducted. The simple correlation coefficients for several of the more important possible relationships are listed in table 9. In addition, the complete matrix of all possible simple correlation coefficients is provided in appendix B.

Table 9.—Simple cross-section correlation coefficients between price changes and selected variables in 16 manufacturing industries, 1947-58 ¹

	Percentage change in wholesale price index on—						
Year	Gross hourly earnings	Produc- tivity per production worker man-hour	Output	Average profits before taxes	Average profits after taxes	Concentra- tion ratios	
1947-48	0.093	0.024	0.375	0.339	0.560	0.329	
1948-49	. 214	.328	416	. 439	. 335	. 287	
1949-50	055	.170	. 073	041	. 113	019	
1950-51	. 101	415	199	. 294	066	526	
1951-52	. 375	.035	065	. 536	. 624	. 581	
1952-53	. 546	171	. 176	. 490	. 432	. 595	
1953-54	. 620	215	247	.715	. 505	. 387	
1954-55	. 551	201	. 587	.448	. 395	. 196	
1955-56	098	418	. 283	.404	. 442	. 193	
1956-57	. 551	100	.397	.585	.711	.617	
1957-58	.308	. 329	. 115	. 629	. 276	114	

¹ The 5-percent level of significance is 0.4973. The 1-percent level is 0.6226.

Source: See apps. A and B.

A number of interesting points are indicated. Perhaps of greatest importance is the lack of any evident relationship between changes in prices and changes in output, at least up to 1954. After 1954, the correlation became weakly positive, except for the one year of sharp recovery, 1954–55, when a significant relationship appeared.

The remaining findings may be briefly summarized as follows:

1. Changes in prices were not strongly related to changes in productivity per production worker man-hour. It is of some interest, however, that several negative correlations appeared, indicating that lower price increases were often associated with greater increases in productivity.

2. Price changes were unrelated to changes in gross hourly earnings during the early part of the period up to 1951-52. After that point,

however, the correlation became very much stronger.

3. Price adjustments were clearly related to profit levels throughout most of the postwar period; the relationship was strongest, however, after 1951.

4. The relationship of price changes to concentration ratios was quite irregular. Up to 1951, it was low or negative; in fact, the strong negative correlation in 1950–51 suggests that prices in nonconcentrated industries rose more than in concentrated. From 1951 to 1957, however, the coefficient was consistently positive, though the strength of the relationship varied considerably. And finally, the correlation became weakly negative in the 1957–58 recession.¹⁰

¹⁰ The first three of these results, relating to output, productivity, and earnings, were also found by Conrad, op. cit. Using both simple and multiple regression analysis to test price changes against changes in wages, output, productivity, and employment, he concluded that "only the price-wage relationship and the price-employment change relationship approach economic significance"; his data show a much lower partial correlation coefficient for the latter relationship, however. His analysis included 61 three-digit industries.

A closer evaluation of the relationship of prices to output and wages was obtained by a multiple cross-section regression analysis covering the two subperiods 1947–53 and 1953–58. The percentage change in the wholesale price index was tested against (1) the percentage change in output and (2) the percentage change in direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour. The latter variable thus takes account of the effects of productivity on labor costs as well. The results are shown in table 10. Output was not a significant variable during either subperiod (after taking account of changes in unit direct labor costs); on the other hand, direct labor costs were highly correlated with price changes during the 1953–58 period, but much less strongly so from 1947 to 1953. In general, these findings are consistent with those indicated by the simple correlation analysis.

Table 10.—Cross-section regression equations: Prices

Independent variable	Regression coefficient	Partial correlation coefficient	Beta coeffi- cient	Standard error of beta coefficient		
1947–53: Percent change: Output. Direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour. 1953–58: Percent change: Output. Direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour.	0. 1891 . 4982 . 2395 1. 9367	0. 2516 . 3730 . 3681 1. 7630	0. 2365 . 3661 . 3261 . 9724	0. 2522 . 2522 . 2284 . 2284		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
Degrees of freedom				N-3=13		

¹ Significant at the 5 percent level.

Similar relationships were shown by time series analyses, although the small number of observations and the major structural shifts which occurred in the economy during the 1947-58 period limit the usefulness of time series for this purpose. In table 11, the simple correlation coefficients are given for each two-digit industry, indicating the relationship between price changes and several other variables from 1947 to 1958. Table 12 summarizes the results of a multiple regression analysis, relating the percent change in prices to (1) the percent change in output, and (2) the percent change in gross hourly earnings. In both cases, the price-output relationship was very weak, while the price-gross hourly wage relationship was strong. 8 of the 16 industries, the price-wage correlation was significant at the 5-percent level; in 2 more, it was close to that level of significance. In addition, the simple correlation coefficients between price changes and profit levels were at or close to 5-percent significance level in nine industries. Thus the time series data tend to corroborate the general results of the cross-section analysis.

 $\Gamma_{\rm ABLE}$ 11.—Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices and selected variables, 1947–58 $^{\rm 1}$

	Percent change in wholesale price index on—					
Industry	Percent change: Gross hourly earnings	Percent change: Ouptut	Percent change: Productivity per produc- tion worker man-hour	Rate of return on equity, before taxes		
20. Food 21. Tobacco 22. Textiles 23. Apparel 24. Lumber 25. Furniture 26. Paper 28. Chemicals 29. Petroleum 30. Rubber 31. Leather 32. Stone, clay, and glass 33. Primary metals 34. Fabricated metals 35. Machinery, except electrical 36. Electrical machinery	. 132 . 651 . 816 187 . 655 . 497 . 378 . 565 . 245 . 574 . 826 . 692 . 755	-0. 287 117 413 028 780 065 275 357 587 543 318 265 442 159 419 236	-0.517 .270683232 .213414065145 .476562270093 .062053545498	0. 152 . 031 . 608 . 126 . 914 . 655 . 771 . 599 . 685 . 724 — 016 . 228 . 675 . 620 . 280		

¹ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6021 the 1 percent level is 0.7348.

Sources: See app. A.

Table 12.—Time scries partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices, output, and hourly earnings, 1947-58 ¹

Industry	Partial correlation 2 of percent change in price on—		
Industry	Change in output	Change in gross hourly earnings	
20. Food 21. Tobacco 22. Textiles 23. Apparel 24. Lumber 25. Furniture 26. Paper 27. Betroleum 30. Rubber 31. Leather 32. Stone, clay, and glass 33. Primary metals 34. Fabricated metals 35. Machinery, except electrical 36. Electrical machinery	.331 .319 .534 .501 —.277 —.081 .238 —.108	0. 416 . 129 . 604 . 825 - 375 . 653 . 523 . 342 . 508 . 027 . 558 . 813 . 623 . 751 . 663 . 661	

 $^{^{1}}$ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6319; the 1 percent level is 0.7646. 2 These are partial correlation coefficients corresponding to the regression coefficients in the equation P=a+bO+cWg, where P is the percent change in wholesale price, O is the percent change in output, and Wg is the percent change in gross hourly earnings.

TRENDS IN SPECIFIC MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

On the basis of the data on prices, wages, productivity, and profits, indexes were computed for each two-digit industry for which data were available, reflecting trends in the wholesale price index, direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour, and returns to capital (profits before taxes plus depreciation and depletion charges) per dollar of sales. These indexes are described in appendix A. In order to compare the movements of each of these variables both within each industry and among industries, ratios were computed to show the trends of each variable in each two-digit industry relative

to the trend in manufacturing as a whole. The resulting ratios are

included in appendix C.

While these indexes are probably indicative of general trends in manufacturing industries, their limitations should be carefully noted. It has already been pointed out that the scope and method of classifying these various series differ, depending largely upon the nature and availability of the data involved. Thus profits are on a corporate basis, earnings, employment, and output are on an establishment basis, and prices on a product basis. In addition, the series included are not exhaustive, i.e., they do not reflect all the costs (including profits) which go to make up the final price. In particular, no data are available on the costs of materials; also, indirect taxes may be an important element of price in a few instances, as in tobacco products. Finally, the indexes of direct labor costs per unit of output very probably understate the actual rate of increase in labor costs, since they are based on the trend in gross hourly earnings of production workers only; no figures are available to show average hourly labor costs of both production and nonproduction workers. The resulting indexes probably understate the rate of increase in labor costs because (1) the rate of increase of employment of nonproduction workers has considerably exceeded that of production workers; in fact, the total number of production workers employed in manufacturing in 1958 was considerably lower than in 1947, whereas employment of nonproduction workers had risen by over 50 percent, and (2) because the average level of hourly compensation for nonproduction workers very probably exceeded the average hourly earnings of production workers. Thus, the shift in "employee mix" would result in a greater rate of increase in labor costs than would be reflected in the trend of earnings for production workers alone.

Since the following data is presented in terms of basic trends relative to manufacturing as a whole, some preliminary discussion of the underlying movement of prices, costs, and profits in all manufacturing may be helpful. These figures are presented in table 13. It is clear that the manufacturing price level has risen steadily since 1947, with the exception of a fairly substantial reduction of 3.2 percent in the 1949 recession and a smaller downward readjustment after the sharp speculative rise which accompanied the outbreak of the Korean

war in mid-1951.

Table 13.—Basic trends in manufacturing, 1947-58 [1947-49=100]

Year	Wholesale price index: All manu- factures	Direct labor costs per unit of output	Profits plus depreciation and depletion as percent of sales	Materials and compo- ments for manufac- turing	Production worker employment	Nonproduc- tion worker employment
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1964 1975 1975 1977 1977 1978	95. 9 103. 8 100. 3 104. 1 115. 5 112. 9 112. 8 113. 7 115. 0 119. 5 123. 2 124. 5	96. 3 101. 6 99. 8 109. 2 111. 6 114. 5 112. 1 115. 8 118. 8 120. 4	102.3 105.0 92.7 119.0 114.6 99.7 100.6 98.0 112.8 109.3 102.3 92.7	96. 4 104. 0 99. 6 104. 5 118. 4 115. 2 115. 4 118. 2 123. 7 126. 9 127. 2	103. 4 102. 8 93. 8 99. 6 106. 4 106. 3 111. 8 101. 8 105. 6 106. 7 104. 4 94. 2	97. 4 101. 8 100. 8 103. 5 115. 2 124. 6 133. 0 136. 8 144. 8 151. 2 148. 8

Sources: See app. A. The "Materials and components" index is from the Economic Report of the Presdent, January 1959, p. 198.

SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

During the early part of this period from 1947 to 1950, labor costs and profits all rose considerably. From 1950 to 1954, profit margins declined, then again rose sharply with the strong recovery of 1955. During the subsequent period to 1957, they declined moderately, then fell considerably in the 1958 recession. By the end of the period (1956–58), the proportion of the sales dollar going into profits plus depreciation and depletion was at approximately the same level as in 1947–49. The pattern of movement, however, has been for gross margins to rise sharply at the beginning of boom periods and to recede gradually during the subsequent years of "leveling off."

The index of direct labor costs per unit of output has shown a continuing upward trend over the period, except for relatively small declines in 1950 and 1955, undoubtedly reflecting the increase in productivity which normally accompanies a strong upswing in output.¹¹ Table 13 also shows the very considerable shift in employment toward nonproduction workers. It has already been noted that one probable result of this shift in employment patterns has been to raise the rate of increase in total labor costs per unit faster than is reflected in the index of unit direct labor costs. An additional implication of the rising importance of nonproduction worker employment is the fact that labor costs have become less responsive to cutbacks in production during recessions; this is clearly shown by the very much greater cutbacks in production worker than in nonproduction worker employment during the recessions of 1949, 1954, and 1958. the same token, as Schultze has pointed out, one major reason for the rapid rise in labor costs per unit from 1955 to 1957 was the more than 10 percent increase in nonproduction worker employment as contrasted to the rise of only 3.5 percent in manufacturing production; the result, of course, was to hold down the rate of increase in productivity per total worker man-hour.12 One must presume, however, that in the long run, producers expect the shift in employeemix to represent a profitable choice; in the 1955-58 period, however, it probably had a considerable adverse effect on unit labor costs and profit margins.

The data included in appendix C provide a basis for comparing the general trends of prices, wages, profits, and other variables over time, both within and between industries. In table 14, ratios of the specific industry indexes to the index of all manufacturing are shown for several important variables, as of 1957.¹³ The year 1957 is used in order to avoid the effects on the data of the 1958 recession. For purposes of analysis, the industries have also been classified according to the extent of concentration and the strength of unionization in each. It should be stressed, however, that these trends cannot be considered as anything more than suggestive; considerably more detailed studies would be required within each sector before a more

[&]quot;It must be stressed here that the trend indicated by the index of profits margins cannot be meaningfully compared to the trend indicated by the index of labor costs per unit of output, since the basis of computing the indexes is quite different. The index of profit margins is a measure of profits deflated by sales. The index of labor costs per unit, on the other hand, is a measure of direct labor costs deflated by man-hour productivity. The profits index reflects a percentage, whereas the labor cost index reflects an absolute amount.

[&]quot;See Charles L. Schultze, "Recent Inflation in the United States," Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels, Study Paper No. 1.

"It should be noted that we are here comparing the ratios of indexes, rather than the indexes of each variable directly. Thus the problem cited in footnote 11 does not arise.

firm evaluation of the role of concentration and unionization can be made.

Table 14.—Ratio of indexes in specific industries relative to all manufacturing, 1957
[1947-49 ratio=100]

Industry	Whole- sale price	Output	Straight time hourly earnings	Labor costs per unit of output	Returns to capital	Concentration ratios (percent)	Esti- mated union strength (percent)
All manufacturing Highly concentrated, strongly unionized industries:	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Primary metals	132	90	107	119	113	81	75-100
Rubber	119	91	99	107	109	51	75-100
Stone, clay, and glass	118	99	102	103	117	58	50- 7
Electrical machinery Motor vehicles	113	135	98	. 94	92	72	75-10
Petroleum	110 102	87 96	98	N.A.	100	96	75-10
Highly concentrated, weakly unionized industries:			102	100	91	99	50- 7
Tobacco	103	79	104	98	139	100	25- 5
Chemicals	89	137	107	87	121	59	25- 5
Low concentration, strongly unionized industries:							
Nonelectrical machinery	125	93	102	119	96	31	75–10
Fabricated metals	115	92	102	. 122	74	19	50- 7
Paper	105	107	103	106	83	5	50- 7
ApparelLow concentration, weakly unionized industries:	83	82	82	95	83	8	75-10
Furniture	106	96	96	99	77		05 5
Lumber	97	75	96	99	58	7	25- 5
Leather	91	78	90	96	102	$\frac{2}{2}$	25- 5
Food	00	69	104	107	90	22	25- 5
Textiles	88 86 74	83 73	85	81	52	12	25- 50
т сурпсэ	74	l "°	80	81	52	12	0- 2
						i	ı

Source: App. C.

Nevertheless, at least some tentative observations may be made with respect to these figures. Perhaps the most striking are the trends in the primary metals industry. From 1947 to 1957, the wholesale price index rose to a level almost one-third higher than the price index for all manufacturing. Direct labor costs per unit rose by nearly 20 percent more, and returns to capital by 13 percent more than in all manufacturing. Yet these strong upward movements in relative prices, wages, and profit margins developed during a period in which output rose by considerably less than in manufacturing as a whole. 14

Among the remaining industries within the highly concentrated, strongly unionized group, no similar clear trends are evident. In general, their price indexes rose by more than the average; this was not consistently related, however, to the movement of hourly earnings, labor costs, or returns to capital. Straight-time hourly earnings increased in all of these sectors by almost exactly the same amount as in manufacturing as a whole. In rubber and stone, clay, and glass, however, labor costs and capital returns both rose more than all manufacturing average; in electrical machinery and petroleum, on the other hand, the opposite was generally the case.

The two industries characterized by high concentration and weak union organization—tobacco and chemicals—reveal some interesting trends. In each of them, hourly earnings rose by more than the manu-

¹⁴ For a much more comprehensive analysis of these trends and the causal factors underlying them, see Otto Eckstein and Gary Fromm, "Steel and the Postwar Inflation", Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels Study Paper No. 2.

facturing average; productivity also increased sufficiently, however, that labor costs per unit rose by less than the average, particularly in chemicals. Also, the wholesale price index in these two sectors showed no significant relative upward movement (chemicals dropped considerably, in relative terms). The most striking figure which emerges, however, is the very considerable rise in total returns to capital; in both industries, these margins rose by very much more than in all manufacturing and by considerably more than any other individual sector.

Among the low concentration, strongly unionized industries, somewhat opposite trends are suggested. Once again, hourly earnings followed the all manufacturing trend; labor costs per unit, however, rose by quite a bit more than the average, except in apparel, and the same tendency is evident in the fact that prices in all of these sectors except apparel rose by more than in all manufacturing. Porfit margins,

nowever, tended to decline.

Finally, those industries characterized both by a considerable amount of competition in the product market and by weak union organization all showed fairly similar characteristics. In general, nourly earnings and labor costs per unit rose by somewhat less than in all manufacturing; profit margins, on the other hand, fell quite substantially behind in most instances. In addition, output in these industries increased by considerably less than in manufacturing, although it will be recalled that no significant correlation was found between output, prices, and wages on the basis of year to year changes, or changes during major subperiods.

IV. SUMMARY

The primary purpose of the preceding discussion has been to present a body of data and to describe the statistical procedures utilized n analyzing that data as part of an evaluation of the forces underlying the postwar inflation in the United States. Among the most mportant of the findings of this statistical analysis are the following:

1. No important relationship was found between percent changes n straight time earnings and either percent changes in output, percent changes in production worker employment, or percent changes in output per production worker man-hour. On the other hand, the lata indicated a strong interrelationship, particularly after 1951, between percent changes in straight time hourly earnings, profit levels (measures as a rate of return on stockholders' equity), and 1954 concentration ratios. These general relationships were supported by both simple and multipe cross-section and time series analyses. They were also given support by an analysis of wage "patterns" during the postwar period.

2. Based on the most recent available estimates of union strength, here was no generally applicable relationship between union strength and wage increases in various industries. While these estimates are considerably outdated, it is probable that union strength has not

changed greatly in most industries over the past decade.

3. One of the factors underlying the upward movement of hourly sarnings during the 1956-58 period was the long-term contracts originating in the automobile and steel settlements of 1955 and 1956, which provided for automatic annual productivity increases and cost-

of-living adjustments through 1957, 1958, and 1959. These contracts established a pattern for several other major industries in the economy.

4. No important relationships were found between percent changes in price and percent changes in output, particularly up to 1954; even after 1954, the only statistically significant relationship appeared in the 1954-55 upswing. In addition, price changes were unrelated to percent changes in productivity per production worker man-hour.

5. Changes in price were most clearly related to profit levels throughout most of the postwar period. A strong relationship to changes in gross hourly earnings also developed after 1951. No consistently strong relationship was found between price changes and

concentration ratios.

In closing, it should again be noted that important limitations exist with regard to the nature, scope, and comparability of the data. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the preceding discussion will provide a more complete analysis of the data than has been previously available.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

Sources of Basic Data

The data underlying the analysis of the movement of manufacturing wages nd prices from 1947 to 1958 are presented in tables A-1 to A-21, for each two-igit standard industrial classification. The sources and methodology used in braining the data are the following:

I. WHOLESALE PRICE INDEXES

For all two-digit classifications except printing and publishing, transportation quipment, and instruments, wholesale price indexes were computed by recomining the appropriate wholesale price index groups and subgroups, weighted y their relative importance in 1954. In some cases, these special indexes were computed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the use of the author; in others, nexus were computed by the author based upon information provided by the bureau of Labor Statistics regarding the appropriate subgroups to be included. For the indexes are not completely comprehensive, in that they do not included it the wholesale price index subgroups which properly should be included; in ddition, in order to minimize computations, some small subgroups were some-imes included which should properly have been excluded. The final indexes, owever, comprise at least 80 percent of the total weights of items which would e represented by as accurate an index as could be constructed from current ems included in the wholesale price index. The composition of each two-igit standard industrial classifications group is as follows:

			importance in WPI, December 1957 (based on 1954
SIC group		WPI groups	weights)
0. Food		rocessed foods	12. 73
Source: Wholesale Price Index.	. U2 F	rocessed roods	
1. Tobacco			. 97
1. 1054000	14-1	Cigarettes	
		Cigars	
G Gtal b author	14-3	Other tobacco products	
Source: Computed by author.			3, 18
2. Textile mill products	021	Cotton	5. 16
		Wool	
	03-3	Manmade fiber textile	
		products	
_	03-4		
Less:	03–31	Filament yarns and fibers	
Source: Computed by BLS for author.			
3. Apparel and other finished tex	tile pro 03–51	ducts Womens', misses', and juniors'	3. 22
	03 - 52	Mens' and boys'	
		Infants' and children's	
	03 - 55	Underwear and nightwear	
Source: Computed by author.			

SIC group 24. Lumber and wood products Source: Wholesale Price Index.		WPI groups	Relative importance in WPI, December 1957 (based on 1954 weights) 2, 97
Green Whalest Date To be	08 Lumb	er and wood products	2. 0.
			1.00
25. Furniture and fixtures	12-1 Hot	sehold furniture amercial furniture	1. 30
Source: Computed by author.			
26. Paper and allied products	00 Puln	paper, and allied products	5. 17
Source: Wholesale Price Index.	og ruip,	paper, and amed products	
27. Printing and publishing.28. Chemicals and allied products.			available 5. 88
Source: Wholesale Price Index.	06 Chemi	icals and allied products	
29. Petroleum refining and related	products		4. 24
	05-56 Cr	fined petroleum products ude petroleum tural gas	
Source: Special published BLS Index.			
30. Rubber and related products Less:	07 Ru 07–11 Na	bber and rubber products tural rubber	1. 38
Source: Computed by BLS for author.	07–12 Sy	nthetic rubber	
31. Leather and leather products			1. 27
•	04 Hid	es, skins, leather, and ather products es and skins	
Source: Computed by BLS for author.	04-1 IIIQ	es and sams	
32. Stone, clay, and glass products			2. 15
Source: Computed by author.	11–48 A 12–61 D 12–62 G 12–63 G 13–1 F 13–22 C 13–31 B 13–32 C 13–4 St	brasives binnerware lassware lass containers lat glass ement uilding block oncrete pipe cructural clay products ypsum	
33. Primary metals		O-m:6::-1-1	7. 13
	10-13 10-14 10-15 10-16 10-22 10-24	Semifinished steel products Finished steel products Foundry and forge shop products Pig iron and ferroalloys Nonferrous refinery shapes Nonferrous secondary shapes	
	$10-25 \\ 10-26-01$	Nonferrous mill shapes Bare copper wire	
Source: Computed by BLS for author.			

Relative

34. Fabricated metal products	on 1954 weights)
	5. 28
10-3 Metal containers	
10-4 Hardware 10-5 Plumbing equipment	
10-5 Plumbing equipment 10-6 Heating equipment	
10-7 Fabricated structural products	
10-8 Fabricated nonstructural products Source: Computed by BLS for author.	
35. Machinery, except electrical	7, 81
11-1 Agricultural machinery 11-2 Construction machinery 11-3 Metalworking machinery 11-4 General purpose machinery 11-5 Miscellaneous machinery	7. 01
Source: Computed by BLS for author.	
36. Electrical machinery	7. 11
11-7 Electrical machinery 12-5 TV, radio, and phonographs 10-26 Wire and cable	
Source: Computed by BLS for author.	
37. Transportation equipment Not 371. Motor vehicles	available 5. 55
Source: Wholesale Price Index.	
38. Instruments Not Total weights included in indexes above Total weight in WPI represented by "all manufactures" index	77.26

II. GROSS AND STRAIGHT TIME HOURLY EARNINGS

Data on gross hourly earnings were obtained directly from published data of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The figures are based upon reports from cooperating establishments, and pertain only to production and related workers.

establishments, and pertain only to production and related workers. Straight time earnings were derived from the gross figures by applying adjustment factors contained in the May 1950 Monthly Labor Review. The adjustment factor is designed to exclude only the premium pay for overtime at the rate of time and a half for work in excess of 40 hours per week.

III. PRODUCTION AND NONPRODUCTION WORKER EMPLOYMENT

Both series were derived directly from data published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The number of production workers is published directly on the basis of reports from cooperating establishments. The number of nonproduction workers was obtained by subtracting production workers from the number of total employees in each 2-digit industry.

IV. OUTPUT

These indexes are based on the 1947 Standard Industrial Classification. All but the index for motor vehicles were provided by the Federal Reserve Board at the request of the Joint Economic Committee. They differ from the regularly published indexes of industrial production of the Reserve Board in that the latter were based on 1947 value added weights, whereas the indexes used here are based on 1954 value added weights. The 1954 weighted indexes were developed as part of the Reserve Board's testing procedures; they do not constitute official Federal Reserve Board indexes, nor does the Board necessarily endorse the use of 1954 weights.

It may also be noted that the Board has recently published revised indexes, using 1957 weights for the period beginning with January 1953, and based upon the new 1957 Standard Industrial Classifications.

The index for motor vehicles is based upon the published Federal Reserve Board index, with 1947 weights.

V. PRODUCTIVITY

A. Output per production worker man-hour.—This series was computed by dividing the Federal Reserve Board output index (1954 weights) by an index of production worker man-hours. Production worker man-hours was computed by multiplying production worker employment by average weekly hours, as published by the BLS.

B. Output per total worker man-hour.—This series was computed by dividing the Federal Reserve Board output index (1954 weights) by an index of total worker man-hours. Total worker man-hours represents the sum of production worker man-hours (see A, above) plus the product of nonproduction worker

employment times 40 hours per week.

VI. PROFITS: RATES OF RETURN AND MARGINS

A. Rate of return on stockholders' equity.—The basic data on profits before and after taxes, and on stockholders' equity were obtained from the Quarterly Financial Report for Manufacturing Corporations, published by the Federal Trade Commission and Securities Exchange Commission. The entire profits and other data for each corporation are included within any given SIC group on the basis of the corporation's major source of gross sales receipts.

The series is based as nearly as possible on the sample used by the FTC-SEC during the period 1956-57. Three breaks in the sample coverage occurred in the first quarter of 1951, the first quarter of 1956, and the first quarter of 1958. In each case, the data were revised to the 1956–57 sample by linking the series on the basis of as many overlap quarters as were available. Annual profits are the sum of the four quarter figures; stockholders' equity is as of the end of the fourth quarter.

B. Profit margins, and depreciation and depletion charges per dollar of sales.-Basic data on sales, and depreciation and depletion charges were obtained from FTC-SEC Quarterly Financial Reports, utilizing the same techniques described

in A, above.

VII. DIRECT LABOR COSTS PER UNIT OF OUTPUT

The indexes of direct labor costs per unit of output per production worker man-hour, and of direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour were derived by dividing the index of gross average hourly earnings by the index of productivity per production worker man-hour and per total worker man-hour, respectively.

VIII. CONCENTRATION RATIOS

These ratios have been computed on the basis of data contained in the report on "Concentration in American Industry," prepared for the Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, 85th Congress, 1st session. The figures used were taken from table 37, "Share of product ship-

ments accounted for by largest companies, 1954."

In arriving at the ratios used, the total values of product shipments in each 4-digit industry (within the given 2-digit classification) showing a 50 percent or more concentration ratio for the eight largest companies constituted the numerator. The denominator represented the total value of product shipments for the entire 2-digit industry. The resulting concentration ratios, therefore, reflect the proportion of the total value of product shipments in each 2-digit group represented by "concentrated" 4-digit industries (those in which the eight largest firms accounted for 50 percent or more of the total value of product shipments in 1954) in that group. The concentration ratios for 1954 were: Instruments
Tables A-1 to A-21 are presented below.

371

38

96.3

Table A-1.—Basic data: All manufacturing

=	
⊱	
-	į
1	
≘	į
ì	
1	
-	
×	
2	
- 8	
,=	
-	ì
_	
-	į
.<	į

	Indox of output		103 103 103 112 113 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114
	Index of profits	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	102.00 105.00 105.00 114.00 106.00 10
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	97.0 101.6 100.4 90.3 100.2 111.8 110.2 107.1 109.5 109.6
	Indexes Iabor	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	96.3 101.6 101.6 109.8 109.8 111.6 111.6 111.8 115.8
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Aftor	Percent 15.10 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0 4 11.2 12.0 12.0 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10
	Rates of stockhold	Boforo	Percent 24.0 24.0 24.0 26.0 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.1 27.1 27.1
	indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	96.7 100.0 100.0 110.4 112.5 112.5 113.0 128.6 138.1 138.1
•	Indexes of p ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.0 100.0 100.0 111.0 111.0 115.0 113.0 132.0 141.0
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	97.4 101.8 100.8 100.8 100.5 115.2 133.0 133.0 136.8 144.8 144.8 144.8
	Index of	Produc- tion workers	103.4 102.8 93.8 93.6 106.3 106.3 101.8 101.8 104.4
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.7 101.4 101.8 100.5 100.5 118.6 132.4 136.9 140.9 147.9 155.6
	Indox o	Gross	93.1 101.6 105.4 110.2 119.6 125.6 133.1 1341.4 141.4 148.9
		Whole- sale price index	95.9 103.8 100.3 100.3 110.6 112.9 113.7 123.2 123.2
			1947 1908 1940 1950 1951 1953 1954 1955 1965 1967 1968

Table A-2.—Basic data: Food and kindred products

.11	יו עו	AGES IN I	MANOPACIONING IND
İ	Index of output		99 99 103 103 111 123 123 123 123
	Index of profits	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	118.88.88.88.88.89.89.89.89.89.89.89.89.89
		Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	96 96 101.5 101.5 111.5
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	94.3 102.3 103.1 113.0 115.0 122.3 124.7 124.0 127.4
	eturn on ers equity	After taxes	Percent 126 11 1
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Before taxes	Percent 28.6 29.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20.8 20
	of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	98.88 98.88 102.55 108.59 111.68 121.09
	Index of productivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	97.1 104.1 106.5 116.2 116.2 117.2 117.2 117.2 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	95.8 101.2 102.9 102.9 114.8 117.2 112.0 10.0 10
1		Produc- tion workers	102 102 100.3 100.3 100.3 100.3 89.3 89.3 87.5 87.5 87.5
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.6 101.2 106.2 110.2 110.2 110.2 147.3 163.1 169.1
		Gross	93.2 101.1 105.7 115.0 115.0 113.4 1152.3 167.3 167.3
	Whole- sale price index		98.2 106.1 111.8 101.4 101.7 101.7 101.7 100.0
			1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1954 1954 1956 1957

Table A-3.—Basic data: Tobacco manufactures
[All Indexes 1947-49=100]

	Index of output		255 11 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
	Index of profits plus depredation plus deple-tion plus deple-tion per dollar of sales		87.1 107.1 115.8 115.8 113.3 113.3 118.3 118.3 118.9 119.9
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	102.6 100.0 100.0 96.8 96.8 100.4 101.3 117.1 117.1 113.0 102.5 102.5
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	102 1002 1002 1002 1032 1133 1136 1103 1103 1103 1103 1103 1103
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After taxes	Percent 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.3 13.1 13.1
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Per care de la contra del contra de la contra del contra del contra del contra de la contra del cont
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Por total worker man-hour	92.6 100.0 100.0 112.5 116.7 110.4 118.1 118.1 118.1 118.1 118.1 118.1
	Indexes	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	02.5 100.2 100.2 110.8 111.8 111.8 111.8 111.8 111.8 111.8 111.8
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	104.3 104.3 113.7 113.7 110.0 112.2 112.2 121.3 121.3 121.3
		Production tion workers	1001 1002 1003 1003 1003 1003 1003 1003
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	94 1050 1050 1050 1050 1050 1050 1050 105
	Indox of earn	Gross	94,000 100,000
	Wholesale price Index		95.6 104.9 111.3 121.0 131.0 131.0 131.0 131.0 131.0 131.0 131.0
			1047 1048 1040 1050 1051 1053 1054 1056 1067 1068

Table A-4.—Basic data: Textile mili products

		x of	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	Index of output		
	Index of profits plus	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 12.7 12.7 12.8 13.3 13.3 13.3 13.3 13.3 13.3 13.3 13
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	97.0 103.4 103.4 106.5 106.5 101.6 92.4 93.0 89.3 6
		Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	99.01 10.05.01 10.05.01 10.05.02 10.05.03 10.05.
	eturn on ars equity	After taxes	Percent 15:00 10:0
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Before taxes	Percent 32.6 23.0 23.0 24.0 10.0 0.0 11.0 0.1 11
	of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	95. 95. 105.77 114.82 1129.99 1135.00 114.00 114.00
Toot - o	Index of pro- ductivity	Per production worker r	94.9 99.4 99.4 106.7 110.9 1119.2 113.2 132.1 137.9 141.8
OOI — OI HEOT POWONII TIVE	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	95.8 101.5 102.7 1105.7 110.3 110.3 107.8 105.8 105.8
ATT TIES		Production tion workers	0.047 0.047
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	91.9 102.7 102.7 105.4 117.8 119.8 120.7 120.7 121.6 131.5
		Gross	92.1 102.8 105.1 117.6 120.2 120.2 120.2 132.6 133.6 133.6
	Wholesale price index		99.2 105.9 105.9 101.2 115.9 98.9 92.8 92.2 91.7 91.7
			1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1954 1954 1956 1957

Table A-5.—Basic data: Apparel and other finished textile products

	Index of output		100 100 100 100 100 100 110 110
	Index of profits plus deprectation plus deprectation plus depile. It is not the plus depile. It is not depile to sales		137.1 69.58 10.7.7.7 10.7.7.8 10.7.7.8 10.7.7.8 10.7.7.8 10.7.7.8 10.7.8
	of direct costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	99.6 101.7 101.7 107.5 105.7 110.8 111.8 110.8 110.2 107.8
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total work er man-hour	98.8 101.8 99.4 99.4 108.0 106.7 110.5 110.5 110.9 111.2 110.9 110.9
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Aftor	Percent 6.00 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %
	Rates of 1 stockhold	Bofore	Percent 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	98.3 100.2 100.2 100.5 100.5 100.5 111.3 111.3 111.4 1
faar a	Indoxes	Per pro- duction worker	97.5 100.3 100.3 100.3 100.3 100.1 100.1 114.4 1116.0 1116.0
	omploy- nt	Nonpro- duction workers	92 4 4 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	Index of employ- ment	Production workers	98. 101. 102. 102. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103
	Index of hourly carnings	Straight timo	96,5 101.7 101.7 103.5 112.2 111.3 117.3 127.4 127.4 127.6
	Index of	Gross	97.1 102.0 100.0 100.0 111.3 112.2 116.5 116.5 125.1 130.3
	Whole- sale price index		100.7 26.1 36.1 104.4 100.1 100.0 100.3 101.2 101.2 101.2
			1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1951 1955 1955 1955

Table A-6.—Basic data: Lumber and wood products

7	AND WAGES IN		MILITOTOTICIO II
	Index of output		100 1112 1114 1114 1114 1114 1116 1109 1109
	Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales		119.3 105.5 5 100.9 100.9 75.9 70.8 71.6 74.5 67.2
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	98.8 99.7 101.8 99.0 111.6 112.6 108.9 102.7 102.7 107.3 107.3
	Indexes labor	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	98. 99.5 5 102.5 5 111.3 2 110.8 110.8 100.6 100.6 100.6
	Rates of return on stockhoiders equity	After	Percent 18:59 18:59 18:59 18:20 18:2
	Rates of return on stockhoiders equity	Before taxes	Percent 25 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	94.7 102.8 4.7 101.9 3.1 111.7 7 120.3 3.2 132.2 6.1 137.6 6.1 145.9
	Index of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	94, 4 102, 2 103, 0 112, 1 113, 3 113, 3 122, 4 131, 0 135, 1 142, 0 151, 0
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	101.1 99.4 99.4 1102.8 1102.8 1115.4 111.7 111.7 111.7 114.2 1109.9
	Index of	Production workers	105.8 102.3 91.9 91.9 100.7 100.7 100.7 92.0 90.3 90.3 70.7 70.7
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight	93.2 101.7 105.1 105.1 121.4 127.4 134.2 138.0 138.0 138.6 144.4 144.4 144.6 149.6
	Index o	Gross	98.3 101.9 104.9 111.0 121.0 127.6 133.3 138.2 138.3 138.3 14.9 14.9 14.9
	Whole- sale price index		93.7 107.2 2 197.2 3 123.9 120.3 3 120.2 3 120.2
			947 948 949 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 95

