

sibly wide dissemination of information concerning money shipments, we would talk to many employees, and prior to undertaking the use of the polygraph in that case, we talked to their organization representatives and laid out the questions we would ask, told them that no other questions involving anything of a personal nature would be included, and we obtained their wholehearted cooperation and support, finally, of course, depending upon the subjects' willingness to take it.

Mr. MOSS. Did any refuse to take it?

Mr. BALEIKO. No, sir.

Mr. MOSS. Had they refused, would there have been any stigma attached to the refusal?

Mr. BALEIKO. No, sir.

Mr. MOSS. Would they have been subjected to more penetrating investigation?

Mr. BALEIKO. I don't know. The investigators—they might consider aspects of the man's background.

Mr. MOSS. As postal inspector, what would your course have been?

Mr. MONTAGUE. May I answer that?

Mr. BALEIKO. Yes.

Mr. MONTAGUE. I think that would depend on the circumstances. It would depend on whether there was some other evidence that would give you a reason to pursue it further. However, we well know that there are people who will refuse to take a lie detector test for many reasons: One, they figure that almost any question asked might cause difficulty—they figure they are nervous and perhaps the machine is going to show they are lying when they are not.

Mr. MOSS. Can the machine show if they are lying?

Mr. BALEIKO. The machine will indicate an emotional disturbance has occurred when relevant questions are asked if it is an area that might be of concern to the subject.

Mr. MOSS. Is it always an emotional disturbance?

Mr. BALEIKO. I consider it emotional, physiological.

Mr. MOSS. Are you aware that the experts appearing before this committee in a panel just a little over a week ago merely regarded it as a physiological—well, not even a reaction. I believe Dr. John Lacey went to rather extreme lengths in spelling out the problem of the cyclical variation in blood pressures which, undetermined by the operators, could indicate a reaction where no reaction had, in fact, taken place.

Are you cognizant of this type of reading?

Mr. BALEIKO. I have heard and read papers on it. I haven't formed any opinion. I keep up with the developments as I can. I imagine that it is a progressive field. There always will be new material that will be brought into the picture which will be of assistance to polygraph examiners.

Mr. MOSS. But you have at the moment no idea as to what the reaction might have been if the employees at this point refused to take the polygraph?

Mr. BALEIKO. My only function in that particular case was to conduct the polygraph examinations. I gave the results to the inspectors that were in charge of it. That was my only function in the investigation.

Mr. MOSS. What disposition has been made of the record of the polygraph in these 118 cases?