

is equipped with two-way mirrors and that recording devices are available to record the interview, where warranted. Should the interviewee object to either practice or to both practices, the special equipment is not utilized during that interview.

(b) Again, as with the paragraph above, DIA has not formalized procedures governing these practices pending the publication of the Defense directive. However, DIA has observed the spirit and intent of the directive of the Deputy Secretary of Defense Cyrus Vance concerning the use of two-way mirrors and monitoring devices.

15. Has your agency during fiscal 1964 undertaken or contracted for any research projects involving the use of the polygraph or other so-called lie detection devices?

(a) If so, please identify the research project and the research (or university).

(b) Please state the total costs incurred for each of these research projects.

Army

PMG: During fiscal year 1964, the Military Police Corps did not undertake or contract for any research projects involving the use of the polygraph; however, a research project was conducted, through contract, by the Office of the Surgeon General.

(a) "Studies in Detection of Deception" conducted by Dr. Morton T. Orne, professor of psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania Medical School, Philadelphia, Pa.

(b) \$70,632.

ACSI: None.

Navy

ONI: Negative.

Marine Corps: No.

(a) Not applicable.

(b) Not applicable.

Air Force

Yes.

(a) AF contract 30(602)3380 was let to the University of Georgia in February 1964. This contract was sponsored by the Air Force Systems Command, U.S. Air Force, to study and evaluate means of detecting and measuring physiological responses induced by interrogation, and was not undertaken at the specific request of any potential user.

(b) The cost of contract 30(602)3380 is \$25,371.

DIA

DIA during fiscal year 1964, did not undertake or contract for any research projects involving the use of the polygraphs or similar equipment.

(a) Not applicable.

(b) Not applicable.

16. Has your agency ever been involved in any legal or administrative matter involving the use of the polygraph or any other so-called lie detection device? (This question is intended to elicit any reference, regardless of degree of use, to the polygraph in these judicial or administrative proceedings.)