Table A-7.—Basic data: Furniture and fixtures

$\overline{}$
\approx
\simeq
٠,,
11
C
7
J
-
č
=
~ 25
- 52
0
v
ñ
-=
_
7
<
_

	Index of output		1040 1040 1040 1111 1114 1114 1114 1116 1116
	Index of profits plus plus then plus deprecible the plus deplection per deplection per delar of sales		116.3 108.4 75.3 106.1 106.1 82.1 82.1 92.4 78.7 68.4
	lexes of direct labor costs	Por unit of output por pro- duction worker man-hour	96.4 102.1 100.9 100.9 113.0 119.5 110.6 104.0 106.8 111.4
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	95.6 101.7 102.3 104.1 115.0 115.0 108.6 107.7 111.5 111.5
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 20.88 9.88 9.88 9.88 9.88 9.88 9.58 9.58 9
	Rates of a	Before taxes	Percent 32.5.5 32.5.5 32.5.5 32.5.5 32.3 32.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5
	Index of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	97.7 99.8 102.7 104.8 105.2 107.0 128.1 129.1 129.1 129.2
foot – o		Per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.9 99.4 104.2 104.2 107.4 1032.7 133.8 133.8 133.8
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	96, 0 100, 8 103, 2 121, 5 121, 5 125, 0 131, 3 137, 8 146, 2 147, 4
		Production tion workers	101.6 101.3 101.3 106.7 108.7 108.3 108.3 105.0 106.4 106.4
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.6 101.5 101.5 108.9 1188.5 1188.5 1134.4 1137.6 1137.6 1144.7 1150.0
		Gross	93.4 101.5 101.5 105.1 105.1 118.4 125.2 133.1 133.7 143.9 143.9
	Whole- sale price index		95.3 102.3 102.3 100.2 116.7 117.1 117.1 119.2 130.6 130.6
			1047 1048 1040 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1056 1057

Table A-8.—Basic data: Paper and allied products

ът.	יו עוי	MODE III	MARKET OF 1102 OF 1110
	Index of		100 102 113 1130 1130 1140 1140 1140 1140 1140
-	Index of profits plus	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	119.1 118.28.38.1 118.28.28.1 102.54.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.28.
		Per unit of output per pro- duction worker	94. 102.2 5 102.9 5 102.9 6 102.9 6 114.5 5 110.7 7 116.2 4 116.2 4
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	93.2 102.4 104.1 106.2 106.2 116.4 118.3 115.6 115.6 125.3 125.3
	eturn on ers equity	After	Percent 15:55 5 15:55
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Before	Percent
	of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	98.7 101.8 111.3 111.3 112.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.1 113.1
	Index of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	97.4 99.8 103.0 111.9 112.6 116.6 120.9 130.1 136.1 136.1
-	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	92.7 103.7 103.7 103.9 121.1 130.0 139.2 143.7 163.8 163.8
		Produc- tion workers	101.3 101.6 97.1 103.6 108.3 105.0 110.3 112.9 112.7 114.5
	hourly ngs	Straight	91.2 101.9 106.9 110.2 110.2 126.8 132.6 143.8 143.8 162.5 162.5
	Index of hourly earnings	Gross	92 0 102 0 105 0 111 5 127 2 133 5 143 2 143 2 165 2 161 1
	Whole-sale price index		98.6 102.9 98.5 100.9 116.5 116.3 119.3 119.3 127.2 131.0
			1947 1948 1940 1950 1951 1955 1955 1955 1955 1957 1957

Table A-9.—Basic data: Printing and publishing

	Index of output		3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	Index of profits plus plus deprectation plus depicted tion plus depiction per dollar of sales		110 98.0 97.0 108.6 108.6 108.6 109.7 109.6 109.
	of direct costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	95, 4 101.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	93.7 101.0 105.0 105.0 118.4 112.3 123.3 123.8 123.8 123.8 123.8
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 20.6 17.1 13.9 13.9 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11
	Rates of 1 stockhold	Before	Percentification 18,200 11,200
	Index of pro- ductivity	Por total worker man-hour	96.5 100.3 103.2 106.4 106.4 112.8 112.0 114.6 114.6
.	Index	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	94.8 100.1 105.1 105.1 107.2 119.0 119.0 119.0
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	96,0 100,5 100,5 100,8 107,9 115,1 115,1 121,0 125,6 125,6 4
		Production workers	99.4 100.0 100.0 100.0 103.1 104.8 110.1 110.1 111.3 111.3 111.3
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	89.8 1001.2 1001.2 117.6 117.6 113.6 1138.6 142.8 148.2 148.2
	Indox o earn	Gross	90.4 101.3 108.4 117.2 117.2 117.3 123.6 133.3 147.1 147.1
	Whole- sale price index		55555555555
			1947 1940 1940 1950 1951 1954 1954 1957 1957

1 Not available.

Table A-10.—Basic data: Chemicals and allied products

Index of output		96 103 101 124 144 153 178 178 199 199
Index of profits plus	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	100.2 100.2 100.2 133.6 115.6 117.6 117.6 117.6 117.6 117.6 117.6 117.6
of direct costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	98.99.4 98.99.4 98.99.99.99.99.99.0 98.09.5 98.09.5 88.99.5 88.74.88.99.65
Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	98.3 101.6 99.5 99.5 91.6 100.2 105.2 105.3 100.9 100.9
eturn on ers equity	After	Percent 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0
Rates of return on stockholders equity	Before	Perent 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201
of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	93. 69.0 107.3 123.2 123.2 123.0 149.4 156.2 160.0
Index of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	93.0 100.3 107.4 127.6 137.4 142.4 142.7 146.7 176.3 186.9
Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	96.4 101.5 102.1 102.1 107.2 121.8 132.9 147.8 159.6 159.6
Index of me	Production workers	102.9 102.3 94.8 94.8 96.8 105.0 106.0 106.4 106.8
hourly ings	Straight	91. 7 101. 0 107. 3 112. 7 112. 128. 8 136. 8 143. 0 143. 0 166. 3 173. 3
Index of hourly earnings	Gross	92.0 101.2 106.8 112.8 112.7 127.7 136.7 142.6 143.6 157.6 165.8
	Whole-sale price index	101.4 103.8 94.8 96.3 104.0 104.0 107.0 107.0 100.6 110.4
		1947 1948 1949 1940 1951 1952 1955 1966 1966

Table A-11.—Basic data: Petroleum and related products

	Index of output		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
	Index of profits plus deprectation plus deple- tion plus deple- tion por dellar of sales		011 021 021 021 021 021 021 021 021 021
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	99.70 100.10 100
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	91.6 100.9 100.5 100.5 100.7 100.7 111.0 111.0 111.4 111.4 111.4 111.4 111.4 111.5 111.6 1
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 14.2 11.8 11.8 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 24.88 8 14.8 17.2 2 17.2 2 17.2 2 17.2 2 17.2 2 17.3 2 17
	Index of pro- ductivity	Por total worker man-hour	98.2 101.4 90.3 111.4 116.6 1115.6 110.7 112.3 123.6 133.6
[0=100]	Indox	Por production worker man-hour	07.8 101.0 99.6 1112.8 1123.3 1123.3 1123.4 1123.4 1142.4 1140.4 1152.8
[All indexes 1947–49=100]	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	97.6 103.0 90.4 103.0 114.3 113.1 126.6 126.6 127.6 140.2 141.8 141.8
[All inc		Production tion workers	98.00 100
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight timo	89.0 102.3 102.3 113.2 113.2 113.2 141.3 161.9 161.9
		Gross	90.0 102.5 107.7 1110.1 1125.7 1132.9 141.0 162.8 166.8
		Whole-sale price Index	80.6 112.1 100.4 100.0 111.2 111.2 111.2 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5 117.5
			1947 1940 1940 1950 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957

Table A-12.—Basic data: Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products

	Index of output		2 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	Index of profits plus depreciation plus thon plus depletion per dollar of sales		109.25 111.25 11
	of direct costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-bour	99.4 100.2 98.4 99.4 108.9 111.7 111.0 117.4 1120.8
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	98.2 100.0 100.0 100.3 100.3 117.7 114.8 118.4 123.7 123.7
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 11.4 1 11.2 11.2
	Rates of 1 stockhold	Before taxes	Percent 21.0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	f pro-	Per total worker man-hour	97.6 100.3 100.3 100.7 107.1 111.6 118.2 121.6 122.0
-49 = 100]	Index of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.4 100.1 100.1 100.1 100.1 112.9 122.7 122.7 123.8 133.6
All indexes, 1947-49=100]	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	102.7 100.7 96.6 98.6 106.0 113.0 113.2 113.2 117.5 117.5 110.4
[AII III	Index of	Production tion workers	108.0 102.1 102.1 89.9 97.5 104.5 103.9 108.3 105.4 105.4 101.1
	hourly ngs	Straight time	95.6 100.5 100.5 100.8 115.8 124.4 131.4 140.5 148.2 153.9
	Index of hourly earnings	Gross	95.8 100.3 100.3 103.9 116.8 115.1 1132.9 1132.9 1145.0 1155.7
	Whole-sale price index		98.0 101.8 100.2 112.0 112.0 125.7 125.7 140.2 146.5 146.5
			1947 1946 1940 1950 1951 1954 1954 1955 1958

Table A-13.—Basic data: Leather and leather products

	Index of		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
[007 = 43 - 44 - 45 - 47 - 47 - 47 - 47 - 47 - 47	Index of profits plus deprectation plus then plus deprectation plus depletion plus deliar of sales		127.2 74.0 113.2 106.3 113.0 1132.0 104.4 104.4
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.3 102.2 101.4 101.4 110.4 110.2 110.0 112.2 112.2 112.2
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	95.0 102.7 101.2 101.2 106.4 111.7 110.5 110.5 113.7 114.4
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Aftor	Percent 7:20
	Rates of stockhold	Bofore	Percent 21.8 21.8 15.9 15.9 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0
	of pro- ivity	Per total worker man-hour	100.4 98.8 100.0 100.0 107.2 116.2 116.2 120.1 120.1 122.8
foor—ar	Index of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	99.1 99.3 101.7 106.2 107.2 117.2 117.2 124.4 124.4
01—01—1101 (CYV)	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	93.3 105.9 100.8 96.3 97.6 99.3 103.1 102.9 99.3
om my		Production workers	102.9 101.5 96.7 93.7 94.7 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0
	Indox of hourly earnings	Straight time	95.1 101.5 103.4 103.4 115.4 120.0 125.5 125.5 125.7 140.3
	Index of earn	Gross	95.4 101.5 103.1 107.1 115.1 1119.7 124.2 125.1 125.1 135.1 139.6
		w hole- sale price Index	99.5 102.1 102.1 120.5 103.8 104.3 101.4 107.4 109.0
			1947 1948 1940 1950 1951 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957

Table A-14.—Basic data: Stone, clay, and glass products

		Index of output	99 104 97 117 112 1129 1130 1145 1145 1145 1145 1145
	Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion plus depletion per depletion per dollar of sales		94, 6 101, 7 108, 7 130, 7 110, 2 110, 2 111, 5 127, 8 127, 8 127, 8
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.2 101.2 101.2 98.1 103.1 112.9 111.8 111.8 111.8 116.2 115.2
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	95.3 100.9 103.4 103.7 103.6 115.4 115.5 115.5 123.9
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 114.3 15.2 3 15.2 3 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0
	Rates of stockhold	Before taxes	Percent 23.6 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 22.0 22
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	97. 2 100. 4 102. 6 115. 3 115. 4 115. 6 118. 8 124. 8 127. 8 130. 1
9 = 100]	Indexes	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.3 100.1 100.1 113.5 115.8 117.8 118.3 128.5 132.4 136.8
[All indexes 1947–49=100]	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	95. 5 101. 5 103. 0 107. 6 1107. 6 1120. 9 125. 9 126. 4 132. 6 140. 3 140. 3
[All ind		Production workers	101. 6 103. 2 95. 2 101. 6 100. 9 105. 8 96. 9 104. 8
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight	92.2 101.1 106.7 110.7 110.7 1134.3 133.2 133.2 143.6 150.8 150.8
	Index of hou	Gross	92.6 100.3 100.1 111.4 111.4 1124.8 133.4 137.2 147.2 152.0
		Whole-sale price index	92.7 101.1 105.5 100.3 117.3 118.1 127.4 137.4 139.0 144.7
			1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1954 1956 1956 1956

Table A-15.—Basic data: Primary metal industries

	Index of output		103 104 107 107 114 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113
	Index of profits	deprecta- tion plus- deple- tion per dollar of sales	97.4 105.1 105.1 128.8 129.5 129.5 113.6 110.0 120.0 120.0 120.0
	lexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	94.6 101.3 103.5 100.4 111.9 117.4 123.7 123.7 128.0 128.0 135.0
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	93.8 100.5 100.5 100.5 111.6 111.6 1122.2 122.2 123.7 132.7 141.6
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 22.2 22.2 22.2 22.2 22.2 22.2 22.2 22
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	98, 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00
[001=6]	Indoxes	Per production worker r	97. 100.2 100.3 100.3 100.5 100.5 114.1 112.7 122.1 123.6 123.6
[All indexes 1947–49=100]	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	98.5 101.7 101.7 102.3 1162.3 137.0 134.0 143.0 143.4 143.4
[All Ind		Production tion workers	104.3 105.0 105.0 100.6 1100.1 100.0 95.9 105.9 105.1 86.6
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.2 101.1 108.6 108.7 118.7 125.7 136.0 147.6 166.5 178.4
	Index of earn	Gross	92.6 101.5 100.7 100.0 120.7 120.8 137.4 130.4 140.4 160.8
		W hole- sale price index	00.4 1002.8 1105.8 111.23.3 125.1 125.1 145.0 163.0 163.0
			1947 1048 1049 1050 1050 1051 1054 1055 1066 1066

Table A-16.—Basic data: Fabricated metal products

	Per unit thon plus Index of output thou plus per pro- tion per duction duction of sales man-hour	96.9 109.6 102 101.3 104.7 104 101.9 185.7 104 114.8 113.7 121 126.2 772.5 119 130.2 72.5 119 137.8 75.8 113 136.8 76.9 120
Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output oper total worker man-hour	95.4 100.9 104.2 104.2 114.8 114.8 125.9 130.5 140.1 144.7
Rates of return on stockholders equity	After taxes	Percent 18:0 18:0 18:0 18:0 18:0 18:0 18:0 18:0
Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 29.55 28.55 28.44 29.20 20.04 20.0
Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	97.1 100.5 100.5 101.7 107.7 105.9 105.9 107.4 107.8 112.0
Indexe	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	95.6 100.1 104.0 104.0 104.4 107.0 1110.0 111.4 111.4
Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	97.7 102.1 100.2 100.2 116.7 118.7 131.7 131.3 135.6 144.0
Index of	Produc- tion workers	105.5 103.3.3 104.0 104.0 108.8 119.4 114.7 114.3 114.6
Index of hourly earnings	Straight	92.0 101.0 107.0 118.0 118.2 124.9 132.4 142.1 142.1 142.1 165.3
Index o	Gross	92.6 101.4 106.0 111.2 111.2 112.3 113.3 1143.8 1150.3 1160.3
	Whole- sale price index	90.8 102.5 106.7 110.4 120.6 122.4 127.8 136.0 141.8
		947. 948. 949. 950. 950. 950. 950. 950. 960. 960. 960.

Table A-17.—Basic data: Machinery, except electrical

_
<u>6</u>
Ī
7
200
8
ş
Ξ
₹

	Index of output		104 106 103 103 1138 1138 1143 1143 1143
form of the contract of	Index of profits	doprecia- tion plus tople- tion per dollar of sales	103.2 102.4 102.4 115.0 116.0 104.0 106.8 100.8 100.8 100.8 100.8
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	96.9 101.8 99.0 101.3 1143.5 117.5 1124.0 127.0
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total work-r man-hour	95.3 101.2 102.8 102.1 114.8 117.4 125.5 129.9 140.8
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Aftor	Percent 15.5 - 1
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 25.30 25.3
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Por total workor man-bour	97.7 100.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 111.5 111.5 111.7 112.3 112.7
foot – o	Indexes	Per production worker man-hour	96.1 90.5 105.6 105.6 107.0 112.3 115.9 122.0 122.0 122.0
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	99.7 103.6 96.9 97.8 112.0 120.0 127.3 127.3 130.1 142.0 145.0
		Produc- tion workers	106.6 105.5 88.0 91.7 112.6 1112.6 1103.7 110.2 110.4 91.4
	Index of hourly carnings	Straight timo	92.9 100.7 100.7 110.6 113.0 113.3 113.3 1142.9 1151.4 1169.3
	Index of hou carnings	Gross	93.1 101.3 105.5 110.0 120.0 138.2 138.2 138.2 152.4 168.7
	,	Wholo- sule price indox	92.4 101.1 106.6 110.2 120.2 123.1 125.7 125.7 133.3 144.2 153.5 167.1
			1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957

Table A-18.—Basic data: Electrical machinery

		Index of output	103 962 962 116 1174 174 174 176 176 176 176
	Index of profits plus	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	100.4 102.3 102.3 102.3 1111.8 103.6 103.7 103.7 104.4 106.7
	Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	98. 0 101. 9 99. 0 97. 1 109. 2 108. 3 107. 0 107. 0 111. 8
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 17:22 17:22 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:25 18:20 18:2
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	Before taxes	Parent 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	of pro-	Per total worker man-hour	95.00 106.54 119.95 119.95 125.17 131.8 131.8 131.9
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	92.7 99.2 7 100.4 100.0 104.0 118.6 118.1 129.1 134.7 146.7 146.3
for ar it or community	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	100.2 101.1 101.1 98.7 112.2 1139.2 1139.2 142.5 1156.8 174.2
om ner	Index of me	Produc- tion workers	110.2 102.6 87.1 104.6 120.1 127.0 128.8 128.8 128.9 135.9 117.1
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.9 101.3 106.8 116.5 117.7 121.7 133.8 137.5 145.1 145.1
		Gross	98. 1 101. 5 106. 4 106. 4 116. 3 122. 9 129. 6 138. 4 145. 8 162. 4 163. 4
		Whole- sale price index	96.3 101.5 102.2 102.2 116.2 117.0 117.0 123.4 123.4 133.2
			1947 1948 1949 1940 1940 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957

Index of output

Table A-19.—Basic data: Transportation equipment

			<u> </u>
	Index of profits	deprecia- tion plus deple- tion per dellar of sales	86.3 102.2 111.5 111.5 104.7 104.7 83.0 93.0 93.0 7.3
	of direct costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	101.4 100.1.4 99.2.2 109.2.4 1113.7 1104.7 1101.6 101.6
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	100.8 100.3 99.6 97.1 117.6 111.6 111.4 116.2
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 10.7 7 7 115.8 8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.8 115.1
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 20, 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
	Indexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	92.0 100.0 110.5 110.5 110.8 111.8 113.0 127.0 137.0 137.0
10=100]	Indexes	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	91.1 101.1 101.1 103.2 103.5 1135.5 1135.5 111.0 111.0
[All indexes 1947–49=100]	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	99.1 102.2 98.7 99.8 126.9 176.9 177.9 204.0 204.7
[All Inc	Index of me	Production tion workers	102.5 101.3 101.3 101.3 110.3 1130.5 1130.5 1135.8 1135.8 1135.8
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.8 101.3 100.0 1100.0 1177.0 1130.1 1130.1 1146.0 1153.6 1153.6
	Index of earn	Gross	02.7 101.2 101.2 111.2 118.5 1132.6 1135.4 1148.0 102.4
		W hole- sale price Index	000000000000
			1947 1948 1949 1940 1962 1963 1963 1966 1966 1966

¹ Not available.

Table A-20.—Basic data: Motor vehicles and equipment

		Index of output	96 101 101 101 103 103 103 103 103 103 103
	Index of profits plus	depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales	90.7 109.5 107.8 107.6 107.6 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2 100.2
	Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	555555555555
	Indexes labor	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	<u> </u>
	Rates of return on stockholders equity	After	Percent 18.76 20.9 20.9 24.1 13.6 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0
	Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 32.9 32.9 32.9 35.8 39.5 39.8 36.8 37.9 29.4 46.1 27.1 27.1 12.8 14.4
	of pro- lvity	Per total worker man-hour	66666666666
	Indexes of productivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	66666666666
	Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	98.9 104.6 96.5 96.5 106.1 113.2 112.2 122.2 125.3 112.3 111.3
		Production workers	100.4 101.3 108.8 108.8 109.8 109.7 115.6 115.6 100.3 74.3
	Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.1 101.1 106.8 1109.4 1130.6 131.8 135.4 139.4 145.8 152.9
	Index of hor earnings	Gross	92.3 101.0 106.7 111.7 111.9 128.0 134.3 143.7 147.5 164.4
		Whole- sale price index	91.3 100.8 107.9 1107.9 1118.9 1122.9 122.9 135.8
	W g		1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1963 1965 1965 1967

I Not available.

Table A-21.—Basic data: Instruments [All Indexes 1947-49=100]

	Indox of output	103 93 93 104 1148 1167 1173 1173
Index of profits	deprecta- tion plus deple- tion per dollar of sales	9999999999
Indexes of direct labor costs	Per unit of output per pro- duction worker man-hour	96, 100,11 100,11 100,12 100,13 100,13 103,1
Indexes	Per unit of output per total worker man-hour	94. 97. 105.6 105.6 113.3 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2 111.2
Rates of return on stockholders equity	Aftor	Percent 13.6 13.4 11.4 11.7 11.0 10.0 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1
Rates of stockhold	Before	Percent 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.3 21.3 28.4 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3
dexes of pro- ductivity	Per total worker man-hour	98.2 101.4 100.5 100.5 100.5 117.2 113.2 1130.4 1130.7 1137.7
Indexes of productivity	Per pro- duction worker man-hour	95.9 101.2 103.6 1103.6 1105.6 1123.9 1142.0 147.9 166.7
Index of employ- ment	Nonpro- duction workers	96, 7 101, 7 101, 7 101, 7 100, 7 125, 7 15, 8 15, 8 162, 0 175, 5 186, 2
Index of en	Produc- tion workers	106, 7 102, 6 90, 7 90, 7 111, 8 117, 3 115, 4 116, 4 116, 6 116, 6
Index of hourly earnings	Straight time	92.2 101.6 106.3 112.0 128.9 128.9 133.1 151.6 160.2
Index of hou carnings	Gross	92.7 101.3 106.1 1123.1 133.2 133.2 145.1 160.3 160.3
	Whole-sale price index	69999999999
		1947 1948 1950 1950 1951 1952 1954 1956 1956

¹ Not available.

APPENDIX B

CROSS-SECTION CORRELATION MATRIXES

 $T_{ABLE} \ B-1. - \textit{Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wages (N=19) }$

tara da la companya							
Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
				1947-48			
Percent change: Straight-time hourly earn- ings Percent change: Production workers em- ployment	1.0	0. 417 1. 0	-0.248 357	0. 195 . 609	0.012	0.138	0. 226 276
Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour Percent change: Output Average return on equity before taxes Average return on equity after taxes Concentration ratio			1.0	.486 1.0	.394 .463 1.0	.367 .472 .873 1.0	.39 .17 10 .07 1.0
		<u> </u>	!	1948-49		·	
Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. Percent change: Production worker employment. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour.	1.0	-0.050 1.0	0.162 318 1.0	0.024 .857	0. 616 057	0.777 002	0.330 200
f. Percent change: Output				1.0	. 237 1. 0	. 203 . 940 1. 0	10: . 44' . 52' 1. 0
	1949–50						
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings 2. Percent change: Production worker employment 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 4. Percent change: Output 5. Average return on equity before taxes	1.0	-0.563 1.0	0.362 457 1.0	-0.372 .779 .142 1.0	-0.087 .591 052 .654	-0.097 .518 .090 .653 .902	0.03 19 .29 .08
7. Average return on equity after taxes				1070 51		0.138 .150 .367 .472 .873 1.0 0.777 002 .282 .203 .940 1.0	1.0
		1		1950-51		1	
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment. 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 4. Percent change: Output. 5. Average return on equity before taxes. 6. Average return on equity after taxes. 7. Concentration ratio.	1.0	0.171	-0. 247 464 1. 0	0.078 .908 085 1.0	0.178 .715 254 .631 1.0	.554 .017 .588 .869	0.04 .59 .09 .72 .36 .37 1.0
				1951-52			
Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings	1.0	0.087	0.118	0.039 .870 .793 1.0	0.598 .620 .284 .491 1.0	.369 .081 .210 .821	0. 28 .32 .38 .33 .45 .46

2. Percent change: Production worker employment. 3. Percent change: Output per production 4. Percent change: Output per production 5. Average return on equity before taxes 6. Average return on equity before taxes 7. Concentration ratio 1. D								
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings	Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Percent change: Production worker employment. 1.0 0.249 0.251 0.332 0.550 0.689 0.489 0.489 0.481					1952-53	3		'
3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 4. Percent change: Output. 5. Average return on equity before taxes. 6. Average return on equity before taxes. 7. Concentration ratio. 1. 0	earnings 2. Percent change: Production worker em	1.0					0. 689	0.423
A verage roturn on equity before taxes	3. Percent change: Output per production	1	- 1.0	.082	.897	. 782	. 582	. 37
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings	worker man-nour 4. Percent change: Output 5. Average return on equity before taxes 6. Average return on equity after taxes			1.0		. 724	.603	. 08 . 36 . 55 . 53 1, 0
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment. 1. 0			<u> </u>	1	1953-54	<u></u>		
Carrings			,		1000 01	· · · · · ·		
Worker man-hour	earnings 2. Percent change: Production worker employment	1.0			1	1	1	0. 463 —, 235
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings	Worker man-nour Percent change: Output A Percent change: Output Average return on equity before taxes Average return on equity after taxes			1.0		—. 059	020 . 907	264 471 . 553
1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings	7. Concentration ratio	-						1.0
Concentration ratio Concentration ratio			- '	-	1954-55		<u>' </u>	
3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour 1.0 504 152 261 33 34 35 35 35 35 35 35	Percent change: Production worker em-	1 1 0				i i		0. 383
1.0 1.0	Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. Percent change: Output. Average return on equity before taxes.		1.0	1	. 504	. 152	. 261 . 494	142 . 306 . 199 . 447
Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings 1.0 -0.197 0.354 0.086 0.055 0.146 0.42	6. Average return on equity after taxes							. 460
2. Percent change: Output per production worker employment 1.0 -0.197 0.354 0.086 0.055 0.146 0.42 0.220 0.230 0.390 0.372 0.546 0.544 0.60 0.42 0.230 0.390 0.372 0.546 0.544 0.60 0.54 0.60 0.42 0.42 0.60 0.45					1955-56			
2. Percent change: Output per production worker employment 1.0 -0.197 0.354 0.086 0.055 0.146 0.42 0.220 0.230 0.390 0.372 0.546 0.544 0.60 0.42 0.230 0.390 0.372 0.546 0.544 0.60 0.54 0.60 0.42 0.42 0.60 0.45	1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly							
3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 1.0	earnings	1.0						0.428
Worker man-hour	3. Percent change: Output per production		1.0		j	. 323	. 244	 141
1.0 1.0	worker man-nour. Percent change: Output			1.0		. 259	. 232	. 391 . 182
. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings Percent change: Production worker employment Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour Percent change: Output O .230 0.390 0.372 0.546 0.544 0.60 . 1.0 .258 .586 .561 .570 .18 . O .260 .378 .328 .50 . O .260 .378 .328 .328 . Percent change: Output O .260 .501 .570 .18 . O .260 .501 .501 .501 .501 .501 .501 .501 .50	3. Average return on equity after taxes							.603
Company			·i		1956-57			
Company	Parcent change Straight time house							
Deprice to the production 1.0 -2.58 .586 .561 .570 .18	earnings	1.0		0.390	0.372	0. 546	0. 544	0.607
. Percent change: Output	ployment Dercent change: Output per production		1.0					.186
1.0	Average return on equity before taxes Average return on equity after taxes	1		1.0		.726	. 698	.504 .480 .612 .755

Table B-1.—Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wages (N=19)—Continued

Variable	1	2	. 3	4	5	6	7
				1957-58			
Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. Percent change: Production worker employment. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. Percent change: Output. Average return on equity before taxes. Average return on equity after taxes. Concentration ratio.	1.0	-0.576 1.0	0.049 .079 1.0	-0.440 .880 .527 1.0	0.392 009 .462 .222 1.0	0.484 030 .359 .171 .883	0. 549 539 12 349 500 698 1. 0

Table B-2.—Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wholesale prices (N=16)

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				1947	-48			
1. Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment.	1.0	0. 423 1. 0	-0. 361 439	0. 188 . 647	0. 093 . 291	0.029	0. 107 . 110	0. 333 —. 161
6. Average return on equity before taxes			1.0	. 369 1. 0	. 024 . 375	. 404 . 438	. 383 . 458	.356
						1.0	. 560 . 888	. 329 104
taxes								1.0
				1948	3-49		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment.	1.0	-0. 191 1. 0	0. 152 421	-0. 106 . 832	0. 214 520	0. 767 333	0. 888 239	0. 595 125
3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour			1.0	. 133 1. 0	. 328 416 1. 0	. 534 045 . 439	. 295 074 . 335	172 033
6. Average return on equity before taxes		1	l .			1.0	. 927 1. 0	. 46 . 61 1. 0
		L	1	194	9-50	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. Percent change: Production	1.0	-0. 371	0. 303	-0. 151		0. 087	0. 126	0. 033
worker employment			1.0	.781 .104 1.0	050 . 170 . 073	. 735 092 . 776	. 595 . 097 . 752	240 280 013
6. Average return on equity before taxes. 7. Average return on equity after		1			1.0	041 1. 0	. 113	019
taxes							1.0	1. 0

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		· · · · · · · ·		195	0-51		-!	<u></u>
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. 2. Percent change :Production	1.0				0. 101	0. 311	0.341	009
worker employment. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. Percent change: Output. Percent change: Wholesale price		1.0	1.0	.912	. 052 415 199	. 733 174 . 616	. 616 . 083 . 615	.40
index					1.0	. 294 1. 0	066 . 885	5
taxes							1.0	1.0
				1951	-52		·	
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings Percent change: Production	1.0	0.055	0.007	-0.036	0. 375	0. 656	0. 723	0.17
worker employment Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour Percent change: Output Percent change: Wholesale price		1.0	1.0	. 912 . 829 1. 0	. 093 . 035 065	. 450 . 362 . 365	. 197 . 171 . 117	.10
index					1.0	. 536 1. 0	. 624 . 813	.3
taxes							1.0	. 48 1. 0
				1952	-53			
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings Percent change: Production worker employment. Percent change: Output per pro-	1.0	0.383 1.0	0. 203 204	0. 491 . 837	0. 546 . 275	0. 725 . 666	0. 783 . 525	0. 59
duction worker man-hour. Percent change: Output. Percent change: Wholesale price index.			1.0	. 336 1. 0	171 . 176 1. 0	094 . 547	. 094	-05 . 21
Average return on equity before taxes						1.0	. 800	. 53
Concentration ratio							1.0	1. 0
				1953	-54			
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. Percent change: Production worker employment. Percent change: Output per production	1.0	0.458 1.0	-0.257 327	0.160	0. 620 027	0.794	0.699	0. 51 05
duction worker man-hour Percent change: Output Percent change: Wholesale price index			1.0	1.0	215 247 1. 0	023 018	123 023	44 45
Average return on equity before taxes						1.0	. 888	. 51
taxes							1.0	. 64 1. 0

								<u>_</u>
Variabl e	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				1954	-55			
1. Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment. 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 4. Percent change: Output. 5. Percent change: Wholesale price index. 6. Average return on equity before taxes. 7. Average return on equity after taxes. 8. Concentration ratios.	1.0	0.457	0.081 218 1.0	0.618 .691 .452 1.0	0. 551 . 751 201 . 587 1. 0	0.655 .505 032 .469 .448	0. 629 .340 .178 .449 .395 .868	0.370120 .223 .161 .196 .413 .495
1. Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. 2. Percent change: Production worker employment. 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. 4. Percent change: Output. 5. Percent change: Wholesale price index. 6. Average return on equity before taxes. 7. Average return on equity after taxes. 8. Concentration ratios.	1.0	-0.173 1.0	0.492 170 1.0	0.264 .731 .508 1.0	-0.098 .614 418 .283 1.0	0.037 .449 015 .358 .404	0. 202 . 312 . 007 . 299 . 442 . 853 1. 0	0. 471 067 . 351 . 274 . 193 . 513 . 688 1. 0
		1		195	3-57 I		1	
Percent change: Gross hourly earnings. Percent change: Production worker employment. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. Percent change: Output. Percent change: Wholesale price index. Average return on equity before taxes. Average return on equity after taxes. Concentration ratios.	1.0	0.390	0. 368 456 1. 0	0. 597 . 482 . 521 1. 0	0. 551 . 660 100 . 397 1. 0	0.660 .448 .283 .627 .585 1.0	0.660 .471 .241 .617 .711 .883 1.0	0. 541 .170 .359 .391 .617 .622 .820
				195	7-58			
1. Percent change: Gross hourly earnings 2. Percent change: Production worker employment 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour 4. Percent change: Output 5. Percent change: Wholesale price index 6. Average return on equity before	1.0	-0.411 1.0	0. 183 . 301 1. 0	-0.172 .895 .677 1.0	0.308 047 .329 .115 1.0	0. 521 024 . 531 . 253 . 629	0.598 020 .398 .207 .276	0.577 441 .007 281 114
taxes						1.0	.877 1.0	. 525 . 753 1. 0

APPENDIX C

TRENDS IN INDIVIDUAL INDUSTRIES RELATIVE TO ALL MANUFACTURING

In order to compare the movements of prices, wages, labor costs, and returns to capital in each 2-digit manufacturing industry, indexes were computed showing the *ratio* between the index of these variables in each industry and the index of the same variables in all manufacturing. The resulting indexes are presented in tables C-1 to C-20. A brief description of each of them follows.

- 1. Wholesale Price Index= $\frac{\text{Wholesale Price Index: Industry}}{\text{Wholesale Price Index: Manufacturing}}$ The basic wholesale price indexes are given in appendix A.
- 2. Straight Time Hourly Earnings = Index of Straight Time Hourly Earnings:

 Index of Straight Time Hourly Earnings:

 Manufacturing
- 3. Output= Index of Output: Industry
 Index of Output: Manufacturing
 The basic indexes of output are given in appendix A.

4. Output Per Total Worker Man-Hour= $\frac{\text{Man-Hour: Industry}}{\text{Index of Output per Total Worker}}$

Man-Hour: Manufacturing
The basic indexes of output per total worker man-hour are given in appendix A.

5. Direct Labor Costs Per Unit of Output Per Total Worker Man-Hour = Index of Direct Labor Costs/Unit of Output/TWMH: Industry Index of Direct Labor Costs/Unit of Output/TWMH: Manufacturing

Direct labor costs were measured by the index of gross hourly earnings of production workers; no data are available for hourly costs of both production and nonproduction workers. As a result, the index of direct labor costs probably understates the rate of increase in total labor costs, since nonproduction workers have increased considerably faster than production workers and since the average hourly compensation rate for nonproduction workers is probably higher than the average gross hourly earnings of production workers.

