

that that will take care of the situation and what is in this bill should wait until we see the results of that.

I would like your view on that. Of course, I have my own feeling that it can do its job but it cannot get at much of what this bill is aimed at and that we should certainly add this to the other programs. You are in an area where you see all these programs in operation and I would like to have your view.

MAYOR CAVANAGH. Yes. As good as those programs are and they are excellent programs and the amendments to the Vocational Education Act and the other legislation which the Congress has passed, I think I speak with a degree of unanimity from the leadership in our community, leaders in education, labor, industry, and so on, that these devices alone are not sufficient.

One of the interesting things about this particular bill is the fact that we propose to coordinate most of the existing programs in this area as well as extend into some new areas to develop a more comprehensive approach to attack the total problem.

I think one of the problems, at least in the cities, is the fragmentation of approach as evidenced by individuals and separate programs enacted either locally or on a Federal level.

MR. ROOSEVELT. I certainly thank you very much. I think you have brought out the point very well.

CHAIRMAN POWELL. The gentleman from Nebraska, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN. No questions.

CHAIRMAN POWELL. The gentleman from Illinois.

MR. PUCINSKI. I want to make one observation. Perhaps you might care to comment. The gentleman from Michigan made a point of the fact that you send more money to the Federal Government than you receive back. Is it not a fact, though, that if the wealthier States of the country do not help the poorer States in this program of preparing people for work and training them for work, then because of the high rate of migration in our country—one out of five families in America moving every year—then do you not have a much more serious problem as people move into your city if they are not trained? Even though in the short range it might appear that you are spending more, sooner or later the investment made in this program is going to pay off in people who for various reasons might move in your city. Is that not a fact?

MAYOR CAVANAGH. Yes, Congressman. I think it was brought out this morning the tremendous mobility that is going on in this country and has been for some time and is reflected certainly by the shifts in population in a city such as the one that I live in, Detroit. This truly is a national problem, it is national in scope, and should be attacked that way.

I would agree also with what you say about the national obligation involved on the part of the Federal Establishment.

MR. PUCINSKI. Thank you very much.

MR. GRIFFIN. Would the gentleman yield to me for comment?

MR. PUCINSKI. Yes.

MR. GRIFFIN. I think the point that the gentleman makes is a good one if you come here and testify for this bill on the basis that you are here to help some other less fortunate area or poorer State or something of that kind. But when you come here supporting the bill on