

Mr. SWIDLER. Oh, I know the incident you mean.
No, sir. Do you want me to give you this story, sir, now?

Mr. BROTZMAN. Yes; I do.

Mr. SWIDLER. Well, this is the dissent in the *Pacific Power & Light* case.

Mr. BROTZMAN. You say the Pacific Power and—

Mr. SWIDLER. The Pacific Power & Light security issue case that I was talking about. It raised the question of suppression that Mr. Moss mentioned. To my mind, this is not a correct view of the matter and I would be glad to tell you the circumstances.
We decided this case on March 28, 1962.

Mr. BROTZMAN. Would you identify the docket number so we are all talking about the same thing?

Mr. SWIDLER. I have it here. I am talking about docket Nos. E-7024 and E-7025.

The CHAIRMAN. Is that included in the record, if the gentleman will permit? Is that included in the record that you submitted to us this morning?

Mr. SWIDLER. No, sir; it was not included.

I will be glad to, as I mention these papers, offer them for the record.

The CHAIRMAN. I think the entire matter that you referred to ought to be in the record so that whoever reads it may know what the whole story is.

Mr. SWIDLER. Very good.

(The document referred to follows:)