6. Capital Costs Per Dollar of Sales = Index of Profits Plus Depreciation Plus Depletion Per Dollar of Sales: Industry Index of Profits Plus Depreciation Plus Depletion Per Dollar of Sales: Manufacturing

The basic indexes of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales

are given in appendix A.

It should be noted that the same limitations discussed in appendix A with regard to comparability of scope and classification method are applicable in equal degree to the data presented here. It should also be noted that one important part of costs—viz, costs of materials per unit—are not available. In a few instances, indirect taxes may also represent a fairly important part of the final price not accounted for by the costs included above.

Tables C-1 to C-20 follow.

Table C-1.—Ratios: Food and kindred products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1955 1956 1957	102. 4 102. 2 95. 4 95. 9 96. 5 96. 4 92. 7 92. 6 88. 4 85. 1 85. 7 89. 1	99. 9 99. 8 100. 4 101. 6 100. 8 102. 2 102. 0 103. 1 104. 5 103. 7 104. 2 105. 0	109.8 89.3 101.2 86.6 93.8 86.5 90.3 91.0 84.6 91.5 90.2 99.6	102. 2 98. 8 98. 8 95. 4 95. 8 96. 4 95. 7 92. 6 94. 8 96. 2 98. 2	101. 0 96. 1 103. 0 92. 8 87. 5 87. 1 81. 3 88. 8 82. 3 82. 8 91. 0	97. 9 100. 7 101. 5 105. 2 103. 8 104. 5 106. 7 106. 6 111. 2 107. 9 107. 2

Table C-2.—Ratios: Tobacco products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1953 1955 1955 1956 1957 1958	99. 7 96. 0 104. 6 102. 8 95. 6 98. 6 105. 6 106. 2 105. 0 101. 3 102. 6	102.0 98.6 99.6 103.1 100.7 99.2 99.1 101.0 99.2 103.2 103.7 104.8	85. 1 102. 0 114. 1 97. 3 98. 9 102. 4 116. 3 120. 7 119. 1 125. 3 138. 7 159. 8	95.8 100.0 104.5 101.9 106.6 106.3 103.7 98.0 93.7 98.1 104.6	98.0 98.1 104.1 91.9 90.0 90.3 82.1 84.0 76.6 76.6 79.3 91.8	106. 4 98. 6 95. 2 100. 6 93. 5 92. 5 94. 8 102. 7 106. 0 104. 1 98. 4 91. 9

Table C-3.—Ratios: Textiles to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight- time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	103. 4 102. 0 94. 6 97. 2 100. 3 87. 6 84. 6 80. 7 80. 2 76. 7 74. 3 70. 7	99. 1 101. 3 99. 6 99. 5 98. 8 96. 1 91. 2 88. 6 86. 3 85. 3 84. 5 82. 2	113. 2 110. 3 73. 9 79. 5 67. 5 48. 2 53. 5 30. 9 49. 9 55. 8 51. 8	98. 7 99. 7 101. 9 98. 3 99. 7 101. 6 101. 1 103. 0 105. 0 105. 2 108. 2	99. 0 101. 9 99. 0 98. 2 88. 3 84. 7 79. 9 80. 0 76. 0 73. 1 76. 9	100. 2 101. 5 97. 8 100. 9 98. 6 94. 3 90. 1 84. 7 84. 4 82. 0 81. 0

Table C-4.—Ratios: Apparel to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight- time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	105. 0 99. 4 95. 8 92. 9 90. 4 89. 6 89. 2 88. 0 87. 2 85. 1 82. 6 81. 6	104. 1 100. 3 96. 1 94. 5 94. 7 89. 3 86. 0 86. 1 82. 1 84. 0 82. 1	134.0 88.7 75.3 78.2 58.6 77.8 82.3 79.2 80.2 94.6 83.4 80.9	101. 7 100. 2 97. 9 95. 6 94. 2 93. 5 90. 3 86. 8 89. 6 88. 9	97. 0 99. 0 104. 1 97. 3 88. 3 89. 5 84. 3 87. 2 85. 1 83. 4 82. 1 87. 3	102.6 100.2 97.8 98.3 98.3 95.6 95.6 92.0 94.6 91.4

Table C-5.—Ratios: Lumber to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1955 1955 1955	97. 7 103. 3 98. 9 109. 4 107. 3 106. 6 103. 8 107. 5 104. 9 96. 6 94. 5	100. 5 100. 3 99. 3 101. 5 102. 4 102. 2 101. 4 99. 0 98. 3 97. 6 96. 1 96. 6	116. 6 100. 5 81. 1 92. 4 87. 7 76. 1 70. 4 73. 1 85. 2 68. 2 58. 0 72. 5	97. 9 102. 4 98. 6 101. 4 97. 4 99. 3 103. 6 107. 6 105. 2 102. 8 105. 0 109. 6	101. 0 101. 9 95. 9 100. 9 93. 3 88. 7 85. 1 88. 8 87. 2 82. 1 75. 2 82. 1	102. 3 97. 9 100. 9 99. 4 103. 8 102. 3 96. 7 91. 5 93. 0 94. 6 91. 6 88. 5

Table C-6.—Ratios: Furniture and fixtures to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958	99. 4 98. 6 102. 0 102. 3 102. 8 102. 7 103. 8 103. 1 103. 7 105. 1 106. 0 106. 1	99. 9 100. 1 100. 1 99. 1 99. 7 99. 8 99. 3 99. 0 97. 7 97. 8 96. 4 94. 8	113. 7 103. 2 81. 2 89. 2 89. 6 84. 7 81. 6 73. 4 73. 9 84. 5 76. 9 73. 8	101. 0 99. 8 99. 0 94. 9 94. 1 93. 5 92. 2 103. 4 101. 5 97. 1 96. 3	100. 0 101. 0 99. 0 105. 4 92. 5 91. 9 88. 8 99. 2 100. 0 95. 9 98. 5	99. 3 100. 1 100. 7 104. 3 105. 3 106. 6 106. 9 94. 8 96. 1 96. 1 98. 5 98. 3

Table C-7.—Ratios: Paper and products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	102.8 99.1 98.2 96.9 103.5 103.2 102.9 102.3 103.7 106.4 105.2	98. 4 100. 5 101. 0 100. 6 100. 7 101. 8 100. 2 101. 5 101. 8 103. 3 104. 0	116. 4 93. 4 89. 3 92. 6 106. 7 102. 8 95. 6 96. 2 88. 1 89. 8 83. 4 87. 3	102. 1 99. 6 98. 2 100. 8 102. 6 97. 2 97. 3 97. 6 99. 1 99. 0 97. 7	100. 0 99. 0 101. 0 106. 3 104. 2 96. 0 97. 0 105. 6 105. 7 107. 6 106. 9	96. 8 100. 8 102. 4 100. 4 97. 9 104. 3 103. 1 103. 8 103. 1 103. 9 105. 9

Table C-8.—Ratios: Printing and publishing to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	000000000000	96. 9 99. 8 103. 0 102. 8 99. 2 99. 6 98. 3 98. 5 98. 4 96. 6 95. 2 95. 4	108. 1 94. 2 97. 5 81. 3 94. 7 98. 2 98. 3 96. 6 89. 6 89. 4 94. 6 95. 3	99. 8 100. 3 99. 5 95. 5 96. 9 92. 8 91. 1 92. 3 89. 1 89. 4 87. 4 85. 6	96. 0 98. 1 106. 2 97. 3 91. 7 88. 7 85. 8 96. 0 90. 1 92. 4 92. 4 97. 8	97. 3 99. 4 103. 3 106. 7 101. 1 106. 1 106. 3 109. 9 106. 9 108. 1 111. 0

¹ Not available.

Table C-9.—Ratios: Chemicals to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947. 1948. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956. 1957. 1958.	105.7 100.0 94.5 92.5 95.2 92.6 91.8 94.1 92.7 89.7 88.9 88.7	98. 9 99. 6 101. 4 102. 9 102. 3 102. 9 103. 3 104. 9 105. 3 106. 7 106. 9	97. 9 95. 4 107. 4 112. 3 112. 6 115. 9 116. 9 119. 1 116. 7 120. 8 122. 5	96. 8 99. 6 103. 5 111. 6 114. 6 113. 3 111. 9 111. 1 118. 5 121. 5 122. 7 125. 0	96. 0 100. 0 104. 1 111. 7 115. 8 116. 1 114. 9 122. 4 126. 2 131. 7 137. 2 148. 5	102. 1 100. 0 97. 9 91. 8 88. 8 91. 8 91. 8 94. 2 88. 8 87. 1 86. 7

Table C-10.—Ratios: Petroleum and products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1953 1954 1955 1955 1955	93. 4 108. 0 98. 0 106. 7 94. 7 98. 5 99. 2 95. 9 96. 7 98. 3 102. 1 92. 2	97. 0 100. 9 102. 0 100. 2 99. 9 100. 5 100. 1 100. 3 102. 7 102. 0 102. 4	98. 2 105. 3 95. 9 85. 2 93. 5 94. 5 96. 5 97. 1 89. 5 90. 7 90. 7	101. 6 101. 4 95. 8 100. 9 106. 3 102. 8 103. 1 98. 6 101. 9 103. 9 102. 6 100. 5	97. 0 101. 0 101. 0 99. 1 101. 7 97. 6 96. 3 98. 4 95. 7 96. 6 95. 9 97. 0	95. 1 99. 3 106. 8 99. 2 93. 7 97. 4 96. 9 101. 7 98. 5 98. 8 99. 7

Table C-11.—Ratios: Rubber and products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947. 1948. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956. 1957. 1958.	102. 2 98. 1 99. 9 107. 6 114. 7 113. 7 111. 4 112. 3 121. 9 121. 8 118. 9 119. 0	103. 1 99. 1 98. 3 97. 5 97. 2 99. 8 99. 2 99. 0 99. 7 100. 2 98. 9 100. 4	106. 7 100. 1 92. 4 107. 2 118. 4 113. 6 109. 5 109. 3 103. 1 106. 4 108. 7 117. 8	100. 9 100. 3 99. 9 99. 4 98. 0 95. 2 96. 1 99. 3 96. 4 94. 0 93. 1	106. 0 99. 0 95. 9 100. 0 96. 7 94. 4 94. 0 94. 4 97. 9 91. 0 91. 0	102. 0 98. 4 98. 7 99. 1 1 99. 4 105. 5 103. 9 100. 3 105. 6 106. 8 107. 3

Table C-12.—Ratios: Leather and products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	103.8 98.4 98.1 100.8 104.3 91.9 92.5 89.4 88.2 89.9 87.7 87.6	102. 6 100. 1 97. 7 98. 6 97. 4 96. 3 94. 1 92. 1 91. 1 91. 8 90. 2 88. 9	124. 3 94. 0 79. 8 95. 7 74. 5 106. 6 109. 4 116. 2 117. 8 93. 8 102. 1 102. 4	103. 8 98. 8 97. 3 96. 2 98. 8 95. 3 92. 6 95. 1 92. 1 93. 4 93. 7	105. 0 97. 1 97. 9 93. 7 80. 8 83. 9 77. 6 82. 4 79. 4 78. 6 77. 9 81. 3	98. 7 101. 1 100. 6 101. 3 97. 4 100. 1 100. 8 96. 5 98. 1 97. 2 95. 0

Table C-13.—Ratios: Stone, clay, and glass products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1952 1954 1955 1956 1957 1957	96. 7 97. 4 105. 2 105. 0 101. 6 104. 6 112. 0 114. 3 116. 3 117. 5	99. 5 99. 7 100. 9 101. 1 100. 2 99. 8 100. 6 100. 7 101. 9 102. 0 102. 1	92. 5 96. 9 111. 9 110. 7 111. 5 110. 5 110. 2 113. 3 116. 9 117. 3 125. 2	100. 5 100. 4 98. 9 102. 3 105. 3 102. 6 99. 6 99. 8 99. 0 99. 4 99. 2	99. 0 101. 0 100. 0 105. 4 107. 5 99. 2 94. 0 97. 6 98. 6 100. 0 98. 6 100. 7	99. 0 99. 3 101. 8 98. 9 94. 9 96. 9 100. 7 100. 9 102. 7 102. 9 102. 5

Table C-14.—Ratios: Primary metals to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	110. 8 117. 3 119. 7 126. 1	99. 5 99. 7 100. 8 99. 3 100. 3 100. 9 102. 7 102. 8 104. 8 105. 8 107. 1	95. 2 100. 1 105. 1 108. 2 113. 0 97. 1 112. 8 112. 2 116. 0 115. 3 112. 9	102. 1 100. 9 96. 8 99. 4 98. 8 94. 4 96. 9 96. 9 92. 2 88. 7	103. 0 103. 9 92. 8 102. 7 104. 2 91. 1 97. 0 84. 8 97. 2 93. 1 89. 7 76. 1	97. 4 99. 0 103. 8 100. 4 102. 2 107. 0 106. 6 112. 4 109. 1 114. 6 119. 2 130. 1

 $\mathbf{T}_{\texttt{ABLE}} \ C\text{--}15. \textbf{---Ratios:} \ \textit{Fabricated metal products to all manufacturing}$

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	94. 7 98. 7 106. 4 106. 1 105. 3 106. 8 108. 5 109. 1 111. 3 113. 8 115. 1 114. 5	99. 2 99. 6 101. 1 100. 5 99. 7 100. 2 100. 0 101. 0 100. 9 101. 1 101. 5 102. 7	107. 1 99. 7 92. 4 95. 5 99. 2 87. 7 81, 1 74. 0 74. 6 73. 1 74. 1 75. 6	100. 4 100. 5 98. 1 97. 6 95. 3 91. 4 91. 2 88. 88 85. 2 83. 4 83. 4 84. 1	102.0 101.0 95.9 102.7 100.8 94.4 98.5 95.2 92.2 89.7 91.7	99. 1 99. 3 102. 6 103. 4 105. 1 110. 1 110. 6 114. 0 119. 4 121. 0 121. 8 122. 2

Table C-16.—Ratios: Machinery, except electrical, to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947. 1948. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956. 1956. 1957. 1958.	96. 4 97. 4 106. 3 105. 9 106. 1 109. 0 111. 4 115. 9 120. 7 124. 6 126. 2	100. 2 99. 3 100. 6 100. 5 100. 1 101. 4 100. 9 102. 2 101. 4 102. 4 102. 4 103. 4	100. 9 97. 5 101. 8 96. 7 103. 8 104. 3 93. 1 91. 5 89. 4 97. 3 96. 2 95. 0	101. 0 100. 1 98. 9 98. 5 99. 4 99. 2 93. 9 91. 1 91. 2 86. 0 84. 8	104. 0 102. 9 92. 8 92. 8 92. 8 107. 3 103. 7 95. 2 90. 8 98. 6 93. 1 85. 1	99. 0 99. 6 101. 2 102. 3 103. 0 102. 9 102. 4 108. 5 112. 0 112. 2 118. 5 120. 8

Table C-17.—Ratios: Electrical machinery to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958	100. 4 97. 8 101. 9 99. 6 100. 6 103. 6 106. 7 105. 8 107. 3 111. 5 113. 4	100. 2 99. 9 100. 0 97. 3 97. 0 97. 7 97. 1 98. 2 97. 6 98. 1 98. 1 99. 0	99. 2 96. 7 104. 6 116. 0 111. 9 112. 5 102. 7 95. 4 82. 9 79. 8 92. 3 95. 0	98. 2 99. 6 102. 7 100. 0 97. 3 106. 6 103. 1 105. 1 104. 5 105. 9 104. 0	103. 0 99. 0 97. 9 104. 5 107. 5 125. 8 129. 9 127. 2 124. 8 134. 5 135. 2 128. 4	101.8 100.3 97.4 97.9 100.0 91.8 94.5 93.5 93.7 92.4 94.1

Table C-18.—Ratios: Transportation equipment to all manufacturing

	Wholesale Price Index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947. 1948. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956. 1956. 1957. 1958.	030000000000	100. 1 99. 9 100. 1 100. 3 98. 7 98. 6 98. 8 98. 8 98. 8 98. 6 98. 7 100. 2	84. 4 97. 3 120. 3 119. 7 97. 3 105. 0 93. 3 95. 8 109. 9 89. 7 95. 0 82. 3	95. 1 100. 9 102. 7 103. 7 98. 6 94. 9 98. 1 99. 2 103. 3 100. 6 105. 2 104. 8	94. 0 99. 0 106. 2 108. 1 112. 5 121. 8 138. 1 134. 4 142. 6 134. 5 146. 2	104.7 98.7 98.0 97.3 100.5 4 101.6 101.0 97.9 98.8 94.3

¹ Not available.

Table C-19.—Ratios: Motor vehicles and equipment to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	95. 2 97. 1 107. 6 103. 0 97. 7 105. 9 105. 4 104. 9 108. 6 109. 9	99. 4 99. 7 100. 9 99. 9 101. 1 99. 5 98. 9 98. 6 98. 3 99. 3	88.7 94.8 118.4 115.2 93.9 105.7 92.3 97.8 112.4 91.7 100.2 86.6	99999999999	95. 0 98. 0 107. 2 117. 9 100. 0 81. 6 92. 6 85. 8 107. 7 85. 0 87. 1 72. 8	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

¹ Not available.

Table C-20.—Ratios: Instruments and related products to all manufacturing

	Wholesale price index	Straight time hourly earnings	Profits as percent of sales	Output per total worker man-hour	Output	Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour
1947	000000000000	99. 5 100. 2 100. 5 101. 3 102. 2 103. 5 100. 9 102. 1 102. 6 102. 5 103. 0	99999999999	101. 6 101. 4 96. 9 98. 0 94. 9 104. 2 102. 7 104. 1 103. 4 104. 0 105. 0	103.0 101.0 95.9 97.3 103.3 119.4 120.1 124.8 118.4 123.4 126.9 129.1	98. 0 98. 3 103. 9 103. 8 108. 5 99. 9 99. 0 98. 0 99. 3 98. 0 98. 9

¹ Not available.

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 1 THE SERVICE SECTOR: DATA ON OUTPUT, EMPLOYMENT, PRICES, AND INCOME

(By George W. Bleile)



TECHNICAL NOTE 1

THE SERVICE SECTOR: DATA ON OUTPUT, EMPLOYMENT, PRICES. AND INCOMES

By George W. Bleile

The purpose of this note is to present more fully some of the data which were developed during the investigation of inflation and growth in the service sector. The major findings have been reported in chapters 3 and 5 of the Joint Economic Committee "Staff Report on Employment, Growth, and Price Levels" (Wash-

ington, 1959).

The note is in three parts. Part 1 contains data on an aggregate basis for the entire service sector including finance and insurance, transportation, utilities, professional and other personal services. The second part presents more specific data for industries in three broad service groups: medical care, transportation and public utilities, and other nonprofessional services. Part 3 is a description of data and a report of preliminary analysis of prices and wages carried out on a cross section of large cities.

PART 1. THE SERVICE SECTOR IN THE AGGREGATE

I. OUTPUT

A. THE POSTWAR RECORD

The output of services in the private domestic economy increased nearly 50 percent in the postwar period. The rate of increase was rather steady at about 3.5percent per year, although there was some acceleration in the rate of increase in

the last part of the period.

Two sets of data are available which measure services output. One set presents deflated expenditure data; the other shows gross product originating (GPO) aggregated on an industry basis. The expenditure data is the service portion of personal consumption expenditure and includes purchases by households and private, nonprofit institutions. 1

The gross product originating data is taken from Charles L. Schultze's monograph "Prices, Costs, and Output" and is based on estimates of net national income originating by industry. ²

While the two sets of data should not be expected to match perfectly because of e differences in concept and scope, there is broad correspondence. The housethe differences in concept and scope, there is broad correspondence. hold operation expenditure category corresponds roughly to the public utility and communication industries; transportation generally with railroad and other transportation industries; and the other services-expenditure category with the personal services industry.³ The other services category includes medical care, personal care, recreation, business services, and expenditure by private nonprofit groups.⁴ Both sets of data omit housing because the major portion of housing "expenditure," and the major portion of the "output" of the real estate industry is imputed rental value of owner-occupied houses. Homeowners are assumed to be in the real estate business renting to themselves.5

1 See appendix for a listing of the coverage of service sector as defined for Department of Commerce ex-

penditure data.
⁵ See Schultze, op. cit., p. 28.

¹ The basic source for this data is U.S. Department of Commerce, "U.S. Income and Output," a supplement to the Survey of Current Business, Washington, 1959. Table II-5. The personal consumption category accounts for about 70 percent of all service output. The rest, flowing to Government primarily, is outside the scope of this discussion.

² Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output for the Postwar Decade: 1947-57," published by Committee for Economic Development, January 1969. See especially table 2, p. 29. A discussion of the methods used in estimating the indexes is included there.

³ The "service industry" itself is an aggregate. A listing of its components is presented in the appendix. When the term "service sector" is used we mean the "service industries" plus the finance and insurancer transportation, communication, and public utilities industries.

The expenditure data sums to the total real services (less housing) consumed in the household sector. The gross product originating data summed over all industries equals gross national output originating in the private domestic economy (less the real estate industry). Comparison of the consumption of services with total consumption measures the relative importance of the service sector in final real consumer demand. Compensation of the GPO in the service sector with total private gross product originating, measures the relative importance of the service sector as a user of resources.

Table 1 below shows the percentage increases in output of services in the

private economy.

Table 1 .- Real output of services

[Index: 1947 = 100]

•	Real consump	otion expendi- data ¹	Business gross national	Gross product originating 2			
Year	Total serv- ices less housing	Other serv- ices	product 2	Service sector	Personal services industries		
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1951 1952 1953 1954 1954 1955 1956 1956 1957	100. 0 102. 8 104. 3 109. 3 112. 3 115. 3 119. 4 123. 3 130. 9 138. 6 142. 7 147. 2	100. 0 103. 0 105. 0 110. 0 111. 0 113. 7 118. 3 123. 7 130. 0 137. 7 141. 3 146. 3	100, 0 103, 6 101, 9 111, 5 118, 9 122, 5 129, 0 125, 9 137, 7 139, 9 141, 9	100. 0 102. 2 100. 7 109. 1 114. 5 119. 2 123. 6 125. 6 125. 6 134. 8 144. 2 149. 1	100. 0 103. 3 102. 9 106. 0 108. 3 111. 4 113. 9 117. 5 123. 1 131. 5 136. 1		

3 Not available.

Table 2 presents average annual rates of increase in the service sector. Both the real consumption expenditures and gross output originating estimates are given.

Table 2 .- Service sector output: Average annual rates of change, selected years, 1947-58

[Percentage rates]

Period	Services less housing ¹	Service sector ²	Period	Services less housing 1	Service sector ²
1947-57 1947-58. 1947-53. 1947-55.	3. 6 3. 6 3. 0 3. 4	(3) 3. 6 3. 8	1953–57 1953–58 1955–57 1955–58	4. 5 4. 3 4. 4 4. 0	(3) 4. 8 (5) 5. 2

¹ Real consumption expenditure data.

B. THE INCREASED RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES

1. The share of services in consumption

Evidence of the increased relative importance of the service sector in consumption is presented in the tables below. Real consumption of services less housing as a share of total consumption increased from 23.7 percent in 1947 to 25.0 percent in 1958. This is a 5.5 percent increase in relative importance most of which accrued in the last few years. (See table 3.) The same pattern is

¹ Real personal consumption expenditure, "U.S. Income and Output," table II-5.

² Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output," table 2, p. 29. The service sector is an aggregation, using 1947 net national income originating weights, of the following industries: finance and insurance, communication, public utilities, railroads, other transportation, and personal services industries. Business GNP excludes output originating in Government and real estate.

Gross product originating data.
 GPO data not available for 1958.

Source of data in table 1. Compound interest computed from base and terminal year values.

present in the ratio of "other services" to consumption. It is this category which contains most of the expenditures for the traditional personal services such as medical care, personal care, laundry and dry cleaning, recreation and the like. While the changes in the ratio are small, the magnitudes involved are large, and the offsetting effects in durable goods demand significant.

Table 3.—Percentage distribution of personal consumption, constant dollars [Percent of total consumption]

Year	Durable goods	Nondurable goods		Services less housing	Housing	Household operation	Trans- portation	Other services
1947. 1948. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956. 1957. 1958.	11. 9 12. 3 12. 9 14. 8 13. 4 12. 7 14. 1 13. 6 15. 5 14. 4 14. 2	53. 8 52. 7 52. 0 50. 4 50. 9 51. 3 50. 3 50. 1 49. 0 49. 3 49. 0	34. 3 34. 9 35. 1 34. 8 35. 8 36. 0 35. 6 36. 3 36. 3 36. 3 36. 3 36. 3	23. 7 23. 9 23. 7 23. 4 23. 8 23. 9 23. 6 24. 0 23. 7 24. 3 25. 2 25. 0	10. 5 11. 0 11. 4 11. 4 11. 9 12. 2 12. 0 12. 2 11. 8 12. 0 11. 7	4. 4 4. 6 4. 6 4. 7 5. 0 5. 0 5. 1 5. 3 5. 5 5. 7 5. 9	3.9 3.7 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.5 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.1	15. 3 15. 5 15. 4 15. 2 15. 2 15. 2 15. 1 15. 6 15. 6 15. 7 16. 1

Source: U.S. Income and Output; table II-5.

Examination of this data emphasizes the cyclical stability of services consumption. During recession years the share of total consumption accounted for by services typically increases because total consumption declines more than service consumption. In 1948-49 and again in 1954 there was a bulge in the ratio of services to total consumption which is especially noticeable. It is striking that the ratio increased in 1956 and 1957 as well as in the recession years 1954 and 1958.

In general the same behavior can be observed when the ratio of total services (including Government) to total gross national product is examined. The ratio fluctuates very closely around 33 percent except in 1949, 1952, 1954, and 1956-58. The increase in the importance of services in 1949 and 1954 is the recession effect mentioned above. The 1952 increase was due to the abnormal Government service requirements associated with the Korean war and does not show up in the personal consumption ratio.

In contrast with the real consumption data discussed above, current dollar expenditure data show a rather steady increase in share spent in the service sector year after year. There is a trend like progression from 21.7 percent of consumption expenditures to 25.7. (See table 4.)

Table 4.—Percentage distribution of personal consumption expenditures, current dollars

[Percent.	of total	consumption	expenditures

Year	Durable goods	Non- durable goods	Services, total	Services less housing	Housing	House- hold operation	Transpor- tation	Medical care	Other
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1956 1957 1958	12. 4 12. 7 13. 6 15. 6 14. 1 13. 2 14. 1 13. 6 15. 4 14. 2 14. 0 12. 8	56. 5 55. 3 53. 3 51. 2 50. 1 52. 4 50. 7 50. 1 48. 6 48. 7 48. 3 48. 4	31. 1 31. 9 33. 1 33. 3 34. 4 35. 2 36. 3 36. 0 37. 0 37. 5	21. 7 22. 0 22. 5 22. 4 22. 5 22. 9 23. 4 24. 1 24. 1 24. 1 25. 7	9. 4 9. 9 10. 6 10. 9 11. 0 11. 5 11. 8 12. 2 11. 9 12. 1 1. 12. 4	4. 5 4. 4 4. 6 4. 8 4. 8 4. 9 5. 0 5. 1 5. 2 5. 5 5. 5	3. 3 3. 4 3. 2 3. 3 3. 4 3. 3 3. 2 3. 2 3. 1	4. 6 4. 9 5. 0 5. 0 5. 1 5. 2 5. 5 5. 4 5. 8 (1)	9, 2 9, 4 9, 5 9, 5 9, 6 10, 1 10, 5 10, 7 16, 9

¹ Included in "Other."

Source: "U.S. Input and Output," table II-6.

2. The share of the service sector in the private domestic economy

The data presenting gross product originating by industry also shows a shift in the relative importance of services. Tabulated in table 5 is the relative importance of the major industrial groups for 1947-57. The service sector as an aggregate increased from 24 to 25.4 percent from 1947 to 1957; and from 23.2 percent in 1953 when the manufacturing industries, reaching their postwar peak importance, supplied both consumers and the Military Establishment with goods.

Table 5.—Gross output originating in the private domestic economy, percentage distribution by industry 1947-57

	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957
Agriculture	2.5 5.0 34.9 6.9	12.6 2.5 5.3 34.3 6.7 15.0	12. 5 2. 3 5. 5 33. 2 6. 8 15. 5	11. 8 2. 3 5. 6 34. 3 7. 0 15. 6	10. 5 2. 4 6. 0 35. 8 7. 0 14. 9	10. 5 2. 3 5. 8 35. 7 7. 0 15, 1	10.3 2.2 5.6 37.2 6.7 14.9	11. 1 2. 2 5. 9 34. 6 6. 7 15. 4	10. 7 2. 2 5. 7 35. 8 6. 6 15. 3	10. 1 2. 2 5. 7 35. 6 6. 6 15. 2	9. 9 2. 2 5. 6 35. 0 6. 4 15. 4
Finance and insurance. Rail transportation. Other transportation. Communications. Public utilities. Services '	3.7 3.1 1.4 1.7	2.8 3.4 3.1 1.5 1.7 11.1	3.0 3.2 1.6 1.9 11.5	3. 2 2. 9 3. 3 1. 5 1. 9 10. 6	3. 0 3. 4 1. 5 2. 1 10. 3	3.5 2.7 3.3 1.6 2.2 10.3	3.5 2.6 3.4 1.6 2.2 9.9	3. 7 2. 4 3. 4 1. 7 2. 4 10. 5	3. 6 2. 5 3. 4 1. 7 2. 4 10. 1	3. 7 2. 5 3. 6 1. 8 2. 6 10. 5	3. 9 2. 3 3. 7 1. 9 2. 8 10. 8
Total private domestic economy 2Addenda:		100. 0	100. 0	100.0	100.0	100. 0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. 0	100. 0
Goods sector Less agriculture Service sector Services industries 1 Other services 3 Commercial sector	(42. 4) 24. 0 (11. 2) (12. 8)	(42. 1) 23. 6 (11. 1)	(11 5)	(42. 2) 23. 4 (10. 6)	(10 2)	I/10 9\I	(0 0)	/10 EV	(10 1)	/10 FL	/+A 01

1 See definition in appendix.

The real estate industry has been omitted. May not add because of rounding.
 Finance and insurance, rail and other transportation, communications, public utilities.

Source: Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs and Output," table 2 and "U.S. Income and Output" table 10. The table was constructed by aggregating the Schultze real output indexes using 1947 net national income originating weights.

A 1.4 percentage point increase in relative importance may appear to be small, but the nonagricultural goods producing industries in the aggregate increased in relative importance only 0.4 points. The relative importance of services was 5.8 percent greater in 1957 than in 1947. In comparison, the relative importance of manufacturing was less than 1 percent greater and the relative importance of agriculture declined 14 percent.

II. EMPLOYMENT

A. THE POSTWAR RECORD

Employment in the service sector has increased at a fairly constant rate with some acceleration near the end of the period. Total employment was little affected by the general business cycle; service sector employment dipped much less than employment in nonservice sectors. In fact, the average number of full-time and part-time employees in the service industries increased during the 1948-49 and the 1957-58 recessions and remained constant in 1954.

Table 6 below presents in index number form the record of employment in the service sector. The left-hand panel shows the average number of full-time and part-time wage and salary employees; the right-hand panel shows full-time equivalent persons participating in production.6 Comparison of the index of employ-

⁶ The two concepts differ as follows: the first series measures the average number of full-time and parttime jobs filled during the year by wage and salary earners. The second series measures man-years of
full-time employment by persons working for wages or salaries and by active proprietors of unincorporated
enterprises devoting a major portion of their time to the business. This series falls short of measuring total
man-years by excluding unpaid family workers. It falls short of measuring total number of persons holding
jobs because part-time employee is counted as a fraction of a full-time employee.

Both series are shown here because both part-time employment and participation by proprietors are important in the service sector. A single series showing both together is not available.

Frimary reliance is not placed on the Bureau of Labor Statistics data for aggregate service and miscellaneous employment because that series does not cover household workers and because detail for the "miscellaneous" part is not available. The BLS series and the first series referred to above move in very close
harmony, however.

⁽See footnotes to tables VI-13, VI-14, and VI-16 in "U.S. Income and Output" for further discussion.)

ment in the private domestic economy (less real estate) with employment in the service sector demonstrates the relative insensitivity of the sector to recession. When the service industries alone are considered, the insensitivity to recession is even more pronounced.

Table 6.—Employment in the service sector

[Index: 1947=100]

	Number of f	ull- and part-tir salary workers	ne wage and	Full-time equivalent persons participating in production				
	Total private domestic economy		Service industries	Total private domestic economy	Service sector	Service industries		
1947	102. 1 98. 3 101. 7 107. 6 108. 9 111. 8 108. 1 111. 9 115. 9	100. 0 101. 8 100. 9 104. 4 108. 7 109. 6 111. 9 110. 7 116. 0 121. 7 124. 1 123. 9	100. 0 101. 8 102. 5 107. 5 111. 0 111. 3 113. 6 113. 6 121. 4 128. 9 132. 3	100. 0 101. 7 98. 3 100. 8 105. 1 106. 2 108. 2 105. 1 108. 2 111. 1 111. 3	100. 0 101. 7 100. 4 102. 9 106. 7 107. 6 109. 4 108. 1 112. 5 117. 2 119. 8	100.0 101.1 104.1 107.1 107.1 108.1 107.1 113.1 119.1 122.124.1		

Source: Full- and part-time workers "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14, includes wage and salary

workers only.
Full-time equivalent persons participating in production, "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16, includes active proprietors of unincorporated enterprises as well as wage and salary workers. Full-time equivalent employment means that part-time workers are counted as a fraction of 1 full-time employee. For example, 2 half-time employees would be counted as 1 full-time equivalent employee.

Note.—The service sector includes the finance, transportation, and utility industries plus the service industries which are defined in the appendix.

The indexes of full-time equivalent persons participating in production shows, in general, the same patterns.

Table 7 lists the average annual percentage increase in employment in the total private economy, the service sector, and the services industries. Employment in services increased on the average faster than in the total economy.

Table 7.—Employment: Average annual rates of change, selected periods, 1947-58

[Average annual percentage rates]

	Average nu	mber of was workers	ge or salary	Number of persons engaged in production			
	Service sector	Service industries	Total private domestic economy	Service sector	Service industries	Total private domestic economy	
1947-57. 1947-58. 1947-53. 1947-55. 1953-57. 1953-57. 1955-57.	2. 2 2. 0 1. 9 1. 9 2. 6 2. 1 3. 4 2. 2	2.8 2.8 2.2 2.5 3.9 3.6 4.4 3.7	1.5 1.1 1.9 1.4 1.0 .1 2.0	1.8 1.6 1.5 2.3 1.7 3.2	2.1 2.0 1.4 1.6 3.1 2.7 3.8 3.0	1.1 .7 1.3 1.0 .7 1 1.5	

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14 and VI-16. Compound interest rates computed from base and terminal year values.

Note.—The service sector includes the finance, transportation, and utility industries plus the service industries which are defined in the appendix.

Employment figures, unlike gross output originating data, are available in some detail for the service industries. Table 8 lists such detail as is possible in index number form for ease of comparison.

