Mayor DALEY. No; we are now.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. There is no legislation enacted yet, Mayor, so you could not be included in legislation that has not been enacted.

Mayor DALEY. Maybe I misunderstood your question. I thought you said the local government carrying on these programs now in a coordinated way. We are.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I am not talking about existing programs at all, Mayor. I am talking about the new programs envisaged under this bill.

Mayor DALEY. Congressman, if we were to only continue the existing programs in Chicago on a larger scale, this is what we ask.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. This is not what the bill would do, Mayor——

Mayor DALEY. As far as we are concerned, Congressman, that is what it does.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Title II will provide new money for so-called community action programs and the local governments have no say in whether they approve or disapprove of those programs. The only role for any government other than the Federal is that a Governor may make comments.

Mayor Wagner and the mayor of Detroit just said they thought the local government should have a say in these programs. Do I make myself clear? I do not know why it is so hard to communicate today.

Mayor DALEY. Maybe it is, Congressman, but in my statement I did not read to save your time, we cover that point and say it quite definitely and explicitly. We think the local officials should have control of this program.

Mr. LANDRUM (presiding). The gentleman from Georgia has consumed 9½ minutes.

The gentlewoman from Oregon.

Mrs. GREEN. I have just one comment and then I would like to yield to the gentleman from Illinois.

If I have heard once during the last 7 days, I have heard at least 10 times the question asked: You realize that nothing is going to be reserved for your city and your State and that all the money in the entire program could go to eight States? This is based on the 12½-percent limitation for any one State. The question is used, I judge, to try to detract from the bill or to help try to defeat it. Yet, in the college construction bill, which was passed last year, not only in the loan provision is there no allocation by States but in title II, which provides for grants for graduate centers, which the gentleman from New Jersey supported—and I am grateful for his support on this particular bill—there is no allocation to States, there is still just the 12½-percent limitation to any one State. Conceivably all of the money under title II of the Higher Education Facilities Act and all of the loan funds could go to eight States, but this was not raised as a strawman or a threat to the legislation.

May I also say, Mayor Daley, that especially after yesterday's testimony, I am delighted to find a person who recognizes he lives in the 20th century and has a plan not only for the 20th but also for these younger people who will live most of their lives in the 21st century.

May I yield to the gentleman from Illinois, Mr. Finnegan.

Mr. FINNEGAN. Well, to the gracious lady, not being a member of this committee, and Mr. Chairman, I thank you for the opportunity