

Colonel HILL. No, sir.

Mr. HARDY. I thought that we had had some indication that they have had some production contracts operating Air Force facilities. Isn't that correct?

Secretary IMIRIE. I think production contracts. You might be right, sir, but I am not personally aware that they had the facility type contract. It is something that I would have to doublecheck.

Mr. HARDY. Well, the facilities that they have used and operated under contract, then, were on a lease basis, presumably, and not in this kind of a direct service performance?

Secretary IMIRIE. I believe that is correct, sir.

Mr. HARDY. Let's see—what is the name of that wholly owned subsidiary that handled the weapons systems?

Mr. SANDWEG. Space Technology.

Mr. HARDY. Space Technology. But that didn't fall in this kind of category, either, is that right?

Colonel HILL. No, sir.

Secretary IMIRIE. No, sir.

Mr. HARDY. All right.

Contract food service

[Cost in thousands]

Contractor	Airbase	Fiscal year 1959	Fiscal year 1960	Fiscal year 1961
United Food Service.....	Harlingen.....	\$217	\$232	\$204
Do.....	Connally.....	127	87	77
ABC Food Service.....	Mather.....	327	266	183
Associated Food Service.....	Perrin.....	196	161	131
United Food Service.....	Randolph.....	267	205	186
Do.....	Reese.....	114	109	137
Serv-Air, Inc.....	Vance.....	100	97	20
ABC Food Service.....	Moody.....	172	129	97
Pickett, Inc.....	Laredo.....	128	96	81
ABC Food Service.....	Craig.....	85	59	49
Do.....	Vandenberg.....	268	326	322
Pickett, Inc.....	Nellis.....	289	254	260
ABC Food Service.....	Bolling.....	127	217	278
Ira Gelber, Inc.....	Warner-Robins.....	100	83	114
Pickett, Inc.....	Hickam.....	148	151	106

Colonel HILL. The next chart portrays each of the food service contracts in the Air Force. The first 10 bases are in the Air Training Command, while the following 5 are all in different commands. As indicated previously, contracting in this function is based primarily on economy. All of these contracts have averaged about 27 cents per meal, while inservice performance of these functions previously exceeded 30 cents per meal.

FUTURE TRENDS AND OBJECTIVES

COMBAT AND DIRECT COMBAT SUPPORT—INDIRECT COMBAT SUPPORT

With respect to fiscal year 1962 and beyond, we expect to keep working on the development and maintenance of inservice capability in the combat and direct combat support functions. The increasing demand for highly technical skills on new systems will make this an extremely difficult effort. In the indirect combat support functions, we do not anticipate any radical change. There will be a continuing