Table 8.—Service sector: Average number of full- and part-time employees, 1947-58

	Private houscholds	100.0 90.0 101.0 114.7 114.7 108.2 108.2 109.5 109.5 120.8 120.8 120.8 120.8 120.8	Nonprofit membership organizations	100.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000
	Personal	100.01 98.20 99.20 97.20 97.80 97.80 98.80 97.60	Educational services	100.0 110.1 110.0 125.6 125.8 125.8 125.8 125.8 125.8 125.8 125.8 125.8
	Hotels	100.0 99.0 99.0 98.8 98.8 100.8 101.4 101.4 105.0 105.0	Engineering and other	100. 114.0 111.0 100.7 110.7 110.7 117.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1
	Utilities, electricity and gas	100.00 107.7 110.7 114.1 115.8 115.8 119.2 120.0 123.4 123.4	Amusements and recrea- tion	100.0 104.8 103.4 100.2 100.0 100.0 100.3 100.3 100.3 100.3 100.5 100.5
	Telephone and tele- graph	100.0 107.8 107.8 107.0 107.0 111.8 111.8 111.8 114.8 114.8 116.6 113.0 123.0	Business services	100.0 105.5 106.5 106.5 116.8 137.9 137.9 165.5 180.0
7=100]	Air trans- portation	100.0 96.44 96.25 95.22 103.6 118.1 126.5 126.5 117.4 174.7	Commercial and trade schools	100.0 110.8 127.0 127.0 127.0 113.5 110.8 110.8 113.2 113.2 132.1
[Base: 1947==100]	Highway freight	100.0 104.0 104.0 113.7 124.2 128.2 138.2 138.2 140.7 140.7 147.7 147.7	Legal services	100.0 97.4 97.4 102.8 107.8 110.7 110.7 111.2 111.2 113.8 113.8
	Local rail- roads and bus lines	100.00 88.88.88.88.89.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39.39	Medical services	100.0 106.4 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0 118.0
	Railroads	00.00 97.4 97.4 98.0 98.0 98.0 98.0 97.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77	Motion pictures	100.00 88.88.88.88.88.88.88.89.92.5.6 77.48.88.99.92.5.6
	Finance, insurance and real estate	100.0 103.7 103.7 114.7 113.3 133.7 142.5 142.5 142.5 142.5	Miscellanc- ous repair	100,0 96,4 92,1 102,9 103,0 110,8 110,8 110,8 125,9 125,9
		1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1954 1956 1956		1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1951 1954 1956 1956

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14, p. 212.

B. THE SHIFT IN RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICE EMPLOYMENT

The increase in the relative importance of service employment in the economy can be demonstrated in two ways: First by an analysis of which industries or sectors absorbed the increase in total employment; second by analyzing the proportion contributed by each industry to total private domestic employment.

1. Analysis of the increase in employment

Analysis of the net increase in the average number of full-time and part-time employees indicates the following (see table 9):

Table 9 .- Analysis of sectoral contributions to increases in employment, selected periods 1947-58

	Net in- crease	s	ervice secto	r		Nonservi	ice sector	
Period	total private domestic economy	c Total industries service		service	Total	Trade	Agricul- ture	Industrial
			Iı	ncrease (in	thousands)		,,
1947-57 1947-58 1947-53 1947-55 1953-57 1953-57 1955-57 1955-57	4, 945 5, 010 1, 953 335	2, 833 2, 817 1, 397 1, 884 1, 436 1, 420 949 933	2, 035 2, 231 862 1, 351 1, 173 1, 369 684 880	798 586 535 533 263 51 265 53	4, 065 2, 463 3, 548 3, 126 517 -1, 085 939 -663	2, 105 1, 969 1, 305 1, 532 800 664 573 437	-359 -308 -325 -363 -34 17 4 55	2, 319 802 2, 568 1, 957 -249 -1, 766 362 -1, 155
		•	I	ncrease (in	percentage	2)		
1947-57 1947-58 1947-53 1947-55 1953-57 1953-57 1955-57 1955-58	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	41. 1 53. 4 28. 3 37. 6 73. 5 423. 9 50. 3 345. 5	29. 5 42. 3 17. 4 27. 0 60. 1 408. 7 36. 2 325. 9	11. 6 11. 1 10. 8 10. 6 13. 5 15. 2 14. 0 19. 6	58. 9 46. 6 71. 7 62. 4 26. 5 -323. 9 49. 7 -245. 6	30. 5 37. 3 26. 4 30. 6 41. 0 198. 2 30. 3 161. 9	-5. 2 -5. 8 -6. 6 -7. 2 -1. 7 5. 1 .2 20. 4	33. 6 15. 2 51. 9 39. 1 -12. 7 -527. 2 19. 2 -427. 8

¹ Full- and part-time employees. Wage or salary workers.

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14.

(a) Of the nearly 6.9 million increase in employment in the private domestic economy between 1947 and 1957, the service sector absorbed 41 percent, the commercial sector (wholesale and retail trade) 30 percent, the industrial sector (mining, manufacturing, and construction) about 34 percent and agriculture lost about 5 percent.

(b) From 1955 to 1957, the industrial sector absorbed 19 percent of the nearly 1.9 million increase while the service sector took over 50 percent and trade 30

(c) From 1953-57, the service sector absorbed 73 percent of the total increase in employment, trade 41 percent; but agriculture and the industrial sector contributed over 280,000 persons (or 14 percent of the net increase) who had to be reemployed in some other sector.

2. The shift to service employment as a proportion of total man-years of labor used Table 10 makes use of data for the full-time equivalent labor input of wage or salary workers and active proprietors in the private domestic economy (less real estate).

Table 10.—Persons participating in production—Percentage distribution by industry, 1947-58

	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958
Agriculture Mining Contract construction Manufacturing Whoelsale, retail trade. Finance and insurance Railroads Other transportation Communication Public utilities Services Total private domestic economy? Addenda: Goods sector Less agriculture Service sector Service industries! Other services 3 Commercial sector	(14. 7) (11. 0)	52. 5 (38. 6) 25. 4 (14. 6)	51. 0 (37. 2) 26. 2 (15. 1)	51. 4 (38. 5) 26. 1 (15. 2)	26. 0 (14. 9)	51. 3 (40. 1) 25. 9 (14. 8)	25. 9 (14. 7)	26.4	50. 4 (39. 8) 26. 7	10. 1 1. 5 7. 5 30. 7 23. 0 3. 5 2. 1 3. 0 1. 6 1. 1 15. 8 100. 0 49. 8 (39. 7) 27. 1 (15. 8) (11. 3) 23. 0	9. 8 1. 5 7. 4 30. 4 23. 2 3. 6 2. 0 3. 1 1. 6 1. 1 16. 2 100. 0 49. 1 (39. 3) 27. 6 (16. 2) (11. 4) 23. 2	28. 4

See definition in appendix.
 Detail may not add because of rounding. The real estate industry has been omitted.
 Finance and insurance, railroads and other transportation, communication, public utilities.

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16.

The share of persons participating in production accounted for by the service sector is rather constant at about 26 percent prior to 1954. But beginning with the recession year, 1954, when the share accounted for by the service sector typically increases, an increase year by year in the importance of service employment is shown.

The service sector was 11.0 percent more important as a user of labor in 1958 than it was in 1947. The service industries alone were 15.6 percent more important while the utilities and communications industries gained smaller amounts, or, in the case of railways, declined in relative importance.

III. PRICES

The service component of the Consumer Price Index has shown a progressive The service component of the Consumer Frice index has shown a progressive slowing of the rate of increase during the postwar years, although the rate of advance still exceeded 3 percent per year in the period of least increase, 1955–58. This compares with an annual increase of about 2.2 percent for the total CPI during the same period. Table 11 presents the record on rates of increase in the Consumer Price Index for several subperiods 1947–58. After a rapid rise from the consumer leads a subperiod of the decimal period and 1955 and 1955. wartime levels, commodity prices showed a slight decline between 1951 and 1955, but then increased again in the period following 1956. The service price index continued up without pause. There has not been a single quarter-to-quarter change in which the service price index did not rise.

Table 11.—Service prices: Average annual rates of change, selected periods, 1947-58 [Percentage rates]

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1947-58	1947-51	1951-55	1955-58	1955-59 1
Consumer Price Index: All services	3.5 2.8 6.3 4.2 2.7 1.7 2.4 3.3 3.6 1.6	4. 8 4. 6 3. 2 9. 7 4. 4 3. 0 3. 5 3. 8 4. 0 4. 1 3. 4 2. 8 3. 2	3.3 3.6 2.5 4.0 2.1 3.3 3.3 1 1.1	3. 1 1. 9 2. 9 4. 2 4. 4 3. 1 2. 2 2. 2 2. 2 1. 7 2. 2 2. 2	4. 3 3. 1 3. 8 5. 4 6. 3 4. 0 1. 3 2. 3 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics: "U.S. Income and Output," table VII-3. Rates are compound interest computed from annual base and terminal values.

Tabulated in table 12 is the quarterly Consumer Price Index for virtually every one of approximately 300 items included in the index. The table is reprinted from a Bureau of Labor Statistics multilith release.

September 1959.
 Not available.
 Services other than housing, household operation, and transportation.

Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 Table 12.

	٤	-69-27	00 Tun 00	(1947-49=100 unloss otherwise specifica)	rideo (Poort	Î						ì
	trafer		1947	7,			1948	8			1949	61	ę.
Item and proup	paesa	Mar.	June	Septe	Dec	Har	June	Sapte	Dac.	Mare	June	Septa	Doc
All teno-		93.7	94.2	98•3	98.3 100.2	100,2	103.1	104.8	104.8 103.0	101.9	102.0	102.1	00.101 1.01
All items loss food		93.6 93.9	26 10 10 10 10 10	96.55 28.55	98.6 100.5	100,1	101.1	103.6	103.6 104.0	103.4 101.6	102.7	102.8 103.1	103.1
Corredition		93.8	94.4	ა•66	101.1	100.6	104.2	105.7	102.3	101.0	101.0	100.5	98.5
Rondura blog logg food		25.45 45.45	₹. 4.	8,5	101.3	100.6 0.01	104.6	105.8	102.5	200	100.7	100	8,5
Nominables loss food and apparel-		25.5	% % % %	\$ 80.0 	95.1	101.3	102.2	104.7	106.9	100.0	102.2	102.2	99.6 102.7
Durables less care-		5.5°	25. 26.	88	100.5	99.7 102.2	102.2	104.8	105.2 104.9	101,•8 102,6	102.7 99.4	362 28.3.4.	102.5 98.4
Competition loss food		94.3	94.48	97.0	9.66	101.6	102.0	104.8	104.9	103.0	101	1001	6.66
Sarvices less rent————————————————————————————————————		93.1 94.0	93.4 94.4	95.8 95.2	97.3	98.5	99.7	102.0	102.0 103.2 102.3 103.6	104.2 104.5	100.8	105.7 106.8 105.7	106.8
and oloctricity Household utilities 1/		95.9	8.2	97.2	98°0 98°0	99.2	99.5	100,2	101.8	102.7	103.4	104.2	105.1
hodical care cardeso-		88 23.1	884 J.J.	89.6 95.8		92. 98.9	101.0	107.h	107.7	109.5	109.7	122	11,50
Uchor corvices		6*96	8,	97.0		7.66	99•2	100.5	102.4	102.8	102.4	103.1	102.3
Food at home		93.8	24.3	100.7	102.4	1001	106.0	106.5		8*66	101,1	101.1	7.16
Coroalo and bakory producto		89.68 89.4	23.5			103.4	103.6	103.5	103.0	102.9	102.7	102.7	102.4
Pruito and vegotabloo		97.44 97.7	860	101.3	100	10401	100,0	800	103.4	8 8 6	2,4%	388	28.5
Char food at hand	Jan 53	97.6	85.1	104.3	109.5	97.9	100.0	106.0	103.0	93.0	94.9	102.3	97.T
Honoing 2/		2,8 2,6	28	8,8	. 99.2	200.5	101.2		103.7	103.6	102.7	103.2 104.2	10h 2
Metorior hours and repaire	Do 52						7			ion i	0.101	105.7 107.6	101.0

					-			1		-	-		
Cabirat lettere arrivolation	R R R												
	SK S		-		1		-	-	-		-		
	3 K												
Rothstone Chara-	3											-	
						-				-			
						-							
. ಸರಕಿಯ	2 2 2	-	1		-								
s mateco		-									1		
On and olcothiatty		80.	7.5	97.7	88.	99.5	86.0	86	101	101.5	90707	20,0	107
Co		8 8 8	χ, 6 2, 6	200	1,0	۳: 8:8	200	, .	2 6	200	2010		3 5
92148 Amle ond Amle at	2	χ.	Š.	3,5	₹÷	000	200	10801	300	109.6	101.2	18	100
Salfa Prolo-		£	3 2	9	6	98.7	101.9	108.6	108.8	109.7	101	106.3	109.6
Potrolon frolo		79.5	8	88	200	109.9	109.2	110.6	110.2	108.5	10001	102.5	105,1
Renembeld ogorottes		75.	9.96	97.1	101.8	102.9	102.0	102.0	102.7	100.9	9.66	7.66	0001
Louedry carps and detectories		104.3	102°T	93.5	24.5	77.71	104.7	103.6	103.4	93.	8	85.	8 7 8
Legistry coroteco		83	93.8	% %	97.5	8.7	0.66	89.9	10%	, 50 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	105.6	105.6	199
Dry oleratory and propodery-		9,46	χ. δ.	93.3	8	100	01.0	ניוטו	05.0	102	103	105	102.9
Denotife entries	•	8.	9.6	6° 8°	1001	8	1000	700	100	8	200	200	200
Captolo		0,10	7°7	1.16	۲۰۶۶	7. 86	200	101.2	101.5	101.5	102.1	105	٠ و ا
. අපරක්තු 	_	97.	7° %	97 ett	70016	₽° /6	7.04	70 7	まる	رورسا دورسا	1020	X000	200
The contract of the contract o	X 22	Ş	Ş	8	٤	6	700	5	75,7	200	08.7	87.6	27.70
Torki les	Do 52		2										
Secolo, bath			0.86	8		98.9	1000	102.5		100.3	7.66	100.7	100.7
Shorto, molda		10000	8°5	99.2	103.2	105.8	106.2	106.0	0.901	102.2	۵. ده	7°	89.8
Cartains				103.3		1001		106.8	_	20.05	g:	88	87.3
Blarento, erol		3	S	28 28		§	<u></u>	88.8	_	<u>}</u>	<u>}</u>	1000.1	101
Properties Colors	3 S												
Floor covertains											_		
Dego, wool Arrangement		95.2	93.9	8,50	8.0	7°66	100	103.1	o nor	105.1	pol. 2	102.5	101°101
Corpoto, cool breedloop		105.9	7,00	8,8		8	97.8	100.2		101.4	9.66	101	
Carpeto, rayes preedlog	22	_	ا	1		1		1 8	5	2	3	8	9
ingin, roat tries		25.5	1 30°T	75.65	TOTO	10201	1010	TO 201	TCK OT	TOC OF	TOTO	7204	2006

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	٤	-67-176	100 unl	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified	prylee	opec1£1	Î						
	Other		1947	7			1948	6 0			9/61	0	
Item and group	Pares	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Har.	June	Sept.	Pec	Mar.	June	Sent	١
Housing—Continued	,												
Furniture and bedding		94.1	93.3	95.4		102.0	100,4	106.0	105.9	103.6	100.9	8	ê
Furniture		.93.5	95.8	95.2	78.8€	102.2	105.1	106.9	106.9 106.6	107	100.6	98.6	98.0
Living room suites		99.5	8	98.0		102,3	102.2	103.5	103.9	105.1	99.1	8	96.3
Directo sets		86.7	1 8	91.6	28	101 201	200	109	109.0 106.7	1ch.h	99.3	97.5	9.16
Bodding		3	1	25.0		10101	၁ ရှိ	7.011	5-907	8	103.c	102.2	101.9
Sofa beds		97.9	96.Ł	9.76	100.3	101.8	3.101	9, 101	302.2	70			Š
Hattresses		101.1	98.3	28	98.6	101	300	100	101.4	30	2010	2,4	7.66
Appliances 3/		25.7	97.c	101.3	103.3	103.3	101.3	103.4	104.6	101.1	36		9,9
Sowing machines		88	89.1	9.26	٠, 8	29.7	1.2.1	105.0	1001	100.1	106.1	106.1	106.7
Waching machines-		7,7	0.00	7.66	161.7	102.1	100.5	104.3	105.5	102.9	101.2	99.1	101.0
Vacuum cleaners	-	1. 1.	707	9. FOT	0.00	88.9	97.8	98.2	33.6	100°C	9,6	39.9	98.5
Kerrigeratora		ر د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	71.7	4,000	101.4	102.7	101.2	107 °C	109.7	104.2	28.3	39.1	90.7
	2	7. 8	2.5	2.5	5*101	102.5	102.7	103.6	104.9	101.8	39.0	73°F	5.56
Miscellaneous housefurnishings	Dec per				_ 		!	-			-	-	-
Dimerare		93.8	93.8	95.9	95.9	0,80	8 00	100.2	מ אַסר	, 40	70	100	,
Alumina pang	Dec 52	52			· · · ·		2	3	103	3	102	_	105.c
Paper myking				1								-	!
Toilet tissue		9.68	94.6	6.96	103.0	107.2	107.2		105.5	102.6	9. ce	ó	0
Electric light bulba	Dec 52				-		-						<u> </u>
Aprarel		36.3	97.1	98.1	0,00	102.6	0 001	ר אַטר	م	3	3		į
Hear's and boys'		96.8	97.1	97.8	66	101.7	102.5	100.	103.8	101	٧٠,٥	7.0	7. 80 0. 81
Women's and girls'		97.5	97.8	7.66	3.001	102.6	102.6	106.1	105.9	101.2	98.2	0,00	2.5
Footwar		200	94.8	92.0	200	103.3	103.1	103.9	103.9	103.1	102.7	101.6	101
Chor apparetermentaries		93.0	0.001	102.2	104.9	109.6	109.5	109.0	105.9	1.26	92°C	89.3	89.3
Wool apparel		91.9	92.9	9.96	0.76	9€€	100.3	106.8 106.4	106.4	Ē	3	103.5 102.4	102.4
Topogata			4 0	0	000	. 00	< 5				:		
Sutts, year-round			20.5	7. Z	95.7	103.8	joi e	100.9	100.7		35 35	101	102.3
Suite, sumer		35 35	200.00		36	36	104.3	3	3	3	105.3	3	<u> </u>
7,0000	•	_	2	26.37	76.1	2	0.707	0.01	0.101		163.0	100.3	100.3

100.7 100.2 (4/) (4/) 101.7	94.8 92.1 (4/) (4/) 108.9 (4/) (1/) (1/) (1/) (1/) (1/) (1/) (1/) (1	92.8 95.2 99.7 (<u>U</u> /) 10h. 99.5 97.7 (<u>U</u> /) (<u>U</u> /) 103.	101.8 102.8 104.9 104.0 103.	103.7 106.6 104.0	111.5 111.5 104.4	101.0 104.3 105.3	102.0 100.8 100.2			103.2 107.0			97.4 98.1 100.9	105.1 100.7 101.3	7.80	105:7 109:4 116:7 113:1 108:
(1) 2,101 101	108.4 110.7 (4.7) (4.7) 100.7 (100.7) 101.5 103.6 105.4 (17.7)	104.1 102.4 103.0	103.6 102.1 97.1	02.4 99.7 93.9		6.66	99.6 102.2	99.6 99.4 97.1 103.0 101.5 97.8		(h/) (h/) 108.8 100.6 100.0 96.0		103.9 105.1 101.4	102.9	100	8 7	108.8 100.5 88.9
(1) (3555	\$\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1 94.1	9 93.1	85.0			27.3 8 94.7	<u>.</u>	97.0	<u>·</u>	4 92.7 4 97.9	<u>··</u>		<u></u>	9 86.7
1.56 9.96	105.0 100.1 100.9 (4/) 100.9 99.8 101.1 100.1	101.4 100.8	92.9 92.7	91.9 92.0				92.2 91.6		(L) (L) 92.0 91.2	<u> </u>	_		91.8 91.1		84.6 84.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Consumer Price Index--United States ofty average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	٥	-67-176	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified)	as othe	ndes e	pooifie	d)						
•	Other		1947	1			1948	8			1949	9	
Iton and Group	2000	.var.	June	Sent.	Dec	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec
Apparol-Continued		101.5	99.8	9*66	9,501 9,66	106,1	106.1	106.9 107.0	107.0	ղ•66	93.9	88.2	86.7
Sulta myon	fra 63	-								•			
Slacke, rayon													
Jackstonnennennennennennennennennennennennenne	Dec 52	1		-	-	1		1	1	-	-	-	
Socke, mylon, attractch	180 52 180 52	225				-		!	1	-	-	-	
Homon's:	\ 					:			 - 				-
Drogees, rayon		98.3	100,2	100	103.9	107.2	107.1	108.1			67.00	85,6	62.7
Slipe, rayon and nylon		88.2	89.11	92.1 101.2	101.2	110.7	110.7	110,9 110,5		105.9	103.2	6	88.7
Pantios, rayon		7. 66:	0.66	100.5	100.5	103.4	104.1	106,4		_	97.5	8	8
Alghtgowns, rayon—		9	<u>S</u>	103.1 104.3	104.3	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	106.3	106.9	<u> </u>	3	87.2	8,10
Hodo, nyton		110.8	102.9	100	100.1	102.2	102.0	103.7	103.4	96.5	93.9	0,0	89.5
Out the state of t	200		-	1		-	-	1	-				
District rayon————————————————————————————————————			!	1		-	-	1	-	!	-		
Childrenia	75 25	-		-	-	1	-	!	-	-	-	ľ	
ok o	7												
				-		1	-	!	-		-	1	
3, orlon	Pac 52						1	1		-	!		
apparel								!	!	:			
Yard goods, rayon		93.0	100.3	105.3 108.7	108.7	117.5	119.1	117.0 114.1	114.1	93.2	78.2	76.5	76.5
Procentaneous apparel	Doc 52		!	-		-		-					
Hozon'e girdloa		101	102.7	98.5	98.2 96.6	⊇£ 25.	£.	107.1	103.4	101.7	102.4	94.1	90.7 102.7
Shoop		93.6	95.1	94.2	98.6	103.9	103.1	04,101		103.2	, 201	י מרום נסר	6
								3		_	-	101	•
Shoop, work		93.3 94.4	94.2	94.2	99.2	102.6 104.6	102.6 104.0	103.9 104.1	104.1	103.3	103.4	101.8 102.4	102.4
Shoon, atroot		93.7	2,46	60 7	. 1	9	8 60	1000	-				
Shoos, play	Do 52		?				202	700		103.1	TOK.3	7.00	7007
Shops, oxford		2	7	í		-							
Shee repaire		2,8 1,0	97.0	27.6	97.2 98.2	103.4	101.1	103.0 102.9	102.9	102.1	101.7	101.1 100.5	100.5 101.5
		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	

109.4 110.3 1100.3 1100.0 1100	104.5 104.5 103.3 103.7 102.5 103.6 102.5 102.8 102.1 102.8 103.0 103.5 104.7 105.3 104.7 105.3 104.3 105.3 105.9 106.3 101.0 104.3 105.1 105.1 101.0 104.3 105.1 105.1 101.0 104.3 105.1 105.1 101.0 104.3 105.1 105.1
1000 10	104.5 104.9 103.3 103.7 103.5 103.5 103.5 103.6 102.5 103.6 103.5 103.6 103.9 103.5 104.7 104.3 105.9 106.3 104.9 105.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3 105.9 106.3
108.5.2.4.1.1.6.5.5.5.1.1.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5	100 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
108.3 108.8 108.8 103.5 103.5 103.6 109.9 108.9	103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103
105.0 105.3 105.8 105.8 104.1 104.1 101.4 101.7 101.7 107.3	102.0 101.3 101.3 101.3 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 102.5 103.5 103.5 104.5 105.0 104.5 105.0
105.7 101.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 104.3 105.3 106.3 106.3	100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
98 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
95.3 100.1 100.1 100.1 100.1 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5	8 888888888888888888888888888888888888
484 288	28888888888888888888888888888888888888
232 232 232 232 232 232 232 232 232 232	5 727 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 88	4 888224824844 888888 - 000000000000000000000000000000000000
9888 101 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103	
Jan 53	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Printo	Hedical care- Hodical care loss hospital rates and group hospitalization— Rysicional foso— Gonzal practitioners' foso— Grites visit— Houes visit— Obtotaries care Appandectony— Tonsilloctony— Pillings— Extractions Optimists' foso— Optimists' foso— Pillings— Extractions Optimists or foso— Optimists or foso— Optimists or foso— Hospital rates Somignates recon- Frients recon- Frients recon- Parate recon-

See footnotes at end of table.

Communer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid)

Table 12.

Nedical Care—Continued Group hospitalisation————————————————————————————————————	•				Part Thore Save temp Scamm oc: (h it/:)	ì						
d group base		1947	7			1948	8		-	15	1949	
Dec	Mar	June	Sept.	Dec	Mar	June	Sopt.	Dec	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.
						-						
Description		8,5	97.55	86.8	9.00	101.2	101.7	102.2	102.3	102.6	102.3	103.1
Aspirit tables	86.5	888	100.5	000	000	100	100.3		000	100.3	185	100.3
Multiple vitamin concentrate Dec 52					1.001	7.001	1.001		1007	1.007	100.5	100.5
Personal pare Hon's halfcuts	97.3	97.1 93.4			100.3	100.3	102.1	102.7	101.9	101.2	100.8	100.1
Beauty shop services	200 6.00 6.00	100. 99.6	100.9	100.7	101.	100.2	100.2	99.7	9.60	98.60	2.00	88 7-7
Politationt wave	101.3	1.101			103.2	29.5	109.3	98.60	98.0	98.6	98.2	9.26
Toothpaste	700	χ, γ			20.00	26.	103.9	10.0	100	100	105.1	103.2
Toilet somp	11.2	102.1			107.5	103.9	100.3	100.5	95.5		105 57.5 5.7.5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Rator blades	98 82 8. 2.	29.42 2.63	99.49 19.49 19.49	8, 8, 8, 8,	99 8. 6. 8. 6.	99.8 103.9	99.91 106.9	100	100.00	100	1001	100.1
	52											
Dec	25											
ament refill Doo	52											
Reading and recreation————————————————————————————————————	88 7. 6.	95.2	95.6	97.44 99.66	97. 5.	100.2	100.3	103.0	104.1	103.9	10h 3	104.3
AdultsChildren	8 6 6 4	7.85 7.85	- S	4.001 1000 1001	99.5	98.98	99.2	101.5	102.5	102.0	103.4	102.2
	91.4 52	92.4		93.9	95.7	102.7	103.7	109.1	107.0	107.0	0.701	107.0
Dec Dec	22										ž	1.60
D90	52						!					

•												_	
codo and estrateso		35.0		6.96	97.9	7°86	7,86	102.8 10	2.0	0.60		104.0	0,10
seo products		94.8		97.2	97.9	98.6	98.6	103.4 10	7.5	03.0		103.8	03.8
Mr3		97.4		0.76	97.44	97.1	97.0	103.0 ho	3.6	6.20		103.6	03.6
arottos		93.9	6.16	97.3	97.8	97.3 97.8 98.7	98.9	98.9 103.8 103.7 103.3 103.5	3.7	03.3		103.7 103.6	03.6
1011c beverages Dec 52	Dec 52			-				-	-			-	-
Personner and a second	Be 52	!		-			1	-	-	!		-	1
aky	Doc 52	1	-	-	-		-				-		!
				•									
										•			

300 footnotes at and of table.

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12,

	5	-67-176	100 un.l	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified	rwiee .	specific	(pa			İ			
	Other		19	1950			1951	17			19	1952	
Itom and group	bases	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.
All itoms	_	100.7	101.8	10/1•1/	106.9	110.3	3,011	2777	113.1	112.1	113.4	114.1	114.1
All itoms less food———————————————————————————————————		103.1 99.7	103.0	105.2 103.5	107.4 106.2	10011	110.5	111.7	112.7	112.9	113.3	114.0	114.8
Nondurables less food and apparel		97.9 97.6 99.3 102.4	100.3	102.8 102.5 102.0 104.8	105.0 105.8 104.9 107.8	109.9 109.9 108.1	1100.3	110.9	112.4 1109.6 1111.5 109.6	0011 0011 109.1 7.1111	111.7 108.5 7.111	112.3	6.111.6 109.1 1.8.3
Durables less cars		98.3	98.3	101,0	108.1	112.1	112.0	110.5	110.5	109.3	107.7	107.6	113.8
Commodition less food		99•5	17 .	102.6	105.5	108.5	108.8	109∙8	770.7	0,011	1.09.3	109.7	109.8
Sorvices less rent		107.4 107.0	107.9	109.2	110.8	113.1	113.7	115.0	116.5	117.6	119.3	120.3	121.9
and oloctricity		105.1 104.8 115.5	105.2 104.9 115.7	106.2 105.5 120.8	107.8	108.6 105.8 127.9	108.9	109.7	111.8	112.4	113.2	109.8	115.3 110.5
Modical care services————————————————————————————————————		106.2	106.5	107.4	109.0	109.2	109.2	109.3	115.4	117.3	120.0	121.2	121.7
Food at home		97.3	100.5	104.0	107.1	112.0	112.3	112.5	115.0	112.7	33/11	115.4	113.8
Gereals and bakery products	-	102.3	106.7	107.0 112.h	107.5	113.4	2,411 116.9	114.6	115.2	115.7	116.9	117.4	17.7
Pruits and vegetables————————————————————————————————————	Jan 53	32.5	162.4 1.5.5.1	97.0	99.9	106.3	105.9	107.2 100.4 118.4	110.7	112.0 113.7 104.b	108.9 122.4 105.2	112.5	115.7
repairs		104.6	104.9	107.1	109.lı 110.lı	111.7	112.3	112.9	113.9	116.7	117.6	114.8	116.4 120.7 100.0

Pomoh flooring	7		-	1	-	-	-		1			
Water heatern		52					1	1	-	-	-	-
en sinks		52	-	-	-	-	1		-		!	
Sink faucets		52	-		-	-	-		-	1		
Repainting rocms		52		-	-	-	1		1 1 1	-	1	1
		52	-	1 1 1 1		1	-					-
Refinishing floors		25			1	!	-			1	! ! !	1
Reshingling roof	96 7	52	1	-		\$ 				1	1	
Other home-owner costs:		_										
First mortgage interest rates		22			-		1				-	
Proporty insurance rates	96	22			000	1		000	50	000	-	Ę
Gas and olectricity		107		201	102. 4.01.	100	107 201	10.5 A	10,7) i	10401	3,5
(188) soft # f の f + #		101	101	101	0 0	70.01	100	3 5	100	100	700	3 6
Solid fuels and fuel oil-		109		111.6	111.8	116.7	115.1	116.6	117.6	117.7	115.8	119
		11.8		112.6	115.2	118.0	115.7	117.6	118.9	119.0	116.0	119
Petroleum fuels		103.6	102.9	107.1	110.9	77.11	9,111	11.7	112,1	112,2	112,1	116
Household operation		99.5	_	102.3	105.6	108.4	108.7	108.8	111.	11.0	111.2	112
Laundry soaps and detergents		81.5		85.7	92.3	99.7	98.6	94.4	93.7	91.8	88 2	88
Loundry sarvices		106.3		108.8	115.2	9,911	116.5	116.2	116.7	116.9	118.5	22
Dry cleaning and preseing		102.7		106.5	108.2	€,011	112.2	112,2	112.1	112.1	13.0	27
Domostic sarvice		100.8	100.8	101	101	102.9	101	105.	11.5	9,11	112.4	12
Telephone		11.1		113.6	6.71	9,111	1	114.8	176.1	87	28.5	9
Postago				105.9	105.9	105.9	105.9	105.9	119.1	179.1	11.9.1	<u>•</u>
Nater-	280	72	-	-	1	1	1		0	9		ğ
Tottilos	2	52 71.1	7. •	707	201	10,	1080	707	10.0	100	200	8
Towns bath		100.3	100.3		116.5	121	122.0	120.6	117.9	117.2	115.8	1,7
Shoets, muslin		90.2	88		116,1	120.9	120.3	112.8	7. TI	104.7	99.1	8
Curtains		88.7	88		104.0	108.8	112.6	110.3	108.3	70,	103.7	104
Blankets, wool		<u></u>	3	108.1	113.1	§	9	115.6	131,2	<u></u>	<u></u>	122
Bedspreads, cotton		1	-	-	-					1	!	į
Drapery fabric, cotton	Dec	52	1			-	-		ľ	-		l
Puge ucel Amineter		1001		ניניני	0 611	157.0	0.691	151.0	11.8.3	11,2,8	137.5	138
Carpots, wool broadlocm		103.8	106.2	_	131.8	12.5	146.0	126.7	122.0	120.4	113.8	4
Carpsts, rayon broadloom	8	52			86.	103.4	105	106.0	101.7	102.6	0,00	8,3
Rugs, felt base		98.5	97.6	97.6	38.5	102.1	TOT	101	103.4	102.4	1105.4	8

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	٦	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified	100 un	ese oth	ervise	specifi	(pe						
	Other 1 rdox		1950	00			1951	15			19	1952	
Item and group	bases	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept	Dec.
HousingContinued HousefurnishingsContinued													
Furniture and bedding		88 60 60 70	98.0	105.0	108.9	112.6	113.4	13.1	112.9	111.8	110.1	1,011	109.6
Light and any termination		26	9,26	100	1001	700	1,211	16	18	100.0	108	108	107.8
Dinette sets		%	93.2	100.9	105.4	105.4	18	106.1	106.3	107.1	103.1	102.0	100.8
Bedroom suftes		101.4	101.3	108.6	112.7	117.1	9.911	117.7	115.5	113.6	7.111	7.111	9.111
Sofe beds		98.8	101.0	102.6	101.9	110.6	111.2	2,211	67,111	9-011	0.800	ז אַ	6 נונו
Mattresses		99.1	98.9	107.8	115.3	119.7	121.0	120.3	121.0	120.3	119.3	117.3	115.9
Appliances 3/		95.4	24.5	97.7	100.5	104.0	103.2	101.2	101,2	99.8	98.1	97.9	97.9
Sowing machines		1.00	105	107.9	110.8	111.6	112.0	112.0	112.0	9,111	112.0	112.5	114.0
Voming machines		0, 60 0, 60 0, 60	× 5	0.201	0,5	100.	107.44	107 207 207	107.6	107.8	107.7	107.4	107.0
Refrigerators		986	7.0	\$ °	20101	0,00	100	ر د د د د	101 201 201	ارة 197	108	10891	108.2
Rangos		93.9	93.5	98.7	101.2	108	109.0	106.6	107.5	107.1	106.9	105.0	2,50
Toasters	Dec 52		1		108.6	110.0	105.8	103.1	102.5	102.3	100.5	100.2	100.0
Miscellaneous housefurnishings:		70	70	10	00	;		1	1				,
Aluminam pans	Pec 52	0.501	707	102.4	9 Y	98.3	8.5	28.80	115.0	110.5	100.0	127.0	117.6
Paper napkins											7007	700	30
Tollet tissue			93.8	96•2	100•3	108.1	107.6	105.6	105.1	104.9	103.2	103.9	103.2
preceric figur bulbs	Dec 52	1			1	!		1		-		1	100.0
Aprarel		96.8	96.5	99.2	102.2	106.2	106.6	109.3	108.1	106.1	105.6	105.8	105.1
Men's and boys'		98.7	98.1	10001	102.8	105.9	107.2	110.3	110.4	108.7	108.3	107.8	107.4
Footuear		, E	36.	200	ر•07 د. ۱۵	1,501	101 8 7 1 1	1104.	102.0	101.4	100 2.7	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	180
Other apparel		89.5	88.4	7,76	99.1	103.8	103.7	100	8.5	95.8	91.2	91.5	92.5
Wool apparel		(<u>F</u>	(1 1)	104.9	105.7	(T)	\mathcal{L}	120.7	9*611	3	<u></u>	116.6	114.3
Topcoats		10001	(/†/)	103.1	105.2	107.6	(10)	118.1	118.1	116.7	(3)	113.1	112.6
Suits, summer		102.0	103.0	103.8	106.6	112.8	11,16,1 115.3	120.5	(<u>L</u>)	7.5	115.6	E (E)	H E €

