

Mr. Moss. Yes. If there is no objection, the record will be held open at this point for that information.
(The information follows:)

In December 1961 and April 1964, in separate cases, Prof. R. L. Holcomb, Department of Public Affairs, Iowa State University, Iowa City, Iowa, gave polygraph examinations at requests of postal inspectors. No fees were charged. In October 1961, on request of a postal inspector in connection with an investigation of Treasury checks stolen from the mails, an individual (a nonpostal employee) was given a polygraph examination by Mr. F. W. Quinn of Quinn & Associates, Greensboro, N.C. A fee of \$35 was paid.

Mr. Moss. Mr. Hardy?

Mr. HARDY. Can I clear up a question brought up by Mr. Kass? In connection with these polygraph tests that are given by other agencies in cases in which the post office is interested—I gather this is what you were talking about, Mr. Montague, a moment ago—

Mr. MONTAGUE. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARDY. You said, or at least I understood you to say, that you had included those tests in your enumeration of the lie detector tests given by others than Department personnel, even though the examinations were conducted by the other agencies strictly in their own interest. Is that correct?

Well, assume the local police department has arrested somebody for some criminal act or alleged act, and they put him through a lie detector test, didn't I understand you to say that if he was also involved in a postal investigation that you would include that lie detector examination in your records?

Mr. MONTAGUE. That is correct. Where a joint investigation was being made by local police and an inspector.

Mr. HARDY. I wanted to understand that it was a joint investigation. That is what I hadn't understood from your previous testimony.

Mr. MONTAGUE. Where it is a joint investigation, yes, sir, where our service would be looking for the thief because of the robbery of the post office, and they would be looking for him because of other local robberies.

Mr. HARDY. In those situations, is the polygraph test conducted on the basis of the questions in which you are interested or strictly on the basis of the aspects of the case with which the local police are involved?

Mr. MONTAGUE. The questions in which we are interested would be included, that is, the inspector—

Mr. HARDY. Are you sure of that? Is that done in every case, or are you just picking up information which is supplied by the local police concerning their examination of a particular suspect?

Mr. MONTAGUE. Where it is a joint investigation, and this action is taken, then questions would be supplied by the inspector so that the man could also be questioned about the post office robbery. Sometimes it develops that the best evidence is with regard to the post office robbery and he is prosecuted on that basis. Other times, the best evidence is on the State case and he is prosecuted in the State.

Mr. HARDY. Could I ask a question about the B. & W. machine?

Mr. Moss. Certainly.

Mr. HARDY. Is that properly characterized as a polygraph?

Mr. BALEIKO. No, sir.

Mr. HARDY. That makes only one graph; is that right?