Mr. Swidler. I only brought 50 copies of my statement here, and I hoped for national coverage.

Mr. Moss. Well, I expect you do.

If I was an interested party—incidentally, it was an interested party who called me and complained. It was not Mr. Morgan. I never met Mr. Morgan.

I was called and given a complaint. "How do you get this thing?

I called the Secretary and I couldn't get it."

I would assume you should be able to call the Secretary of the Commission and get this type of information and not have to go out on a hunt to find 1 of the 50 copies or a copy of a press story which might or might not embody the whole——

Mr. Swidler. Well, Mr. Moss, we have discussed this—

Mr. Moss Yes, we have discussed it—

Mr. Swidler (continuing). And I have explained to you the great importance of the minority and the majority discussing their views together and trying to write their opinions, each in the light of the other.

Mr. Moss. I think the record should reflect that there was a period of 2 weeks, and that this was unusual, not only in the issuance of the individual press release but in the fact that the order was issued 2 weeks before there was available from the Commission a copy of the dirsent, and longer than that before there was a copy of the majority opinion.

Mr. Swidler. Well, I have explained—agreed with you that in the case where an order must be issued first that there is room for an ex-

ception.

Our rules now make it. But I tried to explain to you that under the particular circumstances of this case it was ridiculous to talk about suppression when 100 copies were in circulation.

Mr. Moss. Sir, I don't recall —

Mr. Swidler. Excuse me, sir; I am trying to finish my explanation.

Mr. Moss. All right; go ahead.

Mr. Swidler. When the original order carried a summary of the dissent and when the press release, on March 28, carried a summary of the dissent, and when a separate press release, of which 3,000 copies went out, also noted—

Mr. Moss. You know, sir, one of the things—

Mr. Swidler (continuing). The dissent—

Mr. Moss (continuing). I criticize news reporting most vigorously for today is the acceptance only of that which is included as a summary in a press release.

I don't think that press releases, summaries of press releases, give us the totality of the information we should seek and upon which we

should act.

Now, let's just take one other area and I will be very brief.

I listened with care to this discussion of the *Idaho Power* case. Do I understand that it is your view that anything other than a proforma finding of the feasibility of the financing plan, that that is as far as you and the Commission should go?

Mr. Swidler. No. sir.

Mr. Moss. Do I understand you to say, and I noticed here a number of times that you have played very, very skillfully on words—you