103.4 124.9	121.5 104.0 103.3	116.5 109.2 100.0	99.2	288 108 108 108 108	251 25 201 201 201 201	25.	101 104 107 107 101 101	100.0 96.5 92.3
103.5 124.9	126.3 (<u>L</u> /) 109.7 107.2	116.5	99.4	2,12 100 100 100	105.9 111.9 18.1	25.5 F.25	104.2 103.1 100.9 101.1	100.5 94.4 91.3
104.5	\$\$\$\$	35	99°4 4°5	98.1	106.4	98.4	103.8 102.1 107.8 86.0	93.7 90.5
106.7	113.8 (15.8 (15.8	116.5 (<u>li</u>)	100.5	92.6 100.0 104.1	107.1	97.1	104.5 103.1 107.8 91.7	98.6 9.8 91.3
109•1 130•4	128.2 (4/) 108.5 102.8	115.9		94.8 103.5 110.3	102.8	(E)	105.6 103.1 109.5 101.0	100.0 97.4 95.3
108.9	131.9	116.5	102.9	99.7 104.1 112.0	105.3	5°5	106.5 102.1 110.6 101.8	100.3 101.4 98.0
106.4	3535	<u>\$</u>	102.9	101.5	109.5	98.6	99.5 102.1 109.5 103.6	100.8
105.2	\$15.5 \$15.5	110.8	102.2	99.7 102.2 112.0	107.9	98.9	98.7 103.1 110.1 90.8	99.7 102.2 106.0
101.4	107.3	106.5	98.2	% 5.2 108.6	103.3	(L/) 95.3	94.6 100.3 105.7 99.1	95.9 98.5 100.2
100.3	107.9	103.8.	95.1	28.9	101.7 98.5 96.6	(L/) 92.9	94.6 100.9 98.4 98.4 95.5	94.6
7.5	2 222	<u>3</u>	92.9	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	8.5%	94.6 93.4	24 44 44 7,48 83,1	86.7 86.1
100.0 (1/2)		100.6	92.8	•	97.3	93.4	92.7 96.7 100.9 90.0	
		52		1 55	52	*		22
		De .		<u> </u>	8	Mar		8
TrousersSweeters	Women'ss Coats, heavy, plain	Children's: Boys' sufference Girls' coats————————————————————————————————————	Cotton apparel————————————————————————————————————	Shirts, sport————————————————————————————————————	Socks Surgares Shirts, work Gloves, work	Monan's: Drasses, street	Children's: Cirls' parkies Cirls' anklets Cirls' anklets Boys' shirts	Boys' jeans Other cotton apparel: Diapers- Tard goods, percals-

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd) Table 12,

Mar. June Sept. Dec. Mar. 1950 Sept. Dec. Dec. Dec. Dec. Dec. Dec. Dec. Dec	2.5 119 9.0 61 115 2.5 119 116 6.0 6.1	3.7. 1.1. 1.1. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	91.1 (4/) (4/) (5/) (6/) (7/)			6	Sapt. 87.5 (4/) (4/) 89.6 85.7 86.8 81.1 101.1 101.1 101.2 95.1 112.6	87.7 (4/) 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 110.0 111.3
001 7.01 	411 2.1 3.1 116		1.6 1	15.8	12.5	10.6			109.3 100.0 114.6
(LO 2) 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10	\$ \(\cdot \) \(\	91.2 (14/) 16.1, 98.1, 98.3, 98.3, 98.3, 98.4, 98.4, 98.5, 102.0	Mar. (41/) (25.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 110.0 1	Mar. (41/) (25.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 110.0 1	1951 1951	Nar. Jags Dec. 93.7 92.9 91.1 98.5 (147) 106.7 (147) (147) 106.7 (147) (147) 106.7 (147) (147) 106.4 100.4 (147) 100.4 100.7 (147) 100.4 100.7 (147) 100.7 100.7 (147) 103.6 104.3 (147) (147) 118.9 (147) (147) 118.9 (15.4 117.2 119.8 (147) 118.9 117.2 (15.4 117.2 119.8 (147) 118.9 112.5 (147) 118.9 112.5 (147) 118.9 112.5 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 117.8 118.3 (148.9 118.3 118.3 (148.9	Mar. June Sept. Dec. Mar. 93.7 92.9 91.1 98.5 88.6 (½/) 106.7 (½/) (½/) (½/) 90.9 96.6 92.6 89.5 88.6 95.3 96.4 93.5 92.4 99.7 100.4 97.5 92.6 99.5 (½/) 100.4 97.5 92.4 99.7 (½/) 95.3 96.4 93.5 92.4 99.7 103.0 100.7 100.7 100.3 100.3 103.0 102.7 103.6 104.3 103.4 103.0 102.7 103.6 104.3 103.4 103.1 110.3 104.3 103.4 103.4 110.4 117.4 118.9 117.2 115.5 111.0 117.2 115.2 117.2 115.5 111.0 111.6 115.8 117.8 117.8 111.0 111.2 111	Max. June Sept. Dec. Mar. June 93.7 92.9 91.1 98.5 88.6 87.9 ($\frac{1}{1}$) 106.7 ($\frac{1}{1}$) ($\frac{1}{1}$) ($\frac{1}{1}$) 101.7 90.9 88.6 92.6 89.5 89.7 89.5 95.8 96.1 100.7 100.7 100.7 100.7 100.1 102.7 102.7 100.7 100.7 100.7 95.3 96.1 86.1 86.3 89.5 10.7 97.3 97.4 86.1 86.3 100.7 100.7 97.3 97.4 86.1 86.3 87.5 100.7 97.3 97.4 86.1 86.3 87.5 100.7 97.3 97.4 86.1 86.3 87.5 100.7 97.4 86.1 86.3 87.5 100.7 100.7 91.6 80.2 86.8 87.5 100.7 100.7 81.8	Mar. June Sept. Dec. Mar. June Sept. 93.7 92.9 91.1 96.5 88.6 87.9 87.5 (½) 106.7 (½) (½) (½) (½) (½) 90.9 86.6 92.6 89.5 (¼)

shoe repairsshoe		101.5	101.5	102.4		112.4 113.4 113.9 113.8 113.8 113.8 113.8	113.4	113.9	113.8	113.8	113.8		113.8	
nsportation————————————————————————————————————		109.9	109.9	108.3	109.1	116.9 110.8 113.1	111.5	119.7	122.2	124.4 118.8 125.0	126.3 119.4 125.0	127.7	128.9 121.9 126.5	
Automobiles, used	Jan 53		105.6	120.9	129.6	130.6	130-4	130.6	130.9	130.9	130.8	129.3	99•5 130•0	
Gasoline Motor of			103.9	106.0	107.4	108.7	107.9	107.1	109.8	100.7	6, LIL 107.6	0,111 107.6	110.5 106.9	
Auto repairs			102.7	109.4	108.9	113.4	113.8	7.411	9,411	9,77	9,901	9116.9	2,711	
Auto insurance	-	29.	101	102.1	102.4	102.4	104.6	118.4	121.6	121.6	121.6	15.5	116.9	
Transit fares			119.1	125.5	128.7	135.9	136.0	138.8	139.4	18. 18.		148.7	150.9	
Railroad fares, coach		0.711	117.0	0.711	0.711	0,711	117.0	0.711	118.1	118.1	-	118.1	118.1	
						-	-	. •		•				
dical care		105.1	105.4	107.0	108.0	109.9	0.111	8,111		115.7	8,711	118.8	119•3	
madical care less nospiral races		101.2	101.6	105.5	106.3	108.0	108.3	109.2	110.2	0,111	111.5	112.4	113.0	
Physicians' fee		103.7	103.8	104.6	105.1	107.2	9.701	108.6	110.3	111.7	112.6	0.41	£.11	
General practitioners' fees		103.7	103.7	104.5	104.9	107.4	107.7	108.6	110.1	111.9	112.9	114.1		
Office visit		103.2	103.2	101.	104.9	106.8	107.1	10801	109.2	2001	112.0	17.0	113.1	
House visit		104.2	104.2	104.7	104-7	107.9	107.3	107.7	200	109.5	123.00	75.2	123 7	
Obstetrical care		103.9	20,01	104.2	105.6	106.3	106.6	108.3	109	110.6	110.7	112.9	113.3	
Appendectony			103.5	105.1	105.8	105.8	1001	109.3	109.6	110.8	111.2	113.5	113.8	
Tonsillectony			104.9	104.9	105.3	106.8	107.1	107.4	108°5	110.3	110.3	112.3	112.9	
Dentists fees			106.7	107.8	108.7	17001	2011	9,111	775	112.6	112.8	7.5	114°3	
F1111nga		105.5	106.7	107.7	108.7	109.6	109.8	2,111	8.11	112.3	175.0	i c	115.1	
Extractions		106,1	106.9	10801	109.2	112.5	112.4	112.8	7.411	17.)•;1)• <u>`</u>	0.41.1	
Optometric examination and		-	-	7	, ,	90		5	0	1,00	6	7.0	ס כיני	
Userital mater		104.0	7.04.5	בן בן ביי	1001	100.7	107 4	107.0	207.01	137.3	0.01	7	11.3.1.	
Man's no vard		117	7.911	117.5	127.6	129.7	131.7	132.5	135.7	11.	116.0	11,7 .2	149.5	
Soniprivate roca		113.3	113.3	7,111	119.7	124.7	126.8	127.6	131.4	136.7	138.8	139.7	141.3	
Private room		111.6	110.5	111.6	116.5	120.9	122.9	123.2	120.5	131.5	134.0	130.5	131.9	

See footnotes at end of table.

(Cont'd) Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58

Table 12.

Dec. 109.1 100.0 14.3 01.4 0.001 97.9 132.7 100.9 0.00 0,00 0.001 99**°**7 112.5 104.4 104.1 106.7 0.00 0.00 000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 21.1 0.00 0.00 0.00 8 100.2 13.6 7.66 101.4 30.0 125.2 107.3 000 Sept. 107 108.7 98.3 104.1 106.8 32.7 93.2 20.2 99.5 100 1952 100.9 June 101 108.3 99**.**4 98.9 84.1 25.0 104.4 106.7 97.1 32.7 00. 200. Mar. 91.9 0.00 01.4 104.9 13.4 0.53.00 0.7.00 0.7.00 9.90 132.7 6.00 24.6 90.2 95.1 112.8 103.7 105.1 04.1 8,66 96.7 99°4 101°2 .00 .1.07 12,8 105.9 88.9 6000 103.4 0.70 32.7 102.9 92.5 112,8 108.4 0.001 Dec. 96.5 84.2 104.5 8,701 7,701 99°4 0,0 105.9 Sept. 13.1 102.0 C4.7 9.801 6.001 22.0 102.7 92.2 9.607 108.6 00.2 98.3 84.2 104.3 32.5 89.7 1921 June 9.00 12.2 99.4 07.9 6.00 110.8 18,2 01.8 04.3 98.5 110.4 94.8 02.8 9.60 18.5 99.5 32.5 (1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified) Mar. 11.6 100.9 00.5 97.5 107.8 122.0 105.6 2.60 7°66 118.2 93 110.6 85.5 100 7.40. 23.1 99.5 Dec. 9.001 112.6 104.1 105.0 98.7 17°66 8 98.8 .02.7 080 17.9 10,5 10,1 30.4 89,3 7.00 99. 96.1 95.5 100.9 Sept. 700 101.1 98.7 91.6 84.2 .c7 102.7 08.7 1950 June 100.3 00.2 106.8 9.001 101.2 07.9 79.8 7.001 106.8 98,1 38.1 Har. 1005.001 7.00 8.90 104.4 102.6 103.1 9.60 88.4 80.7 Dec 52-22222 22 52 index разев ည်ရှင် Dec Multiple vitamin concentrate-Item and group Motion-picture admissionsfore permanent refill-Prescriptions and drugs-Shampoo and wave set Reading and recreation-Aspirin tablets----Group hospitalization-Beauty shop services-Medical Care-Continued Milk of magnesia-Foothpagte----Senitary napkins---Cleansing tissue-Toilet goods-----Felevision repairsface cream----Permanent wave-Sporting goods---Shaving cream-Television setsfen's haircuts-Prescriptions-Razor blades-Face powder-Radios, table-Collet soap-Shampoo----Adults Personal care-Newspapers---Children-

တွဲကို လူတို့ ဝှင် ဝှင်
115.9 111.5.9 111.5.0 100.0 100.0
115.9
115.7
8.17. 1.00.
112.8
109.6
109.1 108.0 108.0 106.0 106.0 108.0 108.0 108.0
108.9
107.9
106.8 107.1 103.7 107.9
5.5.0.5.
103.9 103.8 103.8 103.8 103.7 103.7 103.7 103.7 103.7 103.8
Dec 52 Dec 52 Dec 52
Bagos-
Other goods and services————————————————————————————————————
or goods a obacco pro Cigare—Cigarette licoholic b Beer—Halsky—
ther goods Tobacco pr Cigare- Cigaret Alcoholic Beer
5

See footnotes at end of table.

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd) Table 12.

	티	(1947-49-100 unless otherwise specifica)	100 un	sos oth	copiac	Poct 1	(R						
	Othor		12	253			1951	511			1955	33	
Iton and group	8	Mare	June	Septe	Dec	Mar	June	Sent	Dec.	Mare	June Septa	Septa	Deca
All itoms		113.6	114.5	115.2	9,111	114.8	115,1	1.11.	11/1.3	114.3	114.4	114.9	114.7
All itons loss food		115.1	115.5	116.3	116.6 113.4	116.5	116.3 113.4	116.3	116.6	116.4	116.3	116.9	117.6 112.4
Nondurables lone food Nondurables lone food Nondurables lone food and apparel-		110.7 110.5 109.7 114.6	100.6	112.1 110.7 116.9	111.0 111.5 111.1 116.6	110.6 1110.9 116.8	110.9 112.0 110.4 116.1	109.7 111.3 110.4 116.2	109.1 110.1 110.1 116.0	109.0 110.2 116.4	108.9 110.4 109.9 116.0	109.2	108.7 1110.2 111.6 117.9
Durables less care		107.3	107.1	106.9	10.01	105.9	103.7		102.5	101.9	0.101	101.1	100.3
		122.7	123.8	125.4 125.6	126.2 126.3	126.8 126.9	127.3				129.6	130.4	130.9
foundation operation certicol, gad, and observation certicol. Transportation certicol. Kedical care services		116.1 111.0 145.0 122.3 112.4	117.2 111.8 145.8 123.7	117.8 112.7 150.3 124.5	118.3 113.5 126.0 114.7	118.6 113.8 154.0 126.1	117.7 112.2 153.8 127.3	117.9 112.4 153.9 128.2	118.4 113.1 154.4 129.3 117.3	119.1 114.2 154.8 130.6	120.9 115.3 153.7 130.8	121.8 115.8 153.3 131.6 119.3	122.3 115.9 153.6 133.4 119.3
Food at hema Food at hema believe products footsals and believe products and flab. Dairy products and flab.		111.7 111.3 107.4 100.3	113.7	113.8 113.5 113.5 103.6	112.3 111.7 120.9 107.8	112.1 111.1 121.2 109.5	113.8	112.4 111.6 122.6 106.7	110.4 109.2 123.3 102.2	110.8 109.7 123.9 132.3	111.3 110.3 124.0 103.8	111.6 110.4 124.0 103.5	109.5 107.9 123.9 94.6
Fruits and vegstables————————————————————————————————————	Jan 53	115.5		106.6 116.7 101.0									113.7
Housing 2/————————————————————————————————————	De 52 De 52	116.9 121.7 100.6 100.3	117.4 123.3 101.6 99.9	118.4 126.0 103.0 99.6	118.9 127.6 103.2 102.6	119.0 128.0 103.6 103.5	118.9 128.3 103.6 102.7	119.5 128.8 103.8 102.7	119.7 129.4 103.7 103.1	119.6 130.0 100.4 103.0	119.7 130.4 105.8 103.5	120.4 130.5 107.1 103.8	120.8 131.1 107.7 104.3

106.3 104.0 112.7 115.0 116.7 116.7	25.55 128.55 128.55 1.56.55 1.56.55	22777 2277 2277 2277 2277 2277 2277 22	8258484 8054464	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001
105.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	125 11 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	112 112 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113	2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	227.6 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20
105.7 101.0 105.7 105.3 105.3 105.3 105.3	(L) 25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.2	122.5 122.5	127 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960
104.1 100.5 100.5 110.5 111.5 111.5	26.5.5.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.	127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	18398888 \$55555	3000 444 8000 444 10000 4000
103.1 100.3 1100.0 1111.4 1111.4 1106.5	(b) 95.3 109.1 105.5 125.5	124.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 116.9 121.8	187.98 188.68 188 188.68 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 188 1	120.02 120.03 12
102.6 100.3 110.3 110.3 110.6 110.6	105.0 (L/) 107.9 1111.0 122.1	121.9 1119.3 117.4 126.8 116.7		12 32 32 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55
100.2 100.3 110.2 111.6 110.5	(L) 955.9 110.9 1204.5	1120-1 1118-1 117-2 1121-1 1121-8	12000 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
100.9 102.2 101.7 102.6 108.6 109.3	125.8	125.6 117.5 120.2 121.6 121.7	113.00 11	1,0 8,8 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1 5,1
100.7 101.8 101.5 102.6 107.9 108.4 108.4	(L/) 100.0 107.2 110.7 103.8	125.6 117.0 117.0 116.4 120.6	25.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.5	2017.6 101.4 26.3 141.6 193.3 193.3
100.0 101.7 101.0 100.0 107.7 108.1	105.8 (4/) 106.9 100.1 124.6	1226.7 116.0 116.0 1123.9 120.6	187777 187777 187777	100.5 100.6 100.6 100.5 100.5 100.5
100.3 100.3 100.5 100.5 100.5 100.5 100.5 100.5	(L/) 100.0 106.4 104.1	117.8 117.8 115.4 123.3 120.5	25555555555555555555555555555555555555	83-98 <u>37789</u>
100.1	120 108 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1176 1176 1176 1176 1176 1176 1176 1176	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	8388 44888 4000 5100
22222222	22		8 8	88 88
888888888	88		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Perch flooring Hyor heater Cabinet kitchen sinke Sink foucete Repainting recne Repainting garage Refiniching floore Rechinging reof	Valor fortgrown rates————————————————————————————————————	Solid fuelo Potrolous fuelo foucholus portion foundry cerps and detorgate foundry certses foundry certses for elecating and proceding	Pottage Hator feaces/unitables/ Torollo, bath Shooto, molin	Banboto, wool Badopreado, cotton Drapar fabrio, cotton Floor coverings: Rugo, wool Arabaton Carpoto, wool breadlon Carpoto, tool breadlon Rugo, folt baco

300 feetnotes at ond of table.

Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	٤	-67-176	100 unl	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified)	ervice .	pocific	(DX						
	Othor		1953	5			1954	η			15	1955	
Iten and group	baceo	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar	June	Sept.	Bec.	Mar	June	Sent	Pec
Housing—Continued Housefurniahinge—Continued			_										
Furniture and bedding		9.601	110.1	109.7	109.3	108.5	9.801	108.8	108.7	107.6	106.3	9.90	7.90
Furniture		108.1	108.9	108.7	108.1	107.4	107.3	107.6	107.3	105.9	101	101.8	100.7
Living room suited		107.7	108.0	108.4	108.6	108.2	109.3	111.2	111.8	109.6	108.5	109.7	109.1
Prince Soften		103.9	104.9	101.1	102.6	102.7	101.4	101.4	100.5	99.0	99.7	666	100.9
Bedding:		110.7	112.0	2,111	110.7	109:1	108.7	107.5	106.8	106.1	102.5	102.4	102.3
Soft bada		77.5	ניטננ	ניסונ	700 6	9 00 1	700	9	,	0		- 00	
Hattroscog		113.0	112.7		111.	0.111	קרו נייני	ייי לפדו לינו	10%-1	200.	100.7	109.4	109.4
Appliances 3/		97.5	97.0	8.96	96.1	95.1	8	6	9	2	8	87.8	9,0
South Eaching		1,111	115.5	116.4	119.0	119.7	119.2	118.5	117.7	115.7	116.1	115.1	7.5
Verning machine o		105.8	106.0	106.0	105.3	10h.2	103.1	102.9	102.5	101	100.6	100.7	8
Refer compensation		109.3	112.8	112.4	113,1	113.6	109.9	112.8	110.8	110.1	106.2	107.8	106-1
Parties of the contract of the		98	0.96	1.96	9,46	93.1	88.8	98	86.7	87.7	86.9	82.3	78.0
Towarena	2	105.2	107.1	107.0	108.1	108.3	104.5	103.5	101.4	102.4	101.9	104.2	101.2
Miscellamous housefurnishings	אל מביו	24 100.5	10C 8	101.7	101.5	1000	86.3	96.3	95.7	86.3	86.1	85.5	84.3
Dingrane	•	0	91	,								•	
Alumina and and animal	Pac 52	010	1100	118.8	121.0	122.1	122.3	122.9	122.8	124.3	125.0	123.6	124.7
Paper napking	Dec 52	52 00 5	100	101	103-4	103.8	104.2	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	104.6	105.1	106.5	107.7	120.1
Toilot tissuo		7,7	707	100	7.0	7.66	7.66	98.5	99.1	90.66	8	100.0	100.0
Bloctric light bulbs	Dac 52	99.7	110.11	111.5	1111	111.0	109.4	109.3	115.2	102.1	101.0	101.8	101.0
Aptarol		; ;	,						}				
Han's and boys'		104	104.0	105	105.	104.3	104.2	101.0	104.3	103.2	103.2	104.6	104.7
Homen's and girls'		96	99.5	100	100.7	20,00) 6 0 0 0 0 0 0	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	28 2.00 2.00	105.6	105.6	105.8	1,5
Other orners		114.5	115.3	115.3	116.1	116.1	116.3	116.5	116.9	116.7	7. L	1.811	1,00L
111111111111111111111111111111111111111		32.4	92.3	92.5	90.9	30.0	91.0	90.3	91.1	8	90.1	91.0	20.16
Worls		S	<u>-</u>	116.0	115.1	(1)	(F)	. 911	334.0	5	V 0	2311	7
Topcoata		;	;)			Ì	Ì	}	
Suits, year-round		113.6	\$\f{\}	8,411	9.11	114.3	Ş.	112.6	112.5	יינון	<u>S</u>	112.6	112.8
Transport		3	113.9	S	573		115.0			1001	0.011	7077	110.7
	_	101,7	101.5	103.6	101		100	103.6	103.6	101	105.0	105.5	105.5

	99.6 99.6 96.6 97.3 91.8 98.2 97.9 100.6 103.9 103.8		106.2 104.5 103.6 104.0 105.4 105.3 102.4 102.3 100.6 100.2 2.9 96.7
123.3 (b/) 107.9 100.7 120.1 110.2 100.3	99.8 97.5 97.5 97.1 100.7	1104.5 110.7 96.1 96.1 95.0	103.9 103.9 107.3 102.8 98.9 96.0
123.1 (L/) 122.6 (G/) (L/) 109.5 105.1 (L/) 120.5 121.2 100.9 (L/) 110.9 (L/)	99.5 99.3 97.9 96.8 91.6 90.7 90.6 99.4 99.6 99.4 100.6 7.00 100.6 7.00 100.6 7.00 100.6 7.00 100.0 10	/	107.5 108.7 104.5 103.1 107.9 107.9 99.4 102.9 103.1 97.8 96.3
<u> </u>	2		08.7 108.0 1
120.7 122.6 (L), 106.0 100.0 121.2 121.2 1010.7	% % 88 % % % 88 % % % 88 % % % 88 % % % 88 % 88 % % % % % % % % 88 % 88 % 88 % 88 % % 88 % 88	102.9	100.9 103.6 107.3 102.8 96.9 90.9
121.3 (14.7) 106.9 (14.7) 103.3 (15.2) 1122.1 1106.1 1106.1	98.1 1.86 9.06 8.59 8.7.90 1.86 8.7.90		106.8 104.7 107.3 107.3 101.0 95.2 95.2
	98.3 96.2 90.9 90.9 90.9 90.9 90.9		104.3 104.3 106.7 106.7 95.3 98.3 93.9
2 2552 252 2 4044 444	99.1 100.0 100.0 99.5 105.6 105.6		102.6 104.8 104.8 104.8 98.3 93.5
119.1 (11). (14). (14). (14). (14). 107.7 1122.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4 112.4	99.7 90.01 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00		1004.8 1005.1 1005.1 1005.1 1005.1 1005.1 1005.1 1005.1
121.h 117.7 (4/) 100.0 108.6 122.8 108.7 108.7	95.7 102.1 96.7 96.9 96.9	2885 28.53 1	105.3 106.1 105.1 98.2 98.1 98.5 98.1 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	Ē	67-176	(1947-49=100 unloss otherwise specified)	ogo oth	coprace of	ppoolf	(po						
	Other		1953	23			72	1251			13	1955	
Itom and group	pared	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar	June	Sapt.	Dec
Apparel-Continued Hamade fibere apparel-		87.0	86.7	86.7	86.7	85.7	85.2	85.0	84.7	84.1	82,3	83.2	83.0
Sutte, rayonSlacke, rayon	25 23	18€. 186.5		100.L 100.L	% % 52	99.9	101.9	278 208 208	25.88 8.88	101.0	99.1 95.4	\$E	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Sport shirts, rayon————————————————————————————————————	Dec 52 130 52	Ş %	₹ 2°	99.55	24. 28. 38.	€	€.	90.8	99.1	(<u>1</u>)	(<u>L</u>)	89°C	89.9 97.7
Homonial Manie Barons		89.5	89.7	9.06	91.5	1,06	89.4	91.1	000	200.5	88.8	0.00	2.6
Slips, rayon and nylon		38.5	82.7	82.5	85,	61.9	86.7	81.7	81.5	8 6 6	80.7	79.1	78.9
Hightgowns, rayon		96.	87.1	87.1	87.2 87.2	186 186 196 196 196 196 196 196 196 196 196 19	86.1	84°.	96.7	8,8	87.0	87.8	87.2
Suits, rayon		303.5	80°,9	186.5	86. 186.	78.01 104.9	23.5	76.5	76.2	75.0	5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5	27°9	100,001
	Dac 52	96.2	8 2 2	97.8	8.0	98	8.5	96.9	8,00	88	88	101.9	100.9
-		`~	,					:		1			
Boya' jackata	Pa 22	0°() (T) (T)	100.7	95.58 8.7.	7°96	7•7 (-7-8) (-7-8)	2.5 5.5 5.5	95. 20.1	97.2 87.9	? 52 53	\$ (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (= (=	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	95.6 88.9
Girls' avators, orlon		<u></u>	Ð	100.6	10001	િ	<u>િ</u>	8.66	7°66	9	<u></u>	1,16	30°5
Yard goodn, rayon	Doc 52	69.5	69.1	69.9	69.7	69.2	69.5	69.9	8.69 9h.4	69.7	68.9	69.1	69.1 96.0
Homon's girdles————————————————————————————————————		128.0	(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\right) 127.4	89.7 127.9	85.9 127.9	128.7	128.0	73.1	71.6	129.3	(4/)	74.3	73.2 130.8
Shoon		113.1	113.7	113.9	7.411	115.0	115.6	115.8	0.911	115.9	116.6	9° £TÍ	119.4
Shoon, atroat		117.5	119.3	118.5	119.0	119.9	119.9	119.8 113.9	120.0	120.0	120.8	121.5	123.2 116.2
Shoot atroot	Doc 52	109.0 98.4	110.2	110.0 98.0	110.5	110.3	111.6	112.3	100.1	112.4	113.3	113.7	115.7 105.4
Shoon, oxford		115.0	114.8	116.1	1.61	9.911	117.6	9.811 9.711 9.911	119.3	119.2	4.611	120,2	121.9

×	
115.5 127.3 127.3 121.0 121.0 130.5 130.5 130.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	130.2 120.3
115.3 1125.3 1125.3 1125.3 1125.3 1136.3 1178.6 1178.6	128.2 128.2 128.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3 108.3
115.5 1125.8 1125.8 1122.1 1122.1 1123.1 1145.0 1176.1 1176.1 1176.1	127.6 1218.7 1218.7 1218.3 121
115.2 1127.3 1122.3 1123.4 1126.6 1126.6 1126.6 1126.6 1126.6 1176.6 1176.6	127.0 127.0
111,4 115,2 115,5 112,4 118,4 118,2 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 116,5 117,5	126.3 121.2 121.2 122.2 123.2
114.4 114.2 1228.9 126.4 127.5 127.5 127.5 127.5 127.6 127.6 127.6 127.8	125.7 1106.6 1106.6 120.2 120.2 1106.2 120
114.4 128.9 120.2 127.5 127.5 121.0 122.6 122.6 122.6 122.6 122.6 122.6 123.6	125.1 1116.2 1119.0 119.0
114.3 127.5	124.1 125.5
110.6 110.3 130.7 128.9 126.8 120.8 126.8 120.8 121.9 121.5 111.2 121.5 112.9 125.1 110.3 126.7 115.3 155.1 115.3 156.7 115.3 156.7 115.4 161.9	123.6 123.6
111 130 130 145 155 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 16	122.6 1111.6 1111.7 111
114.3 122.1 126.3 126.3 126.3 126.3 122.3 122.3 122.3 122.3	21.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.
14.2 122.2 122.2 122.2 122.2 122.3 122.3 106.3 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Jon 53	
franceportation Priorio Automobileo, acad Tireo Gaodino Reto roli- Reto roli- Auto ropircation Auto incurance Auto incurance Rullo- Francit favoo Francit favoo Francit favoo	Hodden eary hooptel rates and group hooptel rates and group hooptelleation— Rystolons' fess— General practitions' fess— General practitions' fess— Grites state— Grites state— Grites state— Grites state— Grites state— Dependentes fess— Pillings— Extraction Pillings— Extraction Options or fess— Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates Hooptel rates

Eco feetinates at our of table.

Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

Perfect Perf		٦	67-176	(1947-49=100 unioss otherwise specified)	300 000	174180	poolf 1	ğ						
Dec 52 Oct. Dec. Har. June Dec. Har. June Dec. Har. June Dec 52 Oct. Dec. Dec. Dec. Har. June Dec 52 Oct. Dec. D		uther 1ndex		195	2			195	-7			195	ž	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Item and group	Da 660	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	ar	June	Sept	Dec.	Mar	June	Sept	Dec
100 100	Medical Care-Continued				1	4			-					
11.2 11.5	Group hospitalisation	Dec 52	101	1011-2	107.9	108.7	8,11,6	112.4	113.7	7.51	114.7	115.0	115.3	118.7
10.14 10.15 10.2	Prescriptions and artigation		113.4	113	113.1	100	115.2	16.0	116.2	7977	1001	111.00	111.04	130.1
11.2 11.2	Aspirin tablets		99.3	99.9	10.05	100.2	95.7	99.44	1006	39.66	9.66	99.8	1001	180.5
112.4 112.6 112.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.7 113.7 113.5 113.7 113.	Milk of magnesia	,	101.	H.2	111.6	112.2	112.2	112,1	112,5	112.5	112.5	112.5	112.6	121.5
112.4 112.6 112.5 113.5 113.7 113.5 113.	Multiple vitamin concentrate	Doc 52		10:01	100.3	100°9	9°001	1.co.7	101.0	101.0	101.0	101.0	101	101.2
131.5 131.5 131.5 132.2 132.7 133.6 134.3 134.7 139.7 131.5 131.5 131.5 131.5 131.5 131.5 131.7 131.5 131.	Personal care		112.4	112.6	112.9	113.6	114.1	112.7	113.5	113.6	113.5	114.7	136.6	
100,7 100,6 107,1 105,2 100,5 110,0 110,7 111,0 111,	Men's haircuts		131.5	131.5	131.5	132.2	132.7	132.7	133.6		134.7	139.7	146.9	149.3
103.5 113.6 113.5 115.3 115.3 115.4 113.6 113.	Beauty shop services		104.7	106.6	107.1	108.2	108.2	108.5	110.0	110,1	110.7	111.4	111.7	
193.9 194.1 194.1 194.0 194.	Shanpoo and wave set		109.5	112.6	113.5	115.3	115.3	115.9	117.5	118.0	118.4	119.4	120.1	
105.5 103.7 103.8 104.1 104.1 103.2 103.1 103.0 103.	Permanent wave		97.9	9%•1	98.7	0°96	97.9	0. 8.	98	99.5	9 3. 8	666	9.66	9.66
102.7 133.4 134.1 132.8 106.9 107.1 107.	Toilet goods		103.9	103.9	10,1	107	070	102.4	103.2	103.1	0.50	103.0	103.7	10, 2
13.7 133.4 134.1 134.1 132.8 124.6 123.5 122.1 119.9 13.6 13.4 13.4 134.1 134.1 132.8 124.6 123.5 122.1 119.9 13.6 13.6 13.2 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.7 13.2 13.2 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.3 13.2 13.2 13.1 13.1 13.1 13.5 13.5 13.3 13.3 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.3 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.2 13.2 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5	Toothpasta		106.6	106.7	106.8	106.8	106.9	106.9	107.1	107.1	107.1	106.6	106.7	106.5
Dec 52 0.0 0	Face poyder		132.7	133.4	134.1	134.1	134.1	122.8	124.6	123.5	122.1	119.9	120.0	120.0
125.2 125.2 125.3 125.4 125.	Tollot scap		83.4	82°¶	81.9	91.9	35.3	83.8	86.5	96.6	86.9	87.0	97.4	86.8
Dec 52 125.2 125.3 125.3 125.1 125	Razor blades		60.0	100.9	101	101.0	101.0	101.0	101	0,101	101.0	101.0	101.1	101.1
Dec 52 0.9.5 0.9.5 0.9.7 0.9.4 0.0	Senitary mapking		125.2	125.2	125,3	125.3	156.1	126.1	126.1	126,1	126.1	126.1	126.2	126.2
100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100	Cleansing tissue	Dea 52	99.3	98,	0.66	98.2	2.26	799	8.3	۶. ۲.	94.6	91.6	86.2	86.5
Dec 52100.0 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.5 100.5 100.8	Shaving oream	Dec 52	000	100.0	100.2	100.	00.3	8	100.4	1000	10C.4	105.0	100.0	107.9
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Face cream	Dag 52	000	100	100		101	92.5	% %		25.1	91.8	75°₽	95.6
11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Shampoo	25 Dec 25	0.0	8°66	800		000	900	180.3		900	100.98	101.0	101.0
100.000 100.	Home permanent refill	25 25	100.3	103.6	109.8		110.9	103.7	103.7		103.7	113.7	131.7	133.6
10.05 10.05 10.05 11.0	Roading and recreation		7.01	107.8	107.8	108.9	1c8.2	100	106.5	106.6	9.901	106.2	106.7	8.901
to the first series and the first series are series as the first series are s	Motion-picture admissions		106.7	108.1	110.0	115.0	113.4	114.6	119.3	117.5	120.9	123.4	122.7	122.1
100.5 100.	Adulta		108.0	109.1	111.5	115.9	115.0	116.9	121.4	119.9	123.4	125.0	125.5	12h.2
12.0.5 120	Children		28.1	100.5	100.9	107.6	103.4	101.9	107.4	10,10	107.6	113.2	108.5	110.0
aton sotto Dec 52 99.6 96.0 96.5 96.4 97.5 94.1 93.4 97.2 87.1 87.1 87.2 87.1 87.0 87.2 87.1 87.0 87.2 87.1 97.0 95.3 97.2 97.0 95.1 97.1 97.0 95.1 97.1 97.2 97.1 <th>Noughpore</th> <th></th> <th>121.1</th> <th>120.9</th> <th>120.9</th> <th>120.9</th> <th>120.7</th> <th>120.9</th> <th>120.9</th> <th>121.5</th> <th>123.2</th> <th>123.7</th> <th>123.7</th> <th>123.7</th>	Noughpore		121.1	120.9	120.9	120.9	120.7	120.9	120.9	121.5	123.2	123.7	123.7	123.7
y table	Television cate	Dec 52	966	0.86	86.0	25.	24.1	23		87.1	87.1	86.0	85°8	35.
ng goods	Radios, table	75 75 P	26.60	ر ا ا	6,0		97.0	33.1		93.4	92.3	91.7	91.0	8
Dec 52 h03.4 105.4 109.9 h11.2 h12.9 113.8 h13.9 h16.0 h17.9	Sport fine good a	200	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,8	2. 2.		2,4	2,6	39.1 8.0	91.7	7.18	39.7	89.7	4.6
	Television repaire	88	103.4	105.1	106.4		111.2	112.9	113.8	113.3	116.0	117.9	2811	2.6. 2.6.
	,		_		_		_	_				 -		

120.6 120.6 121.6 121.9 109.8 109.8 123.3 123.7 103.2 103.0 103.8 100.0
120.6 121.6 121.6 123.3 163.2 163.8
119.9 121.1 108.8 122.8 102.5 103.7
119.8 120.9 108.8 122.7 102.5 103.9
120.1 119.9 120.8 121.0 108.3 108.3 122.6 122.8 103.0 102.6 104.7 104.1 100.5 100.4
120.1 120.8 120.8 122.6 122.6 104.7
120.1 120.8 108.1 102.6 103.2 100.4.9
120.1 102.8 107.9 1122.6 1103.2 1005.0
118.5 120.3 119.6 120.8 107.9 108.0 121.6 122.6 100.3 103.5 100.2 100.5
118.5 119.8 107.9 121.6 100.3 100.4
118.2 119.6 1107.6 121.3 100.3 100.3
25,511 117.5 1118.8 1107.4 120.5 29.9 29.9 29.9
2 % % %
1600
Thor goods and sorvices— Tobaces products————————————————————————————————————
or goods and sobotocopic of the color of the
hor goo Tobacco Cigar Cigar Alcobol Beor-
ප

1/ Includes gas, electricity, telephone, enter, and postage. 2/ Includes hence purchase and real estate taxes not show exparately.

