

Mr. BROOKS. I have not discussed it with Mr. McNamara, although I believe Mr. McNamara said he believes the Defense Department should divest itself of all those responsibilities of procurement and acquisition that another Government agency can perform better or just as well as it. I think it may well be that he would concede that GSA could do this as well as, or better than, the Defense Department. He might be very pleased to submit his requirements to GSA and have it get, deliver, and install them wherever DOD wanted them.

Mr. MOORHEAD. If these activities were included in the legislation, the potential savings would be greater than that to which you have testified?

Mr. CAMPBELL. Yes, that is correct. I suspect there is some leeway there in savings. I say this without full knowledge of what they are doing.

Mr. MOORHEAD. I think we ought to be very rigid on granting exemptions.

Mr. BROOKS. I think the real conception of what the Defense Department might want to exempt would not be an ordinary administrative computer capacity but possibly some highly specialized tactical computer operations. That would be the only thing I would think they would want to consider exempting.

EQUIPMENT USED BY GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS

Mr. MOORHEAD. When you talk about the ADP equipment operated by Government contractors, are you thinking in these cases of the special corporations like Rand and Aerospace or are you thinking much more broadly of totally independent corporations that do Government contracting?

Mr. CAMPBELL. I was thinking first of the corporations where practically all of their operations are for the account of the U.S. Government. I wanted to mention those. There are a number of them.

Then, of course, there are the other major contractors who do a great deal of Government work. In doing the work for us they have to have these installations specifically for our jobs.

Mr. MOORHEAD. Would not most of these be under the Defense Department or one of the services?

Mr. CAMPBELL. A large part would be Defense contractors, but AEC and NASA contractors are substantial users of such equipment.

Mr. MOORHEAD. Would they be exempted under this exemption of equipment necessary for national defense and security?

Mr. CAMPBELL. I do not see why. The agencies would not be. Therefore, I do not see why contractor operations would be.

Mr. MOORHEAD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have no further questions.

Mr. BROOKS. Mr. Stinson.

CAPITAL COSTS OF PURCHASING EQUIPMENT

Mr. STINSON. What is the total purchase price of all the equipment that the Government would require to replace the equipment now being leased?