

CONTINUING CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF ADP UTILIZATION

Mr. BROOKS. Under this bill, of course, we would have a continuing interest in seeing just how well the GSA was implementing this responsibility. I am sure GSA would work at it. Also the Appropriations Committee would check GSA's budgets for the revolving fund. Then, the Bureau of the Budget would have its own review of the budget requests. Also, there is the fact that the individual agencies, when they put in a request for an expenditure for the acquisition of a substantial ADP capacity, they would have to get that approved possibly by their legislative committee, then by the House Appropriations Committee before they could ever request a new tier of machines from GSA as the supplier for the Government.

Mr. CAMPBELL. I would think also in the initial stage GSA would have to put its stamp of approval on the agency's request.

Mr. BROOKS. I guess that would be true, although it might be that they would just be able to supply the capacity required by a given agency. If the agency wanted an XYZ machine and GSA thought a DNP machine would do the job as well, GSA would be able to encourage the agency to use this one currently available. I do not think they would have any control over what their actual requirement or request was.

EQUIPMENT USED FOR TACTICAL, INTELLIGENCE, AND OTHER CLASSIFIED ACTIVITIES NOT INCLUDED IN GAO STUDY

Mr. MOORHEAD. Mr. Campbell, in your testimony you talked about potential savings of \$148 million over a 5-year period, then \$100 million per year.

Then on page 2 of your testimony you talk about these costs being exclusive of amounts for equipment installed for military tactical operations, intelligence, surveillance systems, and certain other classified activities of the Government. Were those costs included in your projected savings of \$148 million and \$100 million?

Mr. CAMPBELL. No. We have not gotten into classified installations, NSA or CIA.

Mr. MOORHEAD. Would those costs for these classified systems be covered under this bill, H.R. 5171?

Mr. CAMPBELL. I do not think so.

Mr. MAHONEY. I think there was an exclusion on page 2 of the bill.

Mr. BROOKS. It is contemplated that the decision as to whether or not all the Defense Department installations would be included would be a matter really for the President to decide. We were anticipating that if he thought the Defense installations should be exempted, it would be a matter for him to decide.

Then, if there is an overwhelming reason as to why a given agency should have a right to go and buy whatever it pleased and to use it the way it saw fit, this would be a decision that the GSA Administrator and possibly your own office would be called on and the President would probably make that decision.

Mr. CAMPBELL. This is one of the exemptions we discussed.