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	(15	-67-170	100 un.	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified)	rwiee e	pecific	æ (
	Other		1956	56			19	1957			1.0	358	
Item and group	bases	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept	Dec
All itoms		114.7	116.2	117.1	118.0	1.18.9	120.2	121.1	121.6	123.3	123.7	123.7	123.7
All itoms less shelter		7.7.LL 3.2.5	118.1	119.4 114.8	120.8 115.7	122.0	122.5	123.4	12h.5 119.2	125.0	125.2	125.8	126.5
Nordurables loss food		108.5	110.3	0.111.0	111.8 4.511.1 10.1	112.4 114.0 115.6	113.7 115.8 211	116.7	116.4	116.4 118.8 116.9	11.6.6	116.4 118.7 117.2	116.3 117.8 117.0
Durables less cars		100,3	103.8	101.2	108.0	108.6	108.4	108.6	110.3	125.(109.6 103.5	125.4 109.6 103.4	126.0 110.3 103.1	125.4 112.9 103.2
Commodition less food		108.1	108.0	109°h	111.1	111.9	111.9	112.6	113.6	113.1	112.9	113.5	114.4
Services less rent		131.2	132.3	133.6 134.1	134.4 134.9	136.3	137.5 138.4	138.8 139.8	140.0 141.1	14.7	142.3 143.8	143.0 144.4	143.5 145.0
		123.0	123.3	124.5	125.2	126.4	127.3	128.3	129.2 120.4	130.5	131.2	132.4	133.0
Madical care services————————————————————————————————————		134.9	135.6	137.8	138.7	161.3 140.6 124.6	162.8 142.0 125.7	166.1 143.3 127.1	167.7 145.0 128.2	173.3 146.7 129.6	1.74.2 1)48.5 129.9	175.4 151.2 129.4	176.2 152.4 129.8
Food at home		109.0	13.2		5.111	113.2	116.2	117.0	116.1	120.8	121.6 120.4	120.3	118.7
Mats, poultry, and fish		92.8	98.0		127.44 98.0	129.8	130.6	131.2					134.0
ables	Jan 53			114.3	117.4	116.1	126.3 109.5 109.3	116.8	113.9	130.7	111.7	120.7	120.1 120.1 110.7 113.6
Housing 2/	Dec 52 Dec 52	120.7 131.6 52 108.6 52 107.6	121.4 132.5 110.1 108.3	122.5 133.4 111.4 108.9	123.5 134.2 112.8	124.9 134.4 113.7 113.4	125.5 135.0 115.2 115.2	126.3 135.7 116.2 117.0	127.0 1.35.7 1.16.1	127.5 137.1 116.7 117.5	127.8 137.7 116.9 117.0	127.9 138.2 117.1	128.2 138.7 117.4

90.9

25. 1. 2.

88 12,525 10,525

143.1 128.7 136.0 127.8 152.4 (4/) 103.6 103.6

132.8 132.8 106.2

108.7 137.0 137.5

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12,

	Ξ	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified	-100 un	less oth	erwise	specif	(pel						
	Other		19	1956				1957			19	1958	
Item and group	bases	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar	1.	Sp. +	90
Housing—Continued Housefurnishinge—Continued													
Furniture and bedding		106.1	107.1	108.3	109.8	110.2	110.7	110.2	זייטרר	ט טרני	700	, 00 [,
Furniture		10/1	105.1	105.9	107.1	107.4	107.7	107.1	107.5	107.0	106.5	106.7	106.3
Dinette sets		000	× 001	101 103	1.5211	1111	1.12 .4:	112.1	113.3	113.2	113.4	112.8	112.5
Bedroom suites		102.4	102.5	101	102.3	103.0	10/•7	100	201. 201.	107.6	108.2	109.6	108.9
Bodding:					;)	1	•	0.1	77.	·);	20.	8.1.6
Sofa beds		110.9	112.2	113.7	116.2	117.4	117.3	9,911	0.911	אירו	ט אָננ	מ	9 .1.1.
Mattresses		110.3	110.9	7.41.	117.0	117.5	119.3	119.7	119.8	119.5	118.7	110.1	י פרר
South and the second se		24.7	83.6	83.6	84.1	84.1	84.4	83.7	34.7	82.9	82.1	82.8	30.5
We shing machines		T 17.	109.9	110.7	111.6	110.9	7,111	111.5	112.2	113.5	111.5	7,911	114.7
Vacining macinings——————————————————————————————————		2,5 2,6	286	98.1	28.	88	98.9	100.6	100.7	98°6	97.8	9.76	98
Refrigeretors		7,7	7.0	200	3. 9.	20.5	95.6	87.8	87.6	85.9	86.1	85.1	80.9
Rences		رب 2005	2.27	70.	8.69	71.8	8.99	63.8	64.2	64.8	65,3	64.7	61.2
Castora	70.0	707	101.01	101.7	102.5	102.1	105.1	103.9	104.5	120.7	102.9	102.6	103.2
Miscellaneous housefurnishings:	אכ אר	٠ <u>٠</u>	0.0	6.11	9.11	3°08	82.5	83.0	82.2	67.2	0.89	5.69	69.5
Dinnerware		9.791	133.9	א אני	7 75 1	ר מכ ר	י ייי	-	,	,	-		
Alumimum pansAlumimum		79.121	193.2	30.00	ν τς Γ α	7,00T	127.	2,017T	4,65 1,59	143	1/1/4°C	146.1	1746.c
Paper napkins	Dec 52	52 99.9	100	100	100	0.00	, c [0[0.00	1001	2.57	143.1	135.0	139.2
Toilet tissue		100	100,4	100.6	103.5	101	104	104.7	2,42	207	No TOT	103°T	102.9
Electric light bulbs	Dec 52	52 121.•2	121.7	121.8	121.4	128.4	131.7	133.3	134.3	134.5	135.0	134.9	134.9
Apparel		104.8	104.3	106.5	107.0	106.8	9.901	107.3	9 201	9 701	2 70'		1
lien's and boys'		106.6	107.5	108.3	108.6	108.8	109.1	000	100 E	0 80	000	1. got	107 - 101 108 - 1
Women's and girls'		98•3	97.5	9.66	100.3	866	98.5	8.66	1001	98.8	98.5	200	100
Other proped		121.9	123.1	126.0	126.4	127.6	127.8	128.1	129.1	129.5	129.8	130.1	130.4
Office a planta and a second an		91.1	1.16	92•0	92.2	92.2	91.9	92.3	92.3	91.9	91.9	92.0	92.3
Wool apparel		<u></u>	(F)	117.5	0.911	([7]	(F)	118.9	117.0	Si	(F)	118.6	117.3
Topcoats			(17)	115.3	115.0	2,1/11	< :	2 אוו	6 211		{	1	-
Suits, year-round			117,1	118.5	119.5	120.4	120.6	121.4	121.9		122.6	123.3	123.5
Trousers		105.5	105.1	(4/) 107.2	108.7	1.851	0.811	<u> </u>		119.8	121.3	35	
		•			-		T.		1.001	_	C.00T	70%	0.001

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid) Table 12.

	Other	67-47	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified)	nless oth 1956	orwi so	specifi		1957			٥	1058	
Item and group	bases	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec
Apparel—Continued Mamado fibora apparel————————————————————————————————————		83.0	82.1	82.7	83.0	82.5	81.6	81.8	82.1	81.5	82.1	81.3	81.3
Suts, rayon————————————————————————————————————	Jun 53 Dec 52 Dec 52 Dec 52 Jun 56	100.8 96.6 (4/) 97.7	100.5	(L/) 97.6 89.5 97.5 100.2	(L/) 93.9 91.1 97.5 100.0	(L/) 96.3 (L/) 97.1 100.1	102.5 96.3 (4/) 97.5 100.0	(LL/) 977-5 89-0 97-6 99-3	(4/) 98.1 98.8 99.6 99.6	105.9 97.9 (4/) 98.2 99.0	108 5 98 3 96 2 96 2	(L) 97.9 83.0 84.2	(L) 95.0 95.1 95.1
s, rayon and nylon	Dec 52 Dec 52 Dec 52	91.8 80.6 98.3 86.8 100.6 101.1	88888 73.5 73.5 83.5 83.5	93.4 80.3 89.6 87.6 71.6 97.3 101.3	94.6 80.4 99.5 88.2 72.5 72.5 95.4	93.2 88.1 70.9 99.2 99.2 99.3	90.2 81.3 98.6 86.0 70.0 92.7	93.1 86.0 86.0 69.3 98.2 98.8	93.2 80.2 99.8 86.0 70.4 97.6	91.6 80.3 85.0 85.0 87.0 87.7	91.2 80.3 100.5 86.1 69.3 96.3	95.0 80.2 86.1 86.1 100.5 93.0	94.8 80.1 100.2 86.1 66.9 97.3 93.2
kets	Dec 52 Dec 52 Dec 52	## ## ##	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	98 89.5 7.68	95.7 84.9 89.2	95.8 (A.C.)	95. (12.5.8)	96.8 86.0 85.4	96.8 84.5 85.0	\$35 65%	% (F) (F) (F)	96.0 84.5 82.6	94.2 88.6 80.9
Mard goods, rayon	Dec 52	69.4 96.3 (4/) 130.9	69.1 95.8 (4/) 130.9	69.6 96.4 74.1 130.9	70.0 96.4 74.0 130.9	70.0 96.5 (4/) 132.2	66.9 96.1 (1.7) 132.2	70.2 97.7 73.9 133.7	70.2. 97.1 72.6 133.7	71.1 96.2 (4/) 134.0	70.0 96.3 (4/) 134.0	70.7 96.7 71.0 134.5	70.6 97.2 · 71.3 135.3
Shoes————————————————————————————————————	Dec 52	125.3 120.0 116.8 52 105.6	123.4 127.5 121.3 118.1	126.c 129.1 127.0 121.1 108.9	126.5 129.4 127.6 121.7 109.9	127.3 129.9 128.2 122.9	127.6 130.3 129.0 123.2	127.9 130.8 128.5 123.5	128.5 131.5 128.5 124.5 111.6	129.1 130.1 129.3 125.8	129.5 129.5 129.9 126.3	129.7 128.6 129.5 126.7	130.0 128.5 130.2 128.0

Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd) Table 12.

Mar. June Sept. Dec. Mar. June Sept. 113.5, 113.3,		Other Index	-67-17(100 unit	nless othe 1956	ırvise	(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified	_	1957			۲	1948	
Dec 52 20.3 120.9 125.7 126.3 126.7 129.2 132.0 133.5 113.3 114.1 114.1 115.2 117.2 117.2 117.2 117.2 117.3		разев	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sent.	Dec.
11.5.7 11.5.7 11.5.1 1		52	120.3	120.9		126.3	126.7	129.2	132.0	134.6	136.8	1,0,1	147.5	
10.00.7 100.0 100.1 10	Prescriptions		7.511 120.6	113.3		122.3	115.2	125.3	125.7	119.0	120,2	120.3	121.2	121.4
119.2 119.4 120.5 121.7 101.7 101.7 101.5 101.	Aspirin tablets		100.7	100.7		123.1	101.3	101.9	101.9	105.9	107.5	107.9	108.6	108.9
119.2 119.9 120.5 121.2 121.2 121.2 121.2 121.2 122.3 122.	entrate	22	101.3	101.4		101.4	101.7	101.7	101.6	101.3	101.0	101.5	101.2	101.2
112.9 114.1 115.3 117.5 118.4 119.3 119.3 119.3 119.3 128.	sonal care			119.9	120.5	121.8		124.2	125.1	127.0	128.3	128.6	128.7	129.0
105.5 105.8 105.9 100.5 100.	Shampoo and wave set			124.1	126.3	117.5		119.3	133.0	121.3	124.1	124.5	124.41	124.1
10.3 110.9 110.5 110.1	Fermanent waveoilet goods	_ •		99.50	4.00	99.60		100.5	99.8	100.2	100.3	100.7	100	100.3
103.3 103.4 103.2 103.	Toothpaste			9,011	2011			5,111		119.8	119.9	120.0	120.1	6,611 119.9
103.3 103.4 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.2 103.3 104.3 114.6 115.6 108.5 108.	Toilet soap			90.1	91.1	91.6		95.6		125.5	125.5	125.5	125.5	125.5
Dec 52 108.5 108.3 108.5	Razor blades			103.4	103.2	103.2		103.2		103.0	103.0	103.0	103.0	102.3
Dec 52 108.5 108.3 108.5 108.6 108.7 108.6	10	52		85.7	85.1	2,08 2,08 1,08		140.3 86.0		7. 111 86.6	15. 2. 5.	146.4	11/6.3	11,6.0 8,4.8
100.00 1		Dec 52		108.3	108.3	5.801		108.7		109.7	109.8	109.9	110.5	110.3
Dec 52 135.2 139.0 139.5 139.5 139.5 140		Dec 527		97.6	97.6	1,86		105.4	106.0	106.9	117.5	121.1	121.7	121.9
107.7 107.6 108.4 109.5 111.6 111.8 113.2 123.6 125.1 124.9 126.8 129.0 129.8 131.2 123.6 125.1 124.9 126.8 129.0 129.8 131.2 123.7 123.7 124.7 124.7 125.4 139.0 123.7 123.7 124.7 124.7 125.4 134.7 123.8 123.7 124.7 124.7 125.6 136.7 141.7 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.7 125.6 136.7 141.7 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.7 125.6 136.7 141.7 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.8 125.8 136.7 125.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.8 125.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.8 125.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 124.8 123.8 123.8 123.8 123.8 123.8 12		Dec 52		139.0	139.61	139.5		139.5	140.5	141.7	142.2	102.7	142.6	97.6 141.5
126.3 127.2 129.1 131.1 131.4 133.0 130.4 121.2 129.1 131.1 131.4 133.0 130.5 130.6 111.9 113.9 115.5 119.0 130.6 131.2 131.7 131.7 131.7 131.7 131.7 131.7 131.7 131.8 131.9 113.0 131.8 131.8 131.8	ting and recreation			107.6	108.4			111.8	113.3		117.0	116.7	116.6	116.9
orse	AdultsChildren			127.8	127.2			131.4	133.0		139.7	136.7	135.7	135.0
110n set5				123.7	12/1.7			119.0	119.8		226.0	128.3	126.11	125.8
Des 52 05 5 07 1 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07	sion sets	22		858 70 60	87.3			90.1	200		92.2	188	88	93.1
200 John John John John John John John John		22	3%	93.5			2,5	91.7	95.6		2.69 6.96	26.96		87.78 95.4
**************************************		22 22		89.4			8, % 8, %	ر 1.82	92.0	91.6	98.0	98.0	26.55	26.5

Also includes radios and television cets, shown ceparately under reading and recreation. Not available.

The GNP implicit price deflators for personal consumption are also presented. Annual deflators have been changed from a 1954 base to a 1947–49 base to facilitate comparison with the CPI. (See table 13.)

Table 13.—Implicit price deflators—Personal consumption expenditures [Index: 1947-49=100]

	T											
	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958
Total personal con- sumption expend- itures	97	102	101	103	110	112	113	114	115	117	120	12
Durable goods	97	101	102	103	110	112	109	109	109	111	115	11
Automobile and parts Other	94 98	101 101	105 101	105 103	111 111	116 109	114 106	112 108	114 107	117 107	123 110	12 11
Nondurable goods	97	103	100	100	109	110	109	110	109	111	114	11
Food and beverages Clothing and shoes Gasoline and oil Other	98 97 92 96	103 103 103 103	99 99 105 102	100 98 105 103	110 107 108 109	112 105 110 110	110 105 114 112	110 105 117 112	109 105 118 113	109 107 122 115	113 108 127 119	11 10 12 12
Services	95	101	104	106	111	116	121	124	126	129	133	13
Housing Household operation Transportation Other	95 98 93 95	101 100 101 102	104 102 106 103	107 104 110 105	112 107 115 111	113 111 120 116	122 114 128 121	126 115 131 124	127 115 133 127	129 116 137 131	132 118 139 137	13- 120 14- 140

Note.—1954 constant dollar deflators transformed to 1947–49 reference base.

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VII-13.

IV. WAGES AND INCOMES

The service sector is, on the average, a low wage and income sector.
In contrast to the increase in relative importance scored by the sector in terms of output, employment, and price increases, incomes have not, in general, kept up relative to the manufacturing sector.

There are exceptions. Wage and salary workers in transportation industries, in some financial positions, and in radio and television had high annual incomes

compared with other service workers.

Table 14 presents the average annual earnings of wage or salary employees in the service sector in 1947 and 1958 plus the percentage increase attained between the 2 years. The data are for full-time equivalent employees. This allows valid comparison between industries with differing proportions of part-time workers.

Table 14—Service sector: Average annual earnings per full-time wage or salary worker

	1947	1958	Percent increase
Finance, insurance and real estate	\$2,740	\$4, 484	63. 6
Banking	3, 412 2, 811 3, 103	4, 433 7, 867 5, 313 4, 515 5, 018 3, 347	55. 0 66. 9 55. 7 60. 6 61. 7 63. 1
Transportation	3, 145	5, 488	74. 5
Railroads_ Local and highway transportation_ Local rail and bus_ Highway passenger transportation Highway freight transportation. Water transportation. Air transportation Pipeline transportation. Services allied to transportation	2, 833 2, 965 2, 707 3, 063 3, 748 3, 265 3, 750	5, 803 4, 035 4, 726 3, 634 5, 468 6, 463 6, 104 6, 360 4, 944	80. 4 42. 4 59. 4 34. 2 78. 5 72. 4 86. 9 69. 6 79. 0
Communications and public utilities	2, 792	5, 059	81. 2
Telephone, telegraph and related Radio broadcasting and television Utilities: Electric and gas Local utilities and public services	2, 583 4, 073 2, 994	4, 528 7, 038 5, 549 3, 880	75. 3 72. 8 85. 3 75. 0
Services industries	2,005	3, 262	62.7
Hotels and lodging Personal care services Private households Commercial, trade schools, etc. Business services Miscellaneous repair service and hand trades Motion pictures Anusement and recreation Medical and other health services Legal services Engineering and other professionals services Educational services Nonprofit membership associations	1, 463 2, 903 3, 023 2, 974 3, 031 2, 345 1, 821 1, 971 3, 495	2, 779 3, 081 2, 131 4, 209 5, 022 4, 572 4, 209 4, 206 2, 751 3, 940 6, 302 3, 457 3, 669	44. 4 55. 8 45. 7 45. 0 66. 1 53. 7 38. 9 79. 4 51. 1 99. 9 80. 3 63. 6

Both the relatively low level and the deterioration of relative income status of service wage or salary workers is illustrated by table 15.

Table 15.—Relative average annual earnings of wage or salary workers, service sector, 1947-58

Industry	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958
Finance, insurance, real- estate	0. 98 1. 15 1. 06 1. 10 1. 17 . 93 1. 07 . 69 . 71 1. 09 . 65 . 71	0. 97 1. 19 1. 04 1. 10 1. 21 .91 1. 06 .67 .49 1. 04 .96 .63 .72	0. 98 1. 20 1. 06 1. 15 1. 25 .94 1. 09 .68 .70 .49 1. 05 .94 .65	0. 98 1. 15 1. 02 1. 16 1. 24 . 92 1. 08 . 65 . 67 . 46 1. 04 . 88 . 63 . 72	0. 93 1. 16 1. 01 1. 09 1. 22 . 89 1. 07 . 62 . 64 . 44 1. 00 . 85 . 59	0. 92 1. 13 1. 00 1. 10 1. 23 .90 1. 08 .61 .63 .45 .99 .84 .59 .72	0. 91 1. 09 . 98 1. 12 1. 22 . 90 1. 09 . 59 . 63 . 46 . 98 . 81 . 58	0. 93 1. 10 . 99 1. 13 1. 25 . 93 1. 11 . 64 . 46 . 98 . 84 . 59 . 75	0. 91 1. 08 . 97 1. 12 1. 23 . 93 1. 09 . 63 . 43 . 95 . 86 . 57	0. 90 1. 11 . 96 1. 11 1. 20 . 91 1. 09 . 57 . 62 . 43 . 95 . 85 . 55	0. 90 1. 13 . 94 1. 10 1. 20 . 91 1. 10 . 57 . 62 . 43 . 94 . 85 . 55 . 79	0. 91 1. 18 . 96 1. 11 1. 24 . 92 1. 12 . 56 . 62 . 43 . 93 . 85 . 56 . 80

Note.—Average annual earnings in service industry divided by average annual earnings in all manufacturing. Detail is not exhaustive of entire sector.

Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output" table VI-15.

The transportation, communication, and public utility industries included in the sector are relatively high paid industries and have been able to maintain and, in

some cases, improve their standing vis-a-vis manufacturing. Most of the other service industries experienced declines in relative annual incomes. This decline took place despite the ingresses in consider results.

took place despite the increase in service employment.

The unincorporated form of business organization is particularly important in the service sector. Not only are there many small service establishments in the drycleaning, laundry, barber and beauty shop industries, but also many professional services are provided by individuals or partnerships.

The Department of Commerce gives an aggregate estimate of income for unincorporated firms in service sector industries, but not more detail. Their estimate of the increase in incomes of active proprietors of unincorporated firms is 58.2 percent over the period 1947–53 and 5 percent 1953–58.8 The Internal Revenue Service occasionally supplements its regular "Statistics of Income" series by publishing partnership income data on an industry basis. Information is available for 1947–48 and 1953–54, plus preliminary data for 1957–58. Tabulated below in table 16 is the ordinary income per partnership for each of the 3 years.

Table 16.—Service sector: Partnership income selected years, 1947-58

	10.15			Percentag	ge change
	1947	1953	1958	1947–53	1953-58
All industries Total agriculture, forestry, fisheries Total construction Total manufacturing Trade total Wholesale Retail Total finance, real estate Total services Hotels Total personal services: Laundry Photo studio Barber and beauty Funeral Other Business services. Auto repair Miscellaneous repair Total amusement Motion pictures Medical total Physicians and surgeons Dentists Other Legal services Educational Engineering and architectural	9, 551 12, 722 8, 688 16, 906 7, 149 6, 354 10, 285 5, 675 5, 695 4, 021 3, 304 10, 428 3, 625 5, 174 7, 991 16, 308 27, 178 38, 184 14, 446 21, 426 21, 426 21, 426	\$8, 757 3, 380 11, 629 14, 801 15, 705 7, 305 7, 235 14, 073 3, 141 7, 807 7, 807 5, 620 4, 832 9, 393 3, 176 15, 766 15, 766 15, 766 8, 453 39, 610 47, 167 21, 046 37, 386 32, 624 7, 007	\$9, 823 5, 721 11, 809 13, 562 8, 219 14, 654 6, 966 6, 262 16, 121 3, 028 6, 806 5, 759 4, 693 14, 147 5, 119 15, 905 5, 579 7, 262 3, 049 3, 898 43, 077 53, 033 25, 893 303 25, 893 303 25, 893 303 27, 736 31, 998 42, 906	1. 4 -44. 6 21. 7 16. 3 -1. 9 -7. 1 2. 2 13. 9 36. 8 -44. 7 37. 6 39. 8 46. 2 -9. 9 -12. 4 141. 7 37. 5 32. 0 36. 9 -48. 2 45. 7 74. 4 12. 5 -1. 8	12. 2 69. 3 1. 5 69. 3 1. 5 6. 6. 7 6. 7 6. 5 6. 6 64. 6 6 6 6. 53. 9 6. 3 6. 3 6. 3 6. 3 6. 3 6. 3 6. 3

Source: "Partnership Income, 1947" Treasury press release No. S-2645, Wednesday, Apr. 4, 1951; "Partnership Returns," statistics of income, 1955, IRS publication No. 369; "Selected Financial Data," statistics of income, 1957-58 (April 1959).

PART 2: PRICES, INCOME, EMPLOYMENT IN SERVICE SECTOR COMPONENTS

The foregoing sections have discussed output, employment, prices, and incomes in the service sector on an aggregate basis. In this section, a more detailed examination will be made of some of the individual components of the sector.

I. MEDICAL CARE SERVICES

A. PRICES AND EXPENDITURE

The Consumer Price Index for services related to medical, dental, optical, and hospital care increased nearly 60 percent from 1947 to 1958. However, professional services prices increased much less than hospital prices, 35 percent as contrasted to 125 percent. Table 17 contains the items in the medical care price index.

Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-4 and VI-2.
 Income per partner would be a preferable statistic, but the 1958 preliminary data do not include enough information to make that computation. Income per partnership will not be comparable between years if the average number of partners per firm changes.

Table 17.—Medical service prices, 1947-58

	Relative	Price	index	Percent	Average a	nnual rate	of increase
Item	tance, Decem- ber 1952	1947	1958	increase	1947-58	1947-53	1953-58
Medical services General practitioner fees Surgeon fees Dentist fees Optometrist Hospital room rates Group hospitalization Professional service plus drugs 3 Medical care 4 All items Service less shelter	4. 2 1. 6 . 3 . 8 . 3 . 2 1. 0 3. 9 5. 1 100. 0 16. 7	94. 5 96. 9 96. 2 95. 2 96. 2 87. 4 1 85. 6 96. 3 94. 9 95. 5	149. 2 139. 3 122. 7 131. 4 116. 7 198. 0 142. 2 129. 7 144. 6 123. 5 143. 8	57. 9 43. 7 27. 2 38. 0 21. 3 126. 5 2 35. 7 34. 7 52. 4 29. 3 51. 8	4, 2 3, 4 2, 2 3, 0 1, 8 7, 7 	4. 6 3. 1 2. 8 3. 5 2. 2 9. 2 2. 9 4. 2 3. 1 4. 7	3.8 2.1 1.5 2.3 1.3 6.0 6.3 2.6 3.6 1.5 2.8

¹ Index for 1953 annual average; item first included in index December 1950. Base: December 1952=100.

Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.

Table 18 presents the record of consumer expenditures for medical care.

Table 18.—Current dollar consumer expenditure for medical care, 1947-58

	Percent	increase
	Aggregate expenditures	Per capita expenditures
Total medical care	125. 4 93. 1 113. 5 209. 2 165. 0	86. 5 59. 9 76. 8 156. 0 119. 4

Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table I-4.

B. HOSPITAL CARE

The price-setting mechanism in hospitals is probably more nearly a cost-based system than it is a system of demand oriented profit maximization. 10 Few pri-

system than it is a system of demand oriented profit maximization. Few private, nonprofit, voluntary hospitals make a surplus on current operation; fewer still cover depreciation. Most are, at best, pleased to cover out-of-pocket costs. The major cost item is personnel. On a per-patient-day basis, payroll costs accounted for 60.8 percent of total costs in 1958, for 60.7 percent in 1954, and 54 percent in 1947. About two-thirds of the employees of hospitals are in nonprofessional, nontechnical grade occupations. The table below.

Employment costs have risen tremendously since 1947. The table below shows the increase in employment and payroll costs for all private, general and special short-term hospitals in the United States.

Table 19.—Increase in employment and costs, private short-term hospitals, 1947-58

P	ercentage
Total expense per patient-day	154. 0
Payroll per nationt-day	100. 0
Full-time equivalent employees, number	04. 1
Full-time equivalent employees, per patient-day	44. 4

Source: "Hospitals," the Journal of the American Hospital Association, XXVII, pt. 2, p. 23 (June 1953) and XXXIII, pt. 2, p. 384 (August 1959).

Percent change 1953 to 1958.
 Medical services less hospital rates and group hospitalization.
 Includes drugs and prescriptions in addition to the services detailed above.

Multiple regression analysis suggests a significant association between the level of 10 See pt. 3 below. Multiple regression analunskilled wages and the level of hospital rates.

[&]quot;I See sources cited in table 19.

"I See sources cited in table 19.

"Ray E. Brown, "The nature of hospital costs," reprint from "Hospitals," the Journal of the American Hospital Association, Apr. 1, 1956. Brown, does, however, mention a trend toward more professionalism among technicians. The following section draws heavily on his article.

Other factors tending to increase hospital costs cited by Brown are also important.

One factor is the nature of hospital costs. The major portion of hospital operations costs are not variable. A hospital is typically fully staffed, at all times ready for a peak load. Therefore, the occupancy rate is a critical factor in average operating cost per bed. In studies cited by Brown, it is shown that in a group of otherwise similar hospital, those which had a botter than average. in a group of otherwise similar hospitals, those which had a better-than-average occupancy rate had less than half the unrecovered overhead cost per bed than did those hospitals whose occupancy rates were lower than average.

While desirable on other grounds, the tendency toward shorter stays makes syncronization of patient discharge and admissions more difficult; this leads to

empty, nonrevenue-producing beds. Again, the population pattern of the United States is best served by an extensive system of smaller hospitals to supplement those in metropolitan centers. Occupancy rates are lower on the average in small hospitals than in large. 13 Their costs per bed are therefore higher.

A second factor is the increase in number of special diagnostic and therapeutic services provided by hospitals. Brown, quoting from the report of the Commission on Financing Hospital Care, offers this statement, "The relationship between the level of per diem expense and the scope of hospital service was apparent when per diem expense was determined for groups of hospitals classified by number of selected services they offered. * * *" 14

C. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Income and employment data with respect to medical practitioners is not easily available. Roberts is brings together data on the number of active practicing physicians, dentists, and professional nurses. The table below compares his data with Department of Commerce data on number of persons participating (including the commerce data on number of persons participating the collection of the colle ing active proprietors of unincorporated enterprises) in medical and other health services. The declining proportion of professional personnel in the total illustrates the growing importance of nonprofessional technical and other lesser skilled personnel.

Table 20 .- Employment in medical services

Year	Active physicians, dentists, and nurses	Persons engaged in medical and other health services	Physicians, dentists, and nurses as a percent of total
1930 1940 1950 1953 1955	Thousands 439. 2 529. 3 667. 8 706. 2 745. 6	Thousands 749 841 1, 237 1, 413 1, 551	58. 6 62. 9 54. 0 50. 0 48. 0

Sources: Roberts, "Trends in the Supply and Demand of Medical Care," table 8, p. 70; "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16 and "National Income," table 28.

Income data for physicians and dentists is not regularly published. Survey of Current Business has conducted surveys of professional income, but none have been published for recent years. 16 Partnership income data has been published by the Internal Revenue Service for the years 1947-48, 1953-54, and for 1957–58, preliminary data. See table 16 above.

These data cannot safely be regarded as representative of average earnings for individual doctors for two reasons:

(1) Data tabulated is for the partnership; if the average number of partners per partnership is not the same in each year, the results will not be strictly comparable between years on a per person basis.

(2) Many doctors, dentists, and other practioners are not members of partnerships. Sole proprietors and salaried medical practitioners experience different earnings records.17

18 Markly Roberts, "Trends in the Supply and Demand of Medical Care," Study Paper 5 in the "Study of Employment, Growth and Price Levels" (1959).

18 See William Weinfeld "Income of Dentists, 1929-48" Survey of Current Business, January 1950; "Income of Physicians, 1929-49," ibid, July 1951; "Income of Lawyers in the Postwar Period," ibid, December 1956 December 1956.

¹³ Brown, op. cit. says, "During 1954 those hospitals with less than 25 beds averaged 51.6 percent occupancy while those with over 300 beds averaged 71.8 percent occupancy."

II. TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AND PUBLIC UTILITIES

A. PRICES AND EXPENDITURES

Prices in the transportation services group experienced a greater percentage increase than any other service group in the Consumer Price Index from 1947 to 1958. Local transit fares increased 126.4 percent and led the other items by a wide margin. In fact this item which accounts for 27 percent of the weight of the transportation services index, contributed 40.5 percent of the rise. (See table 21.) The utilities rose much less, in general.

Table 21.—Transportation service prices, 1947-58

	Relative	Price	index	Percent	Average a	nnual rate	of change
	impor- tance, Decem- ber 1952	1947	1958	increase	1947-58	1947-53	1953-58
Transportation services	3.7	89. 3	174. 1	95. 0	6. 3	9. 1	3. 4
Auto repairs Insurance Registration fees Bus and transit fares Railroad fares	1.1 1.0 .3 1.0	95. 5 85. 0 97. 9 88. 8 89. 7	141. 9 171. 0 126. 9 201. 0 133. 2	48. 6 101. 2 29. 6 126. 4 48. 5	3. 7 6. 6 2. 4 7. 7 3. 7	4.3 9.8 1.3 9.9 5.4	3. (2. 8 3. 8 5. 2 1. 9
Transportation total ¹ Gas and electricity Telephone Postage Water	11.3 1.9 1.1 .2 .3	90. 6 97. 6 95. 3 97. 4 2 101. 3	140. 5 117. 0 127. 4 139. 9 136. 4	55. 1 19. 9 33. 7 37. 5 34. 6	4. 1 1. 7 2. 7 2. 9	6. 2 1. 1 4. 4 3. 6	1. 6 1. 9 2. 6.

¹ Includes in addition to the services shown in detail above, new and used autos, tires, gasoline and

motor oil.

2 Index for 1953 annual average. Item was first included in index January 1953. Base: December 1952=100.

Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.

Consumers' expenditures for transportation services and utilities show divergent trends. Expenditures associated with the operation of private automobiles increased much more than did expenditures for public transportation. Intercity rail transportation suffered the greatest decrease, despite fare increases measured by the Consumer Price Index of nearly 50 percent. The utilities experienced very large increases in expenditure with comparatively little price runup. Tabulated below is the percentage changes in consumer expenditures for various transportation services in the period 1947–58.

