

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. So he was not dismissed necessarily, because he was not carrying out your individual views?

Mr. SWIDLER. No, sir; that is right.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. Does each Commissioner have any say-so on the people that help him?

Mr. SWIDLER. Each Commissioner has the exclusive appointive power for the people in his immediate office.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. You do not do that appointing?

Mr. SWIDLER. No, sir.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. And how many would that include for each Commissioner?

Mr. SWIDLER. I think it includes a legal assistant and two secretaries.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. For each Commissioner?

Mr. SWIDLER. For each Commissioner; yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Will the gentleman yield at that point?

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Is it not true that every member of the staff is available to every Commissioner when he calls upon him?

Mr. SWIDLER. Yes, sir; that is true.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. I believe you made the statement already that you have not had any influence at the White House?

Mr. SWIDLER. Yes, sir.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. In your capacity as Chairman?

Mr. SWIDLER. Yes, sir.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. I notice you did say earlier that if you needed help at the White House, you would call upon him. When would you feel it necessary to call upon the White House for help? What did you refer to there?

Mr. SWIDLER. Well, I have asked members of the staff at the White House to help me find good people, and they are not easy to get. I must say that a talent hunt is a very difficult thing.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. But not in decisions of cases?

Mr. SWIDLER. No, sir; not in decisions of cases.

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I believe that is all I have, thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Brotzman, do you have any questions about any deserving people?

Mr. BROTZMAN. To be on the record, I think there are a lot of deserving Republicans. This is on the record.

Now, Mr. Swidler, turning your attention to the testimony about dissents this morning, something came up about a dissent where you allegedly gave Mr. Morgan a lot of mileage, or something such as that.

You gave him an opportunity for a lot of mileage. Was this relative to publishing of a dissent, or to what did that allude? You offered to answer the question. I think it was in response to some statement or question by my colleague from California.

Mr. SWIDLER. I think Mr. Moss finally did ask the questions that that related to. I think that is on the record now.

Mr. BROTZMAN. Let's go into it a little further, because I do not understand it.

Did this allude to some dissent that you did not publish of Mr. Morgan's?