

Mr. HARDY. Having gotten rid of your B. & W. machines, you wind up now with six of the newer type ones, with three operators who previously had experience with the B. & W. and three brandnew ones who have just completed these courses and have been operating these things for 2 or 3 months.

Is that correct?

Mr. MONTAGUE. Yes, sir; that is correct.

Mr. HARDY. What are your specific plans for refresher courses? I am talking about these machines now. Let us not confuse it with your normal training of your inspectors, of your refresher courses for inspectors.

What specific plans do you have for refresher courses for these operators?

Mr. MONTAGUE. As I mentioned previously we had sent out instructions to the inspectors and we want reports on these by July 30. We did that for the purpose of getting the reports in here and evaluating the operation of the machines. We used Mr. Baleiko's services, as I explained, back in 1961 to evaluate this whole situation, and it was as a result of that that we went into this program. It is my intention to use him to evaluate these reports when they come in, to decide what has to be taken care of in any refresher training program, and then get these men in here for that purpose.

Mr. HARDY. Mr. Chairman, I think it might be helpful if we had a copy of the specific instructions that Mr. Montague sent out to the six operators.

Mr. Moss. Mr. Montague, will you supply that for the record at this point?

Mr. MONTAGUE. Yes, sir.

(The information to be furnished follows:)

JANUARY 30, 1964.

E. G. MCKAY,
Inspector in charge,
Atlanta, Ga.

DEAR MR. MCKAY: Referring to M.B. 306, it will be appreciated if you will have Inspector W. J. Penley submit a report in about 6 months furnishing the following information regarding the operation of the polygraph assigned to him:

1. Brief summary of each case in which the polygraph was used, and results.
2. Amount of time spent on giving examinations.
3. Any problems encountered in the operation of the machine.
4. Comments of general interest regarding the program, as well as suggestions, and recommendations for any changes in procedures.

Sincerely yours,

H. B. MONTAGUE,
Chief Inspector.

Identical letters, except for names, were sent on the same date to the postal inspectors in charge at Chicago, Ill.; Cincinnati, Ohio; New York, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; and San Francisco, Calif.

Mr. HARDY. I think I can understand that, in the absence of having received this report, you would not have thus far been able to establish an agenda for a training course or a refresher training course.

Mr. MONTAGUE. We have not. We have not established any agenda.

Mr. HARDY. Reference has been made to seminars. You have not been in this operation long enough to have your people attend any seminars on this kind of machine, have you?