Table 22.—Expenditures for transportation services, percentage change, 1947-58

Item	Percent chang tu	
	Aggregate	Per capita
Automobile repair and maintenance	132.6 233.3 278.2 -5.8	76. 6 175. 0 213. 6 —22. 0
Intercity transportation: Railroad. Bus. Airline.	-38.7 -9.3 410.0	-49. 4 -25. 1 324. 1
Household utilities: Electricity Gas. Water Telephone and other communication.	196, 5 206, 0 121, 7 175, 2	145. 1 153. 5 83. 5 128. 1

Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table II-4.

B. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

The public transportation industries, expecially local transportation and rail

passenger service, have suffered extensive declines in patronage.

A drop in volume and revenue has severe repercussions on unit profits. vicious circle can easily be set in motion. Higher rates, or an exogenous change in taste, leading to a drop in volume, decreases revenue. Costs are unlikely to drop as much as revenue because carriers are required to maintain service and because of the heavy fixed cost elements in transportation industry cost structures. Returns on assets or operations decline. Consequently, the carrier is eligible for a further rate increase in order to restore profits to a satisfactory level. If the same cycle repeats, repeated rate increases may take place with little improvement in earnings.

Employment in both intercity railroads and local transit has been declining. At the same time hourly wage rates and average annual earnings have been rising. Average hourly earnings rose 87.7 percent and 73.8 percent, respectively, in the class I railroads and the local transit industries. Employment, however, decreased 36.6 percent and 47.9 percent. Railway workers maintained and improved slightly their annual income position relative to workers in manufacturing industries; transit employees slipped somewhat. (See table 23 and 24.)

Table 23.—Employment: transportation and public utility services, 1947-58 In thousandsl

[LI OLOGINATO]													
	Local transit	Class I rail- road	Tele- phone			Local transit	Class I rail- road	Tele- phone	Gas ar electri utilitie				
1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952	185 163 156 145 139 133 129	1, 191 1, 221 1, 276 1, 226	585. 5 638. 9 638. 9 619. 5 644. 0 678. 4 702. 2	498. 0 514. 9 526. 0 533. 3 543. 3 554. 2	1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 Percent change	126 116 110 104 96	1,057	698. 8 706. 7 751. 2 768. 2 732. 4 25. 1	557, 562, 569, 577, 578.				

Data for road with annual revenue above \$3,000,000 before, above \$1,000,000.

² Percentage change, 1948-58.

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."

Table 24.—Average hourly earnings: Transportation and public utility services, 1947-58

Year	Class I rail- ways	Local transit	Gas and electric utllities	Tele- phone	Year	Class I rail- ways	Local transit	Gas and electric utilities	phone
1947	\$1.30 1.43 1.57 1.73 1.83 1.88	\$1. 22 1. 33 1. 43 1. 49 1. 56 1. 65 1. 71	\$1.45 1.54 1.60 1.51 1.81 1.94	\$1. 20 1. 25 1. 35 1. 40 1. 49 1. 59 1. 68	1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 Percent change	\$1, 93 1, 96 2, 12 2, 26 2, 44	\$1.81 1.87 1.96 2.05 2.12	\$2.02 2.10 2.22 2.33 2.46	\$1.76 1.82 1.86 1.95 2.05

¹ Percentage change, 1948-58.

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings,"

C. PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION

Auto repair prices and automobile insurance rates accounted for just under 50 percent of the rise in transportation service prices. Higher auto repair costs percent of the rise in transportation service prices. Figure auto repair costs begot higher insurance rates, although independent factors such as higher accident rates impinge upon insurance. The number of automobiles has increased 81.3 percent from 30.7 million in 1947 to 55.7 million in 1957 so that on the average there is now one car for every American family. Furthermore, automobiles are now more complicated machines. An increase in the number and skill of of auto repairmen may be necessary to attain a given level of performance or safety.

^{18 &}quot;Automobile Facts and Figures," Detroit: Automobile Manufacturing Association, 1958

Employment data for auto service or repair personnel is not published; nor is regular wage series maintained. However, the Bureau of Labor Statistics has carried out community wage surveys covering auto repair personnel.19 collects data for all the cities and years that are available for auto mechanics. The average rate was computed by weighting the cities according to estimated number of mechanics employed.

Table 25.—Average hourly earnings, auto mechanics, selected years, 1947-58

	1947	1948	1951	1953	1958
Atlanta Baltimore Boston Cincinnati Chicago Cleveland Detroit Los Angeles Kansas City Minneapolis-St. Paul New York Philadelphia Pittsburgh	1. 35 1. 41 1. 44 1. 35 1. 67 1. 89 2. 05 1. 87 1. 64 1. 50 1. 53 1. 45	1. 34 1. 42 1. 50 1. 55 1. 83 2. 15 2. 09 1. 85 1. 70 1. 59 1. 64 1. 61 1. 56	1. 60 1. 64 1. 63 1. 70 2. 06 2. 25 2. 26 2. 06 1. 98 1. 78 1. 87 1. 69 1. 94 2. 01	1. 98 1. 92 1. 93 (1) 2. 51 2. 86 2. 78 2. 48 (1) (1) 2. 21 2. 11 1. 98 2. 19	2. 21 2. 40 2. 37 2. 42 3. 16 3. 12 2. 97 2. 86 (1) 2. 71 2. 72 2. 72 2. 72 2. 52
Portland San Francisco Seattle San Francisco Seattle San Francisco Average wage Average wage	1, 60 1, 81 1, 63 1, 46 (1)	1. 73 2. 00 1. 80 1. 48 2. 08	2.03 2.01 1.81 1.93	2. 24 (1) (1) (1) (2. 328	2. 71 2. 54 (¹) 2. 83 2. 761

¹ Not available.

Source: BLS "Community Wage Surveys."

Mechanics received a higher hourly wage than the average for all manufacturing Mechanics received a higher hourly wage than the average of an interest and menhoyees in the cities surveyed. There is, however, a significant rank correlation 20 between the manufacturing wages also had high mechanics pay. Those cities the best manufacturing wages also had high mechanics wages. There is also with high manufacturing wages also had high mechanics wages. There is also a significant rank correlation ²¹ between the percentage increase in manufacturing and mechanic wages from 1951-58.

III. OTHER NONPROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES

Much of the employment in the conglomeration called the service industries is unskilled or at most requires little formal education. Often what training is needed is supplied on the job or through apprenticeship programs. Entrexit of firms in this industry is relatively easy and small firms abound. group of service suppliers includes laundry, drycleaning, barber and beauty shops, shoe repair shops, and other establishments which specialize in the care of persons and their belongings. Domestic servants and helpers can also be included as well as appliance and radio-TV repairmen, hotel workers, and similar groups.

A. PRICES

The price changes measured by the Consumer Price Index for items in this group have, on the whole, increased about as much as the average for all services or a little less. However, there are exceptions. The price of men's haircuts and television repairs rose considerably faster than the average of all service prices while beauty shop service, drycleaning, and domestic service rose less than the service index.²² Laundry prices rose almost exactly as much as the average. See table 26.

These data are published through the regional offices of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. In addition, the National Automobile Dealers Association has begun a program of sampling their membership in order to ascertain data on wages, and pay plans. The first survey was published in the association magazine, NADA, in the June and July 1959 issues.
 At the 0.03 level: 11 cities had both types of wage data for both years.
 At the 0.01 level: correlation is for 1958, using 16 cities.
 Preliminary analysis of the level of dry cleaning prices in a cross section of large cities showed strong association (at 1-percent level) with the level of unskilled wages in those cities. Surprisingly, no such relation appeared with laundry prices, but the partial correlation coefficients of personal care price and the unskilled wages were significantly associated at 5-percent level. For description of data, analysis, and results, see pt. 3. pt. 3.

Table 26.—Other	service	prices,	1947-58
-----------------	---------	---------	---------

Y 4	Relative Price index I		Percent	Average annual rate of increase			
Item	tance, Decem- ber 1952	1947	1958	increase, 1947–58	1947-58	1947-53	1953-58
Other services 1 Personal care 2 Man's hair cut. Beauty shop service Reading and recreation 3 Movie admissions. Television repairs Laundry services. Drycleaning and pressing Domestic service.	5. 3 1. 4 . 1	97. 1 97. 6 94. 3 100. 4 95. 5 98. 4 4 105. 4 94. 2 96. 2 98. 9	129. 6 128. 6 162. 7 124. 1 116. 7 135. 7 135. 9 142. 0 128. 7 134. 5	33. 5 31. 8 72. 4 23. 6 17. 1 37. 9 5 24. 7 50. 7 33. 8 36. 0	2. 6 2. 5 5. 1 1. 9 1. 4 3. 0	2.6 2.4 5.7 1.0 1.3 1.7	2. 7 2. 7 4. 3 3. 3 1. 6 5. 2 4. 5 2. 9 2. 1 2. 3

¹ Includes miscellaneous services (weight 0.8) such as banking, legal, funeral prices, not published separately.

Includes toilet articles in addition to the services detailed.

Includes newspapers, radio and television sets, toys and sports equipment in addition to services detailed.

Index for 1953 annual average; item first included in index in 1953. Base: December 1952.

Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.

B. EXPENDITURES

Consumer expenditures for services in this group have shown diverse trends. While all have increased in absolute amount, on a per capita basis there has been some decline in laundry and such small increases in some others that real consumption has declined. Table 27 lists percentage changes in consumer expenditures on the major items in the group.

Table 27.—Personal consumption expenditures, personal and household services, 1947-58

[Percentage change]		
Item	Change in	expenditure
	Aggregate	Per capita
Drycleaning Laundry Barber and beauty shops Domestic servants Radio and TV repair Movie admissions	38. 3 5. 1 88. 9 47. 8 414. 4 -26. 7	14. 5 -12. 9 56. 5 22. 4 326. 8 -39. 3

Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table II-4.

Demand for drycleaning and domestic service was at least strong enough that real output did not decline. Deflated drycleaning expenditures increased 3.4 percent, and domestic service 8.7 percent; laundry output, on the other hand, dropped from 1947-58.23 Deflated expenditure on domestic services increased only 8.7 percent.

C. EMPLOYMENT AND WAGES

Bureau of Labor Statistics employment and earnings data supplement the data already presented on average number of full- and part-time workers in the industries in this group. Tabulated below is data for employment and hourly earnings in laundry, drycleaning, hotel establishments. Manufacturing wage rates are given for comparison (tables 28 and 29).

Based on aggregate expenditures deflated by the relevant CPI item index.

Table 28.—Employment in unskilled service industries, 1947-58 [In thousands]

Year	Laundries	Dryclean- ing	Hotels	Year	Laundries	Dryclean- ing	Hotels
1947	364. 8 353. 7 345. 4 342. 1 342. 7 340. 2 339. 2	153. 7 151. 9 152. 4 156. 7 165. 2 166. 0 166. 2	380. 0 486. 0 476. 0 471. 0 479. 7 493. 3 504. 3	1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 Percent change	331. 4 332. 1 332. 3 326. 3 312. 6 -14. 3	162. 9 163. 4 165. 8 169. 8 167. 4	494. 2 498. 7 515. 4 531. 0 511. 3

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."

Table 29.—Average hourly earnings: Unskilled service industries, 1947-58

Year	Manu- factur- ing	Laun- dry	Clean- ing	Hotels	Year	Manu- factur- ing	Laun- dry	Clean- ing	Hotels
1947	1. 22 1. 38 1. 47 1. 55 1. 59 1. 67 1. 77	. 77 . 82 . 84 . 86 . 92 . 94 . 98	. 90 . 96 . 99 1. 01 1. 06 1. 10 1. 14	. 66 . 71 . 74 . 77 . 82 . 87 . 91	1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 Percent change	1.81 1.88 1.98 2.07 2.13 74.6	1.00 1.01 1.03 1.08 1.13 46.7	1. 19 1. 20 1. 26 1. 30 1. 32 46. 7	. 96 . 99 1. 03 1. 08 1. 13 71. 2

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."

Data on the incomes of partnerships in this group is tabulated in table 16 above. Income per partnership showed declines from levels achieved in 1953; laundry and drycleaning declined 12.8 percent, barber and beauty shops by 2.9 percent.24

PART 3: CITY CROSS SECTION ANALYSIS

I. Introduction

One approach taken in this study of employment, prices, and wages in the services industries was an analysis based upon a cross section of large cities. Data were collected for a number of economic variables for the period 1951-58. Since average price data is available for most cities only after 1954, some of the analysis was limited to the 4 years, 1955-58.

The rationale upon which the cross section approach was based includes the

following considerations:

1. The service sector, perhaps more than in any other sector, is local in nature and orientation. Typically, there are many small firms, often owned and operated

by a single individual.

2. Wage rates are not typically set as the result of nationwide bargains between national unions and industrial associations. There are few, if any, regional or national chains, such as there are in retail groceries. Even in those trades which may have guild-type price and wage setting, there is probably little direct coordination between cities.

3. In the professional services where national associations are powerful and where entry is difficult because of training requirements and licensing laws, fee

schedules are not uniform in level.

²⁴ See footnote to table 16 for sources and cautions about the data.

II. THE DATA

A. CITY SAMPLE

The cities chosen were the 20 large cities for which the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) publishes price data gathered in connection with compilation of the Consumer Price Index. They are listed below:

*Atlanta *Baltimore Boston *Cincinnati *Chicago Cleveland *Detroit Houston Kansas City *Los Angeles

*New York Pittsburgh *Philadelphia Portland, Oreg. *St. Louis *San Francisco Scranton Seattle Washington, D.C.

*Price data available for 1952–58.

B. PRICE DATA

A yearly average price for each of seven services categories was computed and used in this study. A simple arithmetic mean was used to summarize all the price quotations within a category into an annual quotation. There are seven prices for each city for each year.

The following are the categories and the items included:

Laundry service: Semifinished and finished.

Dry cleaning: Man's shirt, delivered and women's dress, cash and carry.

Automobile service: Brake relining and chassis lubrication.

Hospital room rates, per day rate for the following types of accommodation: Men's

pay ward, semiprivate room, private room.

Medical service: Office visit to general practitioner, house visit by general practitioner, obstetrical case, appendectomy (surgeon's usual fee excluding anesthetic).

Dental service: Tooth filling amalgam, one surface; tooth extraction without

complication, including X-ray.

Personal-care services: Man's haircut; shampoo and wave set, plain, short hair. Monthly prices are given for the five largest cities; the remaining 15 cities are

priced 4 times per year such that five of them are priced each month. All data came from the BLS and can be found in the publications mentioned below. Data for December 1952 and for 1953-54 is from "Average Retail Prices: Collection and Calculation Techniques and Problems," Bulletin No. 1182 (June 1955). Only the 10 cities marked with actorisks in the list observed the list of the list o 1955). Only the 10 cities marked with asterisks in the list above are reported. Data for the full 20-city sample for 1955 is given in "Average Retail Prices," Bulletin No. 1197 (June 1956). Data for 1956–58 was taken from BLS worksheets in the Division of Prices and Cost of Living and will be published in the future.

C. UNSKILLED WAGE RATES

There are no wage data available for workers in service industries on a city basis. The Bureau of Labor Statistics does, however, publish periodically Bulletins in the series entitled "Occupational Wage Surveys." Data on wages in office, professional, maintenance, and custodial occupations are given for a number of

large cities annually (or at times, less regularly) by these publications.

A composite unskilled wage rate was computed for each city and year using data from the "Occupational Wage Survey" series.

Six occupations were chosen to be representative of the level of skill typically required in unchilled services. to be representative of the level of skill typically required in unskilled service industries. The average of the wage paid to workers in these six occupations was then used as an estimate of the level of wages paid in unskilled service industries in the same city and year.

The six occupations chosen were male janitors, female janitors, male laborers (material handling), female packers (shipping), office boys, and female file clerks

(class B), all employed in nonmanufacturing industries.

For Washington, D.C., no "Surveys" have been published by BLS. The salary

for the lowest civil service grade, transformed to an hourly rate was used

When data for a year was missing, the gap was filled by interpolating between years. If more than 1 year was missing, the movement of manufacturing wages was used as a guide.

Unskilled wage data was interpolated for the following cities and years:

Baltimore: 1953-54, 1956.

Boston: 1958. Cleveland: 1953, 1955, 1957. Detroit: 1952, 1954, 1956, 1957. Minneapolis: 1956.

Portland: 1954.

St. Louis: 1958. Seattle: 1952–55. No unskilled wage data at all was available for Cincinnati, Pittsburgh, Houston, Kansas City, and Scranton. These cities were removed from all analyses involving wages.

D. MANUFACTURING WAGES AND INDUSTRIAL EMPLOYMENT

Manufacturing wage rates were supplied by the BLS and are published in its regular periodical, "Employment and Earnings." The May 1954 and May 1959

editions contain annual data which covers the entire period.

Employment is reported for the major nonagricultural divisions: manufacturing, construction, trade, finance, service, government. The manufacturing wage data was not published for the following cities and years: Cincinnati, 1952; Houston, 1952-54; Washington, D.C., 1952-54; Chicago and Cleveland, 1951.

E. LABOR MARKET CONDITION'

Major labor markets are classified using a system which takes into account the level of unemployment, the current labor supply and demand situation, expected short-run requirements for labor, and seasonal factors.

Over the period, the classification scale has been changed; however, since this analysis was based on cross-section data only, the noncomparability of the two

scales over time is of no importance.

The labor-market condition is reported bimonthly. The Labor Department's ranking scheme was translated into numbers and the arithmetic mean of the 6 bimonthly rankings was used to represent the annual labor-market condition for each city and year.

The data may be found in the periodical "Area Labor Market Trends" (and its predecessor, "The Bimonthly Summary of Labor Market Developments in Major Areas") published by the BLS Bureau of Employment Security.

F. POPULATION

Population estimates were taken from the annual May "Survey of Buying Power" issue of Sale Management Magazine.

G. PRICE LEVEL

Since the Consumer Price Index is a measure of the change in prices in relation to the base period 1947-49, divergences of the index reported for individual cities can show only differential changes in prices. They do not necessarily show differences which may exist in the level of prices among the cities.

To transform the price index from a measure of change only, into a measure of price level, it is necessary to adjust the base-period level to reflect differences

in cost among the cities.

In 1946, the BLS conducted a study in which the cost in each city of a standard bill of goods and services (similar in scope to the coverage of the CPI) was

determined.

BLS Bulletin No. 927, "Workers' Budgets in the United States: City Families and Single Persons, 1946 and 1947," reports the results of the study. (See table 6, pp. 28–30 of the Bulletin.) The mean cost of the budget in the 20-city sample was computed. The cost of the budget in each of the cities was then converted into an index with base equal to the average cost of the budget in the 20 cities. The results are tabulated below.

Table 30.—Cost of a standard budget for a family of 4, selected sample of cities, 1946

Note.—Base is average of cost of budget in all cities.

To this base was added the Consumer Price Index as reported by the BLS for each city and year. The new index shows price change adjusted for differences in price level in the individual cities.

H. NONWHITE POPULATION

Percentage of population which is nonwhite was taken directly from the "Country and City Data Book, 1956" (Bureau of the Census), table 3. The data refer to the year 1950 and were collected in connection with the regular decennial census.

III. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

The data described above were used in an attempt to gain understanding of the variables and mechanisms important in price and wage making in the services industries. The results are probably more suggestive than definitive for various reasons mentioned below.

All data in a cross-section should represent simultaneous observations of all variables in all elements of the sample. In the data used here, the observations are considered to be annual averages. But some of the variables are averages of 12 observations; some of 6; some of 4 observations, not all of which refer to the same date in all cities; some, in the case of unskilled wages, are only one observation per year. In years of rapidly changing conditions, the month in which the "representative" observation falls might make important difference in results, especially when all the cities are not surveyed the same month. 24a

Errors of observation are probably quite high in much of the data used. While the BLS does publish average price data drawn from their price observations for the Consumer Price Index, it must be remembered that main concern of the BLS is with measuring changes in price. If it becomes necessary to alter the sample of establishments surveyed, it makes little difference in the index-making process if, other things equal, the average price differs between the two establishments. As long as successive monthly changes have been the same, the new outlet can be linked smoothly into the index. The average price data may however show an abrupt change.

Combining the labor-market index into an annual average suppresses much of its sensitivity. If it were feasible to collect the rest of the data on a quarterly basis, use of a quarterly labor market condition indicator would be better. Other difficulties include the small number of service occupations for which data were available, as well as the limited number of cities and years included.

Nevertheless preliminary results of what has been found to date are summarized briefly here. Negative results as well as more encourging ones are indicated.

^{24a} It is conceivable that something like the following could be true: assume that two cities in fact have the same average wage rate and that in both cities the wage-rate increases in uniform, 2-cent-per-month increments. Now, if the first city were surveyed in January and the second city in December, the second city would show a wage 24 cents higher than the first. Taking simple first difference would not solve the problem because the given city is not always surveyed in the same month each year by BLS. Sometimes the "annual" first difference might span an interval of less than a year, sometimes more.

A. WAGES

The first general hypothesis for investigation was that the industrial composition of the labor force, the labor-market condition, and the price level explain the level of manufacturing or unskilled wages.

Three regressions were run. Two attempted to explain the level of unskilled wages; one of manufacturing wages. The first regressed the level of unskilled wages on the percentage of the total labor force accounted for by employment in the service sector,25 the labor-market condition (LMC), and the price level.

The second regressed the unskilled wage level on the percentage of total employed in the services industries, the LMC, and the price level. The third regression investigated the association of manufacturing wages on percentage of the total labor force employed in industrial employment, the condition of the

labor market and the price level.

The coefficients of multiple determination (R2) associated with the manufacturing wage regression were significant at the 5-percent level for the years 1956-58, and nearly so in 1955. The beta coefficients associated with the price level were significant at the 5-percent level in 1955 and at the 1 percent level in 1957-58. In addition, in 1957 and 1958 the beta for labor-market condition was significant

at the 5-percent level. (See appendix tables.)

On the other hand, the regression of unskilled wages on the variables named above had no coefficients of multiple determination which were significant. The betas associated with labor-market condition and price level were significant at the 5-percent level in 1958 in the regression which included service sector employment as one of the independent variables. The regression involving services industries employment as a variable had no significant betas. (See appendix tables 1 and 2.)

B. SPILLOVER INVESTIGATION

If the level of unskilled wages cannot be satisfactorily explained by employment structure, labor market condition, or price level, another hypothesis is available. That is the "spillover" thesis.

The form investigated here states that the level and change in unskilled wages are associated with the level and change in manufacturing wages more than with

other explanatory variables.

The analysis was carried out using rank correlation methods developed by endall.²⁶ The coefficient of rank correlation is called tau by Kendall and will Kendall.²⁶ be used throughout as a shorthand expression.

A sample of 14 cities was used, including Atlanta, Baltimore, Boston, Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Los Angeles, Minneapolis-St. Paul, New York, Philadelphia,

Portland, St. Louis, San Francisco, and Seattle.27

Level of unskilled wage on level of manufacturing wage

The results of correlation of cities ranked by level of unskilled wages and level of manufacturing wages were extremely significant. The correlations were carried out using data for the years 1951–58. The size of the sample is 14 cities for each set of computations reported. Cities omitted were Cincinnati, Houston, Kansas City, Pittsburgh, Scranton, and Washington, D.C.

The values of tau and the significance level associated with each one are tabu-

lated below:

Rank correlation coefficients

Year	Value of coefficient	Level at which significant	Year	Value of coefficient	Level at which significant
1951	0.7023	0.0006	1955	0. 5889	0.0024
1952	.7079	.0003	1956	. 7300	.0001
1953	.6630	.0006	1957	. 7889	.0001
1954	.6742	.0006	1958	. 6409	.0009

²⁵ That is, the services industries, finance and insurance, transportation and public utilities.
²⁶ M. G. Kendall, "Rank Correlation Methods," London: Charles Griffin & Co., 1948. The method set forth by Kendall has the advantage over the simpler Spearman method for small samples. The distribution of tau tends to normality for samples greater than 10 and has been computed for values under 10.
²⁷ It will be noted that some of these cities have interpolated unskilled wage rates for some years. The

first correlation reported below used both interpolated and uninterpolated data. No significant differences arose, so interpolated data was used throughout.

The levels at which the tau coefficients are significant is very high; since rank correlation is a relatively weak method, however, little confidence can be placed in results which are only marginally significant. These results tend to be supported by the simple product moment correlation coefficients which were computed in connection with the wage regressions already mentioned.²⁸ The simple correlation between unskilled and manufacturing wages is significant at the 1-percent level. Simple correlation between other variables is not significant even at the 5-percent level.

Simple correlation coefficients

	1955	1956	1957	1958
Unskilled wage on— Manufacturing wage Labor market condition.————————————————————————————————————	1 0. 8187	1 0. 8037	1 0. 7426	1 0. 7340
	. 2241	. 3398	. 4578	. 3711
	. 3027	. 2720	. 3046	. 3365
	. 1743	. 3783	. 3768	. 4885
	. 4910	. 5011	. 5225	. 3365

¹ Significant at 1-percent level.

Percent change of unskilled on percent change in manufacturing

Turning to correlations of cities ranked by percentage change in unskilled wages on percentage change in manufacturing wages, two periods were chosen: 1951-58 and 1954-58.

The values are tabulated:

Year	Tau	Level at which significant
1951–58.	0.3626	0.0409
1954–58.	.5385	.00453

The connection for 1951-58 was significant at the 4-percent level; tau for the later period was significant at more than the 1-percent level.

The tests carried out above seem to give support to the existence of a spillover

between unskilled wages and manufacturing wages in the cities studied.

It might be argued, however, that the strong association of level and rate of change between the two classes of wages is observed because other, third factors operate on both manufacturing and unskilled wages.

The following alternative hypotheses have been tested:

1. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the state of the labor market within the city.

2. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the concentration

of nonwhite population within the city.

3. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the level and rate of change in consumer prices within the city.

Wage rates on labor market condition

Cities were ranked by labor market condition and by level of unskilled wages and level of manufacturing wages for the years 1952, 1955, and 1958—years which covered both recession and high employment.

²³ The number of cities included in the computation was 14. Washington, D.C., was included; Cleveland was not. Washington was dropped because the unskilled wage is not strictly comparable with the other cities. Data for Cleveland became available at a later stage in the work.

Values of tau and levels of significance are given below for both unskilled wages and for manufacturing wages:

	Unski	lled wages	Manufactu	ring wages
Year	Tau	Level at which sig- nificant	Tau	Level at which sig- nificant
1952 1955 1968	-0.1189 .1695 .0634	0.3156 .1841 .4562	0.1453 .2374 0586	0. 2743 . 1292 . 3669

None of the values are high enough that we may, with confidence, reject the null hypothesis, especially in view of the fact that the sign on the correlation coefficient seems to shift from positive to negative with little logic.²⁹

Apparently the level and change in wage rates were not importantly associated

with labor market condition in the city during this period.30

Wage rates on concentration of colored population

Cities were ranked according to the percentage of their population which was found to be non-white in the 1950 Census and by level of unskilled wages.

Results of rank correlation analysis show the following values for tau and significance levels.

Year	Tau	Level at which sig- nificant
1951	0. 4505	0.015
1955	. 2747	.095
1958	. 2527	.115

The results are significant for 1951 at 1-percent level, but increasingly less so for the later years. This may reflect two things:

(1) The rank of cities ordered by color concentration may be increasingly

unrepresentative of the true order, the further in time one gets from 1950; or (2) The level of wages may be becoming less sensitive to concentrations

of nonwhite population.

Correlating change in unskilled wages on color concentration shows the following values of tau and significance levels. Values for percentage change per hour are shown.

Period: 1951-58:	Per	cent change
Тан		0.3406
Level at which significant.		. 0516

Unskilled wage change measured in percentage terms was somewhat significant at about the 5-percent level.

³⁹ The significance level was computed from a one-tail distribution on the a priori notion that wages would be positively correlated with labor market condition. Since this did not turn out to be the case, a two-tailed test may be more appropriate. If so, the significance levels should be doubled and the results become,

tailed test may be more appropriate. Itselfamiciant test the state of the significant, as fortiori, less significant, 10 But note that of the six cities omitted because of lack of unskilled wage data, two cities, Scranton and Pittsburgh, ranked 20 and 18, respectively, in the cumulative labor market scores. In 1958, Scranton also ranked 20 (i.e., worst) in manufacturing wage level, but Pittsburgh ranked second, behind only Petroit, It seems obvious that the industrial structure—coal versus steel—rather than labor market condition, made the difference.

Some outstanding anomalies can be observed in the ordering of the cities. Boston, New York, and Minneapolis-St. Paul were at the low end of the color concentration and also had low unskilled wage levels.

The low wage levels in Boston and New York can undoubtedly be traced to the foreign immigrants who tend to concentrate at ports of entry. Furthermore, with respect to New York, the unskilled wage rank was worsened during the period. In 1951, New York ranked fourth; in 1955 and 1958 it ranked ninth feriod. In 1891, New York ranked fourth; in 1895 and 1895 it ranked ninth (small numbers indicate high wages). This experience matches the increased Puerto Rican influx. Boston ranked 10th, 12th, 12th, also showing some deterioration. In the case of Minneapolis, no ready demographic explanation comes to mind. Possibly its relative isolation from other large cities helps keep wage rates low by limiting nearby alternative opportunity.

Price and price levels on wages

Correlations of cities ranked by wages and annual Consumer Price Index reveal:

1. The CPI and the price level have shown stronger association with wage

levels as the decade progressed.

2. Manufacturing wages show greater association with the cost of living

than do unskilled wages.

3. Using CPI, lagged 1 year, improves the relationship in the case of unskilled wages throughout the period. In the case of manufacturing, lagging wages improved the association in 1954 and 1958, but made it worse in 1951 and 1953.

4. None of the values for tau were as great as they were for the correlation

of unskilled wages on manufacturing wages for similar years.

This analysis suggests that both classes of wages appear to be becoming more sensitive to the CPI and price level. Only in 1958 were all correlation coefficients (except one) significant at the 5-percent level. (See tabulated values.)

i	17/17		Uns	killed	Manufacturing		
i i s to skipt	Year	The Call Control of the	Tau	Level at which significant	Tau	Level at which significant	
1958 CPI lagged 1951	1 year on wages		0.0324 .1222 .0552 .3445	0.456 .251 .413 .045	0.0738 .2778 .1878 .3667	0.367 .087 .189 .035	
1953 1954 1958 Price level 1951 1953 1958	on wages:		. 1006 . 1889 . 5058 . 2747 . 1868 . 3846	. 330 . 181 . 008 . 095 . 192 . 036	. 0559 . 3000 . 5337 . 3333 . 2307 . 2967	. 413 . 071 . 006 . 0749 . 138 . 078	

These data tend to support the spillover hypothesis as outlined above. There are some cautions which should be noted in generalizing to the services sector.

(1) The unskilled wage rate is a composite of rates for certain unskilled jobs in nonmanufacturing industries surveyed by the BLS in a number of cities from time to time.

(2) No test has been made of the validity of this unskilled composite rate as a representative of either the level or change in wages in service establishments in the surveyed cities.

(3) Existence of a direct causal mechanism between the levels of manufacturing and unskilled wages has not been proved, only suggested.

C. PRICES

Each of the seven service category prices were regressed on the unskilled wage rate, the labor market condition and the population for each of the four years price data were available.

The results can be summarized as follows:

1. Dry cleaning.—The R2 was significant at the 5-percent level for every year. The beta coefficients associated with unskilled wages were significant at the

1 percent level in every year.

2. Hospital care.—Significant R² values at the 5-percent level were present for all years. The beta coefficients for unskilled wages were significant at the 1-percent level every year. In 1957 and 1958 the betas associated with labor market condition were significant at the 5-percent level and had a negative sign: in these years cities with relatively good labor-market conditions and relatively high hospital rates were associated.

3. Auto repairs.—The R² was significant at the 5-percent level in 1958. The

beta associated with population was significant at the 5-percent level in 1957 and the 1-percent level in 1958. Larger cities and higher auto repair prices were associated. The betas for unskilled wages and labor-market condition were

also significant in 1958.

4. Medical care.—In 1958 the R² was just significant at the 5-percent level. The betas for unskilled wage and labor market condition were also just within the 5-percent significance level. As with hospital rates, there was an inverse relation between higher prices and poorer labor-market conditions.

5. Personal care.—None of the R² values were significant at the 5-percent level.

But the betas associated with unskilled wages were significant at that level each

year. No other betas were significant.

6. Dental care.—No R² values were significant. There was weak and spotty significance of the betas associated with unskilled wages: 5 percent in 1955–56

7. Laundry prices.—No beta or R2 values were significant.

In summary, the preliminary results reported are not completely at variance with a priori notions about the relation of unskilled wages and service prices. Hospitals, drycleaners, and laundries are, in general, low paid, labor-intense industries. The medical and dental professions are not. Auto repair and personal care are somewhere between. The results found here are strongly consistent in the case of hospitals and drycleaning; satisfactorily so in the other cases. Only laundries were strongly out of place.

APPENDIX

DEFINITION OF SERVICES ITEMS INCLUDED IN PERSONAL CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES IN NATIONAL INCOME ACCOUNTS

All hard seek to be to old of day geten't heart to all quite all.

Clothing service:

Shoe repair Shoe repair
Laundry in establishments
Drycleaning and dyeing
Other
Housing—Space rental value of—
Owner-occupied nonfarm dwellings
Tenant-occupied nonfarm dwellings
Farmhouses and other, i.e., hotels, clubs, etc.

Housing-Space rental value of-

Household operation:

Utilities: Electricity, gas, water

Telephone, telegraph, cable, and wireless

Domestic service

Other: Appliance maintenance, moving expenses, postage, premiums on fire, etc., insurance

Medical care and death expense:

Physicians

Dentists

Other professional services: Miscellaneous curative and healing arts Privately controlled hospitals and sanitariums

The acceptance of the first of the control of the c

Medical care and hospital insurance

Funeral and burial expenses

Personal business:

Brokerage charges and interest, investment counseling

Bank service charges, trust services, safe deposit box rent

Services rendered without payment by financial intermediaries, except life

insurance companies Expense of handling life insurance

Legal services

Interest on personal debt

Other: Money orders, net union dues, classified ads., etc.

Transportation:

User-owned transportation:

Automobile repair, greasing, washing, parking, etc.

Bridge, tunnel, ferry, and road toll

Automobile insurance premiums less claims paid

Purchased local transportation:

Street and electric railway and local bus

Taxicabs

Railway commutation

Purchased intercity transportation: Railway

Intercity bus Airline

Other

Recreation:

Radio and TV repair

Motion-picture admissions

Legitimate theater and opera, etc.

Spectator sports

Clubs and fraternal organizations

Commercial participant amusements: Billiard parlors, bowling alleys, etc.

Parimutuel net receipts

Other

Private education and research Religious and welfare activities Foreign travel and remittances

Source: "U.S. Income and Output," pp. 150-1, table II-4.

DEFINITION OF SERVICE INDUSTRY AS USED IN GROSS PRODUCT ORIGINATING DATA

Source: Standard Industrial Classification Manual.

Hotels and other lodging places: Includes commercial establishments and institutions engaged in furnishing lodging, or lodging and meals, and camping facilities and space, on a fee basis.

Personal services: Includes establishments primarily engaged in providing services generally involving the care of the person or his apparel, such as laundries, cleaning and dyeing plants, photographic studios, barber and beauty shops, and

cleaning and pressing shops.

Private households: Includes private households which employ workers who service. Households classified in this major croup may employ individuals, such as cooks, maids, butlers, personal secretaries, and managers of personal affairs; and outside workers such as cardeners, caretakers, and other maintenance workers. Laundresses performing work in their own homes or in the homes of others are included.

Commercial and trade schools and employment arencies: Fusiness and commercial education schools, civil service schools; placement arencies; trade schools. Business services: Includes establishments rendering services not elsewhere

classified to business enterprises on a fee or contract basis. (Advertising, consumer credit reporting, duplicating, mailing and stenographic services,

services to buildings, news syndicates, etc.).

