

Examiner assigned to:

	<i>Divisions to be served</i>
Atlanta-----	Atlanta and Chattanooga.
Chicago-----	Chicago, Fort Worth, Kansas City, and St. Paul.
Cincinnati-----	Cincinnati and St. Louis.
New York-----	New York and Boston.
Philadelphia-----	Philadelphia and Washington.
San Francisco-----	San Francisco, Denver, and Seattle.

3. The foregoing are not intended to be arbitrary boundaries. If the polygraph operator serving a particular division is not available, the inspector may request assistance of the operator in the nearest division. Inspectors in the Chicago and New York Divisions are available now to give examinations. The Inspectors in Charge of the other four divisions will advise other divisions concerned in the event any problem is experienced which would prevent giving examinations commencing about January 15.

4. Polygraph examinations may be called for in criminal cases of more than average importance where investigation is at a standstill because the inspector cannot prove a suspect guilty or eliminate him from suspicion even though he has exhausted all normal investigative techniques. The foregoing will also apply to cases involving postal employees; however, requests for such examinations will be cleared through the Inspector in Charge, or in his absence, his deputy or assistant.

5. It is emphasized that the polygraph must not be used as a substitute for other normal investigative and factfinding procedures.

6. It is our desire to be self-sufficient as a service in providing technical support in criminal investigations, and the services of our operators should be used, especially in giving examinations to postal employees. We realize, however, that this may not be practicable in some instances, in view of urgency, distances involved, and cost of travel by our operators. In such instances polygraph examinations may be obtained locally where satisfactory arrangements are in effect.

7. B. & W. lie detectors, which were acquired about 10 years ago, may now be disposed of under accountable property procedures as specified in section 23, CIDH.

H. B. MONTAGUE, *Chief Inspector.*

EXHIBIT 30—LETTER FROM H. B. MONTAGUE, CHIEF INSPECTOR, POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT, TO HON. JOHN E. MOSS, JUNE 30, 1964, WITH ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RE USE OF THE POLYGRAPH

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT,
CHIEF POSTAL INSPECTOR,
Washington, D.C., June 30, 1964.

HON. JOHN E. MOSS,
House of Representatives,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR CONGRESSMAN: In accordance with your letter of June 15, there is attached a statement containing answers to the questions asked regarding use of the polygraph.

Sincerely yours,

H. B. MONTAGUE, *Chief Inspector.*

1. What are the qualifications as polygraph operators of Prof. R. L. Holcomb, Department of Public Affairs, Iowa State University, Iowa City, Iowa, and Mr. F. W. Quinn, of Quinn & Associates, Greensboro, N.C.? (We are also referring to qualification of Mr. Clinton B. Hanscom and John E. Reid & Associates; see mention of them in our letter of June 19, 1964, in answer to question No. 6 in your letter of June 1, 1964.)

Prof. R. L. Holcomb, Department of Public Affairs, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa:

Mr. Holcomb is 52 years of age and an assistant professor and Director of the Bureau of Police Science, at the University of Iowa. He is a member of the International Association of Chiefs of Police; the Iowa Association of Chiefs of Police and Peace Officers; the American Academy of Polygraph Examiners, and a past president and a member of the board of directors of the last named organization. He received a bachelor of general science degree from Iowa