

Mr. YOUNGER. Did he or through his cooperative organization ever bring any pressure on you?

Mr. MORGAN. I can't recall that he has ever asked me to do anything except make a speech in Eugene, Oreg., which I was very happy to do, because I had a chance to go back home.

Mr. YOUNGER. With your expenses paid?

Mr. MORGAN. Yes, sir.

Mr. YOUNGER. Have you ever written a dissent on any application from a publicly owned utility?

Mr. MORGAN. Let me extend that last answer, sir. My expenses were paid by the U.S. Government on that trip. I thought perhaps you misunderstood.

The CHAIRMAN. You considered it official, then?

Mr. MORGAN. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. The record ought to so show.

Mr. MORGAN. Yes. And I think it is wise for regulatory officials to have their expenses paid by the Government.

I am sorry, I have not gotten to your other question, sir.

Mr. YOUNGER. You have written a number of dissents, and most of them, as has been mentioned, are upon applications made by privately owned utilities. Have you ever written a dissent of a decision on an application by a publicly owned utility?

Mr. MORGAN. This is an electric utility you are talking about?

Mr. YOUNGER. Either publicly owned electric or gas companies regulated by the Federal Power Commission.

Mr. MORGAN. I wrote a dissent in the *Marble Canyon* case against the majority order which restricted the right of the Secretary of the Interior to intervene in the case and offer testimony. The majority restricted him to the submission of briefs and oral arguments. And I felt that would leave the case with an inadequate and incomplete record which would make it legally impossible to reach a licensing decision in the case. This was for the issuance of a license for a hydroelectric dam. I am still inclined to feel that way, I am not as sure now after the oral argument as I was then, but I feel it is still true. The applicant in that case was a public agency, the Arizona Power Authority. There was no private applicant.

Mr. YOUNGER. And you wrote a dissent?

Mr. MORGAN. I wrote a dissent in behalf of the right, which I thought was essential to the case, of the Secretary of the Interior to intervene and offer evidence.

Mr. YOUNGER. Then in all other applications by the publicly owned utilities which had applications before the Federal Power Commission you feel that the Commission did act in the public interest, otherwise you would have written the dissent; is that true?

Mr. MORGAN. That is true.

Mr. YOUNGER. In the case of the Idaho Power Co. decision, Docket No. E-7067, which we discussed yesterday, first, are all of the members of your commission appointees by Mr. Kennedy?

Mr. MORGAN. Yes.

Mr. YOUNGER. Now, will you repeat what you told the committee yesterday as to why the majority of the Commission approved the Idaho Power Co. application without examination?

Mr. MORGAN. It is rather lengthy, sir. Do you want it all repeated?