Miscellaneous repair services and hand trades: Includes establishments engaged in miscellaneous repair services. It does not include auto repair, custom work,

and shoe repair.

Motion pictures: Includes establishments producing and distributing motionpicture films, exhibiting motion pictures in commercially operated theaters, and furnishing services to the motion picture industry.

Amusement and recreation, except motion pictures: Includes establishments whose primary function is to provide amusement or entertainment on payment of a fee or admission charge, except motion pictures. (Including museums, zoological gardens.)

Medical and other health services: Includes establishments primarily engaged in furnishing medical, surgical, and other health services to persons. Associations or groups primarily engaged in providing medical or other health services to members are included, but not those which limit their services to the provision of insurance against hospitalization or medical costs.

Legal services: Establishments engaged in offering legal advice or legal services

on a contract or fee basis, the head or heads of which are members of the bar. Engineering and other professional services: Establishments performing services by engineers, architects, accountants, artists, lecturers, and writers; also includes nonprofit educational and scientific research agencies.

Educational services: Establishments furnishing formal academic or technical

courses, and libraries.

Nonprofit membership organizations: Organizations operating on a nonprofit membership basis for the promotion of the interests of the members.

Table 1.—Regression coefficients, manufacturing wage regression

	Regression coefficient	Partial cor- relation co- efficient	Beta co- efficient	Standard error of beta	Value of R ²
Manufacturing wage on— 1955 industrial employment Labor-market condition Price level 1956 industrial employment Labor-market condition Price level 1957 industrial employment Labor-market condition Price level 1958 industrial employment Labor-market condition Price level 1958 industrial employment Labor-market condition Price level	0.0065 .1081 .0285 .0236 .0373 .0029 .0076 .1705 .0319 .0014	0. 4019 .3134 *. 5748 **. 9160 .0751 .1242 .5217 *. 5676 .0923 **. 66785 **. 7004	0.3952 .3094 *.5776 **.9122 .0769 .1272 .4070 **.6060 .0693 **.7393 **.6293	0. 2598 . 2705 . 2373 . 1152 . 2946 . 2935 . 1921 . 2052 . 1950 . 2159 . 2310	*. 8478 *. 6093

^{*} Significant at 5-percent level. **Significant at 1-percent level.

Note.—For R2 to be significant at 5 percent it must equal 0.4660.

Table 2.—Regression coefficients, unskilled wage regressions

<u> </u>					
	Regression coefficient	Partial cor- relation co- efficient	Beta co- efficient	Standard error of beta	Value of R ²
 Unskilled wages on— 					
1955 service-sector employment	0.0061	0.1731	0.1715	0, 3085	0. 2469
Labor-market condition	.1660	4133	. 4661	.3246	0.2408
Price level	.0281	.4549	. 5138	.3180	
1956 service-sector employment	.0012	.0345	.0326	.2983	. 2128
Labor-market condition	.1153	3803	.3827	.2942	.2120
Price level	.0171	.3291	.3198	.2901	
1957 service-sector employment	.0010	,0304	.0253	.2624	.4035
Labor-market condition	. 1874	5741	. 5841	.2634	.4050
Price level	.0256	4818	.4638	.2667	
1958 service-sector employment	.0150	3287	. 3433	.3119	4392
Labor-market condition	.1783	* 5989	*. 7554	.3193	.4092
Price level	.0360	*. 5912	*. 6678		
2. Unskilled wages on—	.0000	. 5912	.0078	. 2880	
1955 personal-services employment	.0097	.1088	0007	0000	0000
Labor-market condition	. 1489	.3942	.0997	. 2882	. 2328
Price level			. 4181	.3081	
1956 personal-services employment	.0258	. 4384	. 4714	. 3055	
Labor-market condition	0042	0463	0424	. 2891	. 2136
Price level	. 1095	.3680	. 3634	. 2904	
	.0165	. 3262	.3089	. 2830	
1957 personal-services employment	0096	1174	0936	. 2503	.4112
Labor-market condition	. 1788	. 5654	. 5571	. 2569	
Price level	. 0242	. 4756	. 4376	. 2559	
1958 personal-services employment	.0110	. 1089	. 1002	. 2892	.3787
Labor-market condition	. 1393	. 5223	. 5902	. 3046	
Price level	. 0289	. 5286	. 5370	. 2739	
		1	ı		

^{*}Significant at 5-percent level.

Note.—None significant. To be significant at 5 percent, R2 must equal 0.5267.

Table 3.—Regression coefficients: Price regression

Laundry prices on—						
1955 unskilled wages			relation co-		error of	Value of R ²
1955 unskilled wages	Laundry prices on—					
Labor-market condition	1955 unskilled wages		0.4901	0.4951	0, 2784	0,3097
Population	Labor-market condition	2741	1370	1190	. 2719	1
Population	1056 unchilled wares	3 2201	.2057	. 1835	.2761	2457
Population	Labor-market condition	. 2185			. 2725	. 9491
1955 unskilled wages	Population	.0005	.1648	. 1412	. 2673	
1955 unskilled wages	1957 unskilled wages	2.6368			. 2799	.4061
1955 unskilled wages	Population	.4808			.2110_ 95177	
1955 unskilled wages	1958 unskilled wagesc	3. 1123			. 2800	.3818
1955 unskilled wages	Labor-market condition	.1189	.0919			
1955 unskilled wages	Cleaning prices on—	.0009	. 2529	. 2161	.2614	
1958 uniskilled wages	1955 unskilled wages	1.3849	*. 9232	*. 9634	.1267	**, 8969
1958 uniskilled wages	Labor-market condition	.0031			. 1237	
1958 uniskilled wages	1056 ungkilled wages	0002 1 3899	- 0583 * 9795	2833 * 0250		** 5000
1958 uniskilled wages	Labor-market condition	0016		0035		
1958 uniskilled wages	Population	0003	- 5616	- 3249	. 1513	
1958 uniskilled wages	Labor-market condition	1.3111	*. 8789 - 2179	*.9708		**.7898
Population	Population	0003		3018	.1533	
Population	1958 unskilled wages	1.3030	*. 9089	*. 9951	. 1443	**.8358
Auto-repair prices on—	Labor-market condition	0339	2438	1097		
1955 unskilled wages. 1385 2304 2096 2799 3024	Auto-repair prices on—	0002	5045	2488	.1347	
Population	1955 unskilled wages			. 2096	.2799	.3024
1958 unskilled wages	Labor-market condition	.0458	. 2241	. 1987	. 2733	
1958 unskilled wages	Population 1056 unskilled wages				.2776	1100
1958 unskilled wages	Labor-market condition	0203			.3175	.1100
1958 unskilled wages	Population	.0003	. 2195	. 2217	.3115	
1958 unskilled wages	Labor-market condition		- 2248	- 0554		
1958 unskilled wages	Population	.0003	**. 6240	**. 6177	. 2445	1
Personal-care prices on— 1955 unskilled wages. 1,000 1	1958 unskilled wages	. 4832	*.7806	7. 5315	. 1345	**.8572
1955 unskilled wages	Labor-market condition	1018	*7593	* 4748	.1286	
1955 unskilled wages	Personal-care prices on—					
Population	1955 unskilled wages				. 2399	.4873
Population	Labor-market condition	1027	3421	2698	. 2343	
Population	1956 unskilled wages		**. 6726	**. 7147	. 2786	4878
Population	Labor-market condition	 0532	 1877	 1457	. 2410	
Population	Population.	0003	4946	4255	. 2364	
Population	Labor-market condition	— 1066		- 2844	2726	.4252
1956 unskilled wages	Population	0002	3534	3029	. 2535	
1956 unskilled wages	1958 unskilled wages		**. 6202	**. 6938	. 2774	
1956 unskilled wages	Population			1702 2930		
Population	Dental-care prices on—					
Population	1955 unskilled wages	4.4376	**. 6343	**. 6689	. 2578	.4085
Population	Population	1008 0004	1398	- 1141	. 2556	
Population	1956 unskilled wages	5.0280	**.6140	**.6721	. 2732	. 3815
Population	Labor-market condition	3447	1797	−. 1530	. 2648	
Population	1957 unskilled wages	0004 4 2909	1217 5451		. 2598 2981	3268
Hospital-care prices on	Labor-market condition		—, 0469	0438	. 2950	.0200
Hospital-care prices on	Population		1395	1223		
Hospital-care prices on	Labor-market condition	5.3972	- 5012	- 4463	2548	.4881
Hospital-care prices on— 1.6388	F0001311011				.2378	
Labor-market condition — .1419 — .2768 — .1851 .2031 —	Hospital-care prices on—					
1956 unskilled wages	1955 unskilled wages.	1.6388	*.7566	*. 7615	. 2081	**. 6144
1956 unskilled wages	Population		1948	1001 1296	. 2063	
Labor-market condition 2145 4670 3222 1929 Population .0001 .1170 .0705 1892 1957 unskilled wages 1. 7273 * .7864 * 8602 .2136 ** .6542 Labor-market condition 3379 ** - 6172 ** - 5245 .2114 Population .0002 .2196 .1399 .1906 1958 unskilled wages 1. 6474 * .7446 * .7809 .2242 * .6038 Labor-market condition 2356 ** 5773 ** 4794 .2143	1956 unskilled wages	1.8774		*. 8495	.1990	**. 6717
1957 1978 1978 1979	Labor-market condition		4670	3222	. 1929	
Labor-market condition	1957 unskilled wages	1. 7273	* 7864	*, 8602		**. 6542
Population	Labor-market condition	3379	**6172	** 5245	. 2114	
Labor-market condition	Population	.0002	. 2196	. 1399	.1966	** 6000
	Labor-market condition	2356	**- 5773	**- 4794	2143	;
Population	Population	.0001			.2092	

See footnotes at end of table, p. 127.

Table 3.—Regression coefficients: Price regression—Continued

	Regression coefficient	Partial cor- relation co- efficient	Beta co- efficient	Standard error of beta	Value of R ²
Medical-care prices on— 1955 unskilled wages. Labor-market condition. Population. 1956 unskilled wages. Labor-market condition. Population. 1957 unskilled wages. Labor-market condition. Population. 1958 unskilled wages. Labor-market condition. Population. 1958 unskilled wages. Labor-market condition. Population. Population.	0. 2360 0690 .0000 .2637 0826 .0001 .2709 1009 .0001 .3363 0914 .0001	0.41833610 .1624 43674618 .2079 49945601 .4113 **.5987 **6692 .2319	0.41813431 -1482 439645721830495557513570 **.5693 **65581695	0. 2870 . 2803 . 2847 . 2864 . 2776 . 2723 . 2718 . 2689 . 2501 . 2408 . 2302 . 2248	0. 2663

^{*}Coefficient is significant at 1-percent level.
**Coefficient is significant at 5-percent level.

Note.—To be significant at 5 percent R2 must equal . 5267.

.

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 2 PRODUCTIVITY AND OUTPUT IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD (BY THOMAS A. WILSON)



Technical Note 2

PRODUCTIVITY AND OUTPUT IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

By Thomas A. Wilson

I. INTRODUCTION

Chapter 3 of the "Staff Report on Employment, Growth, and Price Levels" includes a discussion of the relationship between productivity and output in the economy.\(^1\) Much of that analysis focuses on the effects of intersectoral labor movements upon the rate of growth of aggregate productivity. The underlying

data for that portion of the analysis are presented in the report.

Conclusions drawn about the relationship between output and productivity within the major sectors of the economy, however, were partly based upon new data gathered and analyzed by the committee staff. This paper's purpose is to present and discuss the productivity and output data obtained by the committee staff, together with an analysis of the relationship between output and productivity within the manufacturing sector of the economy.

II. THE ESTIMATES

A. MAJOR SECTOR ESTIMATES

Table 1 presents output, man-hour input, and productivity indexes for the major sectors of the economy. The reader must be forewarned, however, that productivity estimates are only as reliable as the output indexes on which they are based. Before using the productivity indexes for analytical purposes, the

output source materials, discussed below, should be consulted.

output source materials, discussed below, should be consulted.

(1) Manufacturing estimates A: The output index is a Federal Reserve output index for manufacturing, based upon 1954 value added weights.² The man-hours index is based upon Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data, and is an estimate of total man-hours.³ Estimate A was presented in order to compare a productivity estimate based upon an output index with a productivity estimate based upon a real-value-added index. Estimate B is the published BLS index of real value added per man-hour in manufacturing. These two estimates show general value added per man-hour in manufacturing. Estimate B is the better of the two, and was therefore used in the agreement. staff report.

(2) Mining: Output is a Federal Reserve index for mining based upon 1954 value-added weights. The man-hours index is based upon BLS data, and is

a total man-hours index.

(3) Public utilities: The output index is the Schultze 4 output index.

man-hours index is based upon BLS data.

(5) Railroads: Revenue traffic, man-hours, and productivity are based upon the published BLS indexes.

(6) Other transportation: Output is an adjusted Schultze output index. Employment data is from BLS. No adjustment was made for possible changes in average weekly hours.

(7) Contract construction: Output is an adjusted Schultze index.

(8) Agriculture: The productivity index is that published by BLS. The manhours index is based upon Commerce data for persons engaged in production, and Census data for average weekly hours. The output index was obtained by multiplying productivity by man-hours.

¹ See pp. 88-94.
2 It must be stressed that these are not official Federal Reserve output indexes. * 11 must be stressed that these are not official rederal Reserve output indexes.
3 Employment multiplied by average weekly hours, both as published in Employment and Earnings. For manufacturing, mining, and contract construction, total man-hour estimates were constructed by adding production workers, man-hours, and non-production-worker man-hours (assuming that nonproduction workers worked a 40-hour week).
4 All Schultze output indexes are from Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output for the Postwar Decade: 1947-57" New York, Committee for Economic Development, 1959, table 2, p. 29.

(9) Services: The output index is based upon deflated net national income originating in services, as published in U.S. Income and Output and Survey of Current Business. The price deflator used was the implicit price deflator for "Other consumer services." The employment index is derived from the number of persons participating in production, as published in U.S. Income and Output. No adjustment was made for changes in average weekly hours.

(10) Trade: The output index was obtained by combining the Schultze output

indexes for wholesale and retail trade, using as weights national income originating in each sector in 1947. The man-hours index is based upon the number of persons participating in production, as published in U.S. Income and Output, adjusted for

changes in average weekly hours as published by BLS.

Some of these productivity indexes appear to be fairly reliable; others are less so. In particular, the indexes for contract construction, services, and trade should be used with caution-i.e., one should not attribute much to small changes in these indexes.

B. ESTIMATES FOR TWO-DIGIT MINING AND MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

Estimates of output, man-hour input, and productivity for all two-digit manufacturing and mining industries are presented in table 2. Each output index is based upon weighted combinations of four-digit output indexes, the weights used being proportionate to value added in 1954. The man-hours indexes are all based upon BLS data, and are all total man-hours indexes.

Users of these indexes should bear in mind that the output and man-hours series are not strictly comparable, and that, for a particular industry, these productivity indexes may therefore be in considerable error. Another weakness of these productivity indexes is that they are based upon gross output or rather than real-value-added indexes. Insofar as movements of the output indexes used deviate from movements of real value added, the productivity estimates will deviate from true productivity.

These estimates should consequently be interpreted with caution, especially if

used to analyze the behavior of productivity within a particular industry.

III. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRODUCTIVITY AND OUTPUT WITHIN MANUFACTURING DURING THE POSTWAR PERIOD

The staff report states that output and productivity for the manufacturing industries were positively associated during the postwar period.7 This section

will present the analysis upon which that conclusion rested.

Tables 3, 4, and 5 summarize the results of various correlations between output and productivity that were computed using the data for manufacturing shown in The results of these correlations are clear. Changes in productivity are positively associated with changes in output, both for individual industry time

series and for cross sections for each year.

A comparison of changes in the rate of growth of output with changes in the rate of growth of productivity for all two-digit manufacturing and mining industries is presented in table 7. The five manufacturing and four mining industries which experienced a greater rate of growth of output after 1953 also experienced a more rapid growth of productivity. Of the 17 manufacturing industries which had slower output growth after 1953, 8 also had slower productivity growth. The lone mining industry with a slower output growth also experienced a slowed growth of productivity.

Evidently an acceleration or deceleration in the growth of output tended to be

accompanied by a similar change in the rate of growth of productivity.

Although the conclusion that changes in productivity are positively associated with changes in output is a firm inference from these statistical analyses, one cannot attribute all of the observed relationship between the two variables to a one-way causal relationship. Whereas changes in output may stimulate similar changes in productivity by increasing the rate of utilization of existing capacity or by stimulating innovation within the industry, it is also clear that changes in productivity will tend to result in similar changes in output. Improved productivity, by lowering costs and prices, will lead to higher levels of output.

These were made available by the Federal Reserve, but are not official Federal Reserve output indexes. The four-digit output index (upon which the two-digit indexes are based) are gross output rather than real-value-added indexes.

In view of this identification problem, one must not stretch an analysis based upon simple correlations too far.⁸ In particular, it would not be prudent to assume that increases in output would yield gains in productivity in a period when output was already pressing upon available capacity.

Since the available evidence suggests that considerable underutilization of capital existed during the 1956-57 boom,⁹ and since cross-sectional correlations for both those years reveal a positive relationship between changes in output and changes in productivity, it seems sele to conclude that a further expansion of changes in productivity, it seems safe to conclude that a further expansion of output would have resulted in productivity gains.

Another weakness of simple correlation coefficients between output and productivity is due to the correlation of errors of observation in output with errors of observation on productivity. If these observational errors are large relative to the true variance of the series, they will cause biases in the correlation coefficients.
 See staff report, pp. 70-71.

Table 1.—Output, man-hour input, and productivity, major sectors

[Index numbers, 1947-49=100]

			lainuea m		17-43=100J							
	1947	1948	1949	1950	1961	1952	1953	1054	1955	1956	1921	1058
Manufacturing (A): Output Ann-hours Manufacturing (B): Output per man-hour	100.0 103.4 96.7 97.6	103.0 103.0 100.0	97.0 93.6 103.7 102.6	112.0 101.4 110.4 100.5	120.0 109.6 109.5 111.2	125.0 111.1 112.5 113.0	136.0 117.2 116.1 118.3	127. 0 106. 7 119. 0 117. 4	142.0 112.6 126.1 126.1	147. 0 114. 3 128. 6 127. 1	147.0 112.2 131.1 127.7	136.0 102.2 133.1 (')
Output Man-hours Output per man-hour Public utilities:	101.0 104.0 97.1	106.0 105.0 100.0	94.0 91.0 103.3	104.0 91.7 113.6	115.0 95.6 120.4	114.0 92.3 122.6	117.0 90.1 129.8	113.0 81.7 138.3	125.0 85.6 146.0	132.0 88.9 148.5	132.0 88.0 150.0	120.0 76.4 157.0
Output Man-hours Output per man-hour	95.6 95.4 100.2	99.0 101.0 98.0	105.4 103.6 101.7	121. 1 106. 2 114. 0	136.8 108.3 126.3	148.9 109.4 136.1	160.7 111.2 144.5	170.0 111.6 152.3	187.7 112.4 167.0	204. 4 113. 8 179. 6	218.2 114.5 190.6	555
Output Man-hours Output per man-hour Rallroads:	93.4 93.4 100.0	101.3 105.0 96.5	105.3 101.6 103.6	112.3 99.3 113.1	121. 6 103. 6 117. 3	126.1 106.2 118.8	132.8 110.5 120.3	137. 7 110. 1 125. 1	155.7 113.4 137.4	167.4 119.8 139.7	170.2 120.7 148.4	5 93
Rovenue traffic. Man-hours Rovenue traffic per man-hour. Other transportation:	108.5 107.0 101.4	104.7 104.8 99.9	86.7 88.2 98.3	95.0 84.8 112.0	104.2 88.1 118.3	99.4 83.6 118.9	97.5 81.4 119.8	88.6 70.4 125.9	99.2 71.3 139.1	102.5 70.4 145.6	97.7 65.8 148.5	(P) 87.3 (P) 65.3 (P) 157.9
Output Employment. Output per man Contract construction:	98.2 102.2 96.1	100.8 100.6 100.2	101.0 97.2 103.9	116.7 98.3 118.7	126.7 105.3 120.4	128.7 107.3 119.9	136.5 108.9 125.3	135.2 105.3 128.4	146.2 108.0 134.2	159. 1 113. 2 140. 6	163.2 115.8 140.9	999
Output Mar-hours Output per man-hour	93.4 99.2 1.2	103.2 103.3 99.8	103.5 102.4 101.0	117.3 108.9 107.7	131.0 123.5 106.1	131.3 127.2 103.2	133.5 123.8 107.9	136.7 120.5 113.6	145.9 127.9 114.0	150.3 137.1 109.7	148.7 130.2 114.2	5 55
Output Man-bours Output per man-hour	93.8 103.7 90.5	106.8 99.7 107.1	98.6 96.5 107.2	104.3 89.8 116.2	97.5 85.1 114.6	94.6 76.9 124.5	105.1 75.8 138.6	106.9 72.1 148.3	107.3 70.0 153.3	112.2 69.8 160.7	112.0 66.4 168.6	123. 2 64. 8 190. 1
Output. Employment. Output per man	98.2 99.1	100.0 100.6 99.4	101.8 100.4 101.4	108.0 103.5 104.3	110.8 106.0 104.5	114.2 106.3 107.4	118.9 107.7 110.4	120.1 106.9 112.3	131.0 112.8 116.1	139.7 118.2 118.2	144. 1 121. 6 118. 5	146.7 123.3 119.0
Output Man-bours Output per man-hour	99.0 90.0 100.0	100.8 100.9 99.9	100.2 100.1 100.1	113.6 101.8 111.6	115.6 106.0 109.1	120.2 107.6 111.7	124. 5 108. 0 115. 3	123.7 106.9 115.7	133.8 109.8 121.9	137.1 112.6 121.8	138.0 113.0 122.1	£
1 Not available.					NorkFor a discussion of these estimates, see text.	a discussic	n of these	estimates,	see text.			

Table 2.—Output, man-hour input, and productivity A. MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

49 = 100
rs, 1947-4
number
[Index

			Thues numbers, 1947	ners, ross	1001 £							
	1947	1948	1949	1950	1921	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958
20 Food and beverages: Output. Man-hour	101 102.18 98.8	99 100.22 98.8	100 97. 59 102. 5	103 97.86 105.3	105 100.06 104.9	108 99.56 108.5	109 99.45 109.6	111 97. 48 113. 9	216 99.32 116.8	120 98.47 121.9	120 95.15 126.1	122 93.37 130.7
21 Tobacci: Output Man-bours Output ror men-hour	98 105.85 92.6	101 101.04	101 93.14 108.4	102 90.70 112.5	108 92. 54 116. 7	112 93.65 119.6	110 91.35 120.4	105 90.08 116.6	108 91.48 118.1	111 88.06 126.1	115 83.91 137.1	123 80.64 152.5
22 Textiles: Output. Man-hours. Output.	99 103.79 95.4	105 105.35 99.7	96 90.86 105.7	109 100.45 108.5	106 97.03 109.2	105 91.89 114.3	107 91.14 117.4	100 80.69 123.9	110 84.71 129.9	111 82, 24 135, 0	106 76.89 137.9	103 71.55 144.0
23 Apparel: Output	97 98.72 98.3	102 101.77 100.2	101 99.52 101.5	108 102.35 105.5	106 102.85 103.1	111 105.55 105.2	113 107.84 104.8	109 105. 47 103. 3	120 106.15 113.0	121 105.83 114.3	119 104. 00 114. 4	117 98.86 118.3
24 Lumber and wood products: Output. Man-hours. Output.	101 106.60 94.7	105 102.49 102.4	93 90.92 102.3	112 100.09 111.9	112 104. 92 106. 7	110 98.47 111.7	114 94. 77 120. 3	111 86.71 128.0	123 92. 79 132. 6	119 90.00 132.2	109 79.19 137.6	$^{110}_{75.38}_{145.9}$
25 Furniture and fixtures: Output Man-bours. Output Output Output Output Output	100 102.31 97.7	104 104.19 99.8	96 93.50 102.7	117 111.68 104.8	111 107.75 103.0	114 108.41 105.2	119 111.20 107.0	124 100, 75 123, 1	141 110.18 128.0	145 112.33 129.1	139 109.17 127.3	132 102.94 128.2
26 Paper and allied products Output Man-bours Output Output	100 101.36	102 102.38 99.6	98 96.26 101.8	118 106.01 111.3	125 111.23 112.4	119 108.85 109.3	130 115.05 113.0	132 113.63 116.2	149 119, 22 125, 0	156 122. 52 127. 3	155 121.00 128.1	157 115.95 135.4
27 Printing and publishing: Output. Man-hours.	96.51 96.51	101 100.67 100.3	103 99.82 103.2	108 102, 48 105, 4	110 103. 72 106. 1	110 105.39 104.4	115 108.68 105.8	120 109.29 109.8	127 113.04 112.3	134 116.53 115.0	134 116.97 114.6	131 114.88 114.0
28 Chemicals: Output. Man-hours.	96 102.52 93.6	103 103. 37 99. 6	101 94.11 107.3	124 100.63 123.2	139 110. 73 125. 5	144 112. 93 127. 5	154 118.51 129.9	153 115.72 132.2	178 119. 17 149. 4	191 122, 27 156, 2	199 123.11 160.9	199 119.58 166.4
29 Petroleum: Output. Man-hours. Output per man-hour.	98.82	104 102, 53 101, 4	98 98.66 99.3	110 98.75 111.4	122 104. 81 116. 4	121 104. 70 115. 6	129 107. 75 119. 7	123 104, 82 117, 3	135 105.08 128.5	140 104. 77 133. 6	139 103. 32 134. 5	130 97.16 133.8

Table 2.—Output, man-hour input, and productivity—Continued
A. MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES—Continued

ndex numbers, 1947-49=100]

	1958	123 97. 65 126. 0	109 87.63 124.4	135 101.33 133.2	102 90.42 112.8	122 108.90 112.0	114 100.94 112.9	172 130. 44 131. 9	180 129. 00 139. 5	173 123. 84 139. 7	141 100.08 140.9
	1957	132 108.14 122.1	113 92.04 122.8	143 109.94 130.1	130 110.36 117.8	133 121. 62 109. 4	135 110.70 112.7	196 143.84 136.3	212 153. 77 137. 9	184 133.65 137.7	146 107.25 136.1
	1956	132 100.14 120.9	114 94. 94 120. 1	145 113. 50 127. 8	135 113.86 118.6	130 121, 15 107, 3	143 121.90 117.3	195 143, 14 136, 2	195 150.68 129.4	179 133.88 133.7	147 110.55 133.0
	1955	138 113.40 121.6		139 111.35 124.8	137 112, 10 122, 2	130 121. 01 107. 4	128 111.40 114.9	176 133. 57 131. 8	201 154.34 130.2	167 128.09 130.4	138 107. 72 128. 1
	1954	118 99.85 118.2	103 90, 95 113, 2	122 102. 73 118. 8	106 97.84 108.3	119 112.56 105.7	119 106.52 111.7	159 127. 05 125. 1	168 142.37 118.0	156 125.93 123.9	121 102, 24 118, 3
	1953	126 112.93 111.6	104 96. 73 107. 5	126 109.03 115.6	130 115.63 112.4	132 124. 65 105. 9	139 120.71 115.2	174 145.34 119.7	185 162, 46 113, 9	161 135, 11 119, 2	125 111. 15 112. 5
	1952	117 109. 20 107. 1	104 97.06 107.2	123 106. 54 115. 4	113 106.45 106.2	117 113.77 102.8	133 118.97 111.8	156 130, 12 119, 9	151 141. 43 106.8	148 126.33 117.2	113 102.39 110.4
7-49=100]	1921	116 108.07 107.3	97 89.67 108.2	120 111.87 116.3	125 115.48 108.2	121 115.93 104.4	125 115.87 107.9	120 121. 10 106. 5	135 125.03 108.0	124 110.39 103.9	111 104.15 106.6
[Index numbers, 1947-49=100]	1950	111 101. 14 109. 7	104 97.94 106.2	117 103. 65 112. 9	114 103.93 109.7	114 105.88 107.7	103 94.85 108.6	116 105.10 110.4	120 104.84 114.6	108 99.77 108.2	117 101. 44 115. 3
nu xopull	1049	93 89.74 103.6	95 94. 18 100. 9	97 94. 52 102. 6	90 100.4	93 91.43 101.7	90 87.71 102.6	95 89.21 106.5	103 96. 75 106. 5	92.58 100.5	98 92.80 105.6
	1948	102 101. 69 100. 3	100 101.23 98.8	104 103. 62 100. 4	107 106.06 100.9	104 103. 53 100. 5	106 105.80 100.1	102 102.43 99.6	102 101. 12 100. 9	102, 52 101, 4	105 103.93 101.0
	1947	106 108. 59 97. 6	105 104. 58 100. 4	90 101.86 97.2	103 104.35 98.7	102 105.05 97.1	104 106.40 97.7	103 108.37 95.0	94 102.14 92.0	103 104. 90 98. 2	97 103.28 93.9
		30 Rubber: Output. Man-hours. Output per man-hour.	Output. Man-hours. 32 Stone, elay, and glass:	Man-hours. 33 Primary metals:	Man-bours Output per man-bour 34 Fabricated metals:	Man-hours. Man-hours. Output per man-hour. 35 Nonelectrical machinery:	Man-hours Output Output per man-hour 36 Electrical machinery:	Output Man-hours Output per man-hour 37 Transportation equipment:	Man-hours. S Instruments:	Man-bours. 30 Miscellancous manufactures:	Man-hours Output per man-hour

10 Metal mining: Output. Man-hour. Output nor man-hour.	101	105	93 94. 41	108 96.28	118 103.28	108 102.55	118 107.80	97.05.68	123 100.58	130	137	113 86.12
11 Anthractic mining: Output. Man-hours. 12 Output per man-hour.	109	109	82	84	82	77	57	52	48	55	49	42
	108.07	106.38	85.56	87.99	79.55	73.03	58. 52	44.69	38.42	35.31	32, 41	21.82
	100.8	101.6	97.0	96.4	104.1	106.8	100. 2	126.3	131.7	162.2	155. 2	204.3
12 Details in the state of the	114	108	79	93	96	84	81	70	85	90	88	72
	110.61	106.24	83.15	83.07	84.43	72.33	64. 28	48.53	52.84	55.51	54. 27	43.24
	103.1	101.7	95.0	112.0	113.7	116.1	126. 0	144.2	160.9	162.1	162. 2	166.5
Man-hours. Man-hours. Matheman-hour.	96	105	99	108	122	125	131	131	139	146	146	137
	94.68	104.05	101.27	102.09	109.98	117.70	120.06	121. 91	127.34	131. 50	131. 59	122.01
	101.4	100.9	97.8	105.8	110.9	106.2	109.1	107. 5	109.2	111. 0	111. 0	112.3
Output per man-hour.	96	104	101	115	126	131	135	148	161	174	174	171
	101.84	102.65	95. 51	97.02	106. 58	107. 93	109. 42	107.04	111. 39	118. 72	115.11	109.35
	94.3	101.3	105. 7	118.5	118. 2	121. 4	123. 4	138.3	144. 5	146. 6	151.2	156.4

NOTE.—For a discussion of these estimates, see text.

Table 3.—Correlation between output index and productivity index: Cross sections for all manufacturing industries, 1947-58

Year:	Correlation coefficient	Year—Continued	Correlation coefficient
1947	1 0. 5734	1953	0.4017
1948			² . 4498
1949	. 4377	1955	1, 6013
1950	¹ . 6230	1956	2 . 5474
1951	. 4401	1957	2, 4995
1952	² . 5015	1958	2 . 5192

¹ Significant at 1-percent level ($r.o_1 = 0.5614$).
2 Significant at 5-percent level ($r.o_5 = 0.4438$).

Note.-Sample size=20.

Table 4.—Correlation between changes in output and changes in productivity: Cross sections for all manufacturing industries, 1948-581

Year:	Correlation coefficient	Year—Continued	Correlation coefficient
1948	² 0. 6206 ³ 4625 ³ 5296 . 1089 ² 7424	1954	² . 7435 ² . 5633 ² . 5900

¹ These correlations differ from those in appendix B of Study Paper No. 21, which also relate changes in output to changes in productivity, because of two differences in the variables used. The correlations given here are based on absolute changes in the indexes of output and productivity; in addition, productivity is measured in terms of output per total worker man-hour. In Study Paper No. 21, the correlations are based on percentage changes in output and productivity and on productivity per production worker man-hour. In addition, the correlations above are based on 20 industries; the correlations in Study Paper 21 are based on 19; "Miscellaneous" was omitted from the latter cross section.

2 Significant at 1-percent level (r.o.=0.56i4).

3 Significant at 5-percent level (r.o.=0.4438).

Note.-Sample size=20.

Table 5.—Correlation between changes in output and changes in productivity: Time series for the period 1948-58, all manufacturing industries

T_ A	Correlation coefficient
Industry:	
20 Food and kindred products	_ 0.4170
21 Tobacco	1, 7261
22 Textiles	1859
23 Apparel	
24 Lumber	
25 Furniture	
26 Paper and allied products	2. 7843
27 Printing and publishing	- ² , 7730
28 Chemicals	_ 1, 6905
29 Petroleum and coal products	2, 8820
30 Rubber	. 1288
31 Stone, clay, and glass	2647
32 Leather	
33 Primary metals	- ² , 8785
34 Fabricated metals	. 4241
35 Nonelectrical machinery	4853
36 Electrical machinery	. 3826
37 Transportation equipment	
38 Instruments	
39 Miscellaneous	

¹ Significant at 5-percent level (7.65=0.6021).
2 Significant at 1-percent level (7.61=0.7348).

Note.-Sample size=11.

Table 6.—Changes in productivity compared with changes in output: All manufacturing and mining industries, 1947-53, 1953-57

		1947	·-53	1958	3-57
		Average annual percent change in output per man-hour	Average annual percent change in output	Average annual percent change in output per man-hour	Average annual percent change in output
	MANUFACTURING				
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Food and kindred products Tobacco. Tobacco. Textiles Apparel. Lumber Furniture Paper and allied products. Printing and publishing Chemicals. Petroleum and coal products Rubber. Stone, clay, and glass. Leather Primary metals. Fabricated metals Nonelectrical machinery Electrical machinery. Transportation equipment Instruments. Miscellaneous.	44/2 31/2 31/2 4 11/3 11/4 11/4 11/4 22/4 22/4 21/4 21/2 23/3 33/4 33/4 33/4	2½ 2 3 4½ 3 4½ 4¾ 4 4¼ 4 4 434	41/2 31/4 2 51/2 3 21/4 3 11/8 	17%
10 11 12 13 14	Metal mining	$ \begin{array}{c c} -\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $	25/8 113/8 57/8 53/8 57/8	61/4	2 ¹ / ₈ 2 ³ / ₄

Note.—Annual average percent changes are base year to terminal year compound growth rates.

Table 7.—Classification of manufacturing and mining industries, by changes in growth rates of output and productivity

[1953-57 compared with 1947-53]

	[1905-37 compared with 1547 bo]	
	Rate of growth of productivity lower 1953-57 than 1947-53	Rate of growth of productivity Higher 1953-57 than 1947-53
Rate of growth of output lower 1953- 57 than 1947-53.	13 Petroleum and gas mining 21 Tobacco	39 Miscellaneous.
Rate of growth of output higher 1953–57 than 1947–53.		10 Metal mining. 11 Anthracite mining. 12 Bituminous mining. 13 Stone and earth minerals. 20 Food and kindred products. 25 Furniture. 26 Paper. 27 Printing and publishing. 32 Leather.

