

tain of your legalese in your government as I am sure you would be bewildered by the legalese in the merchandising business. I would expect that you gentlemen, who, again as Mr. Nichols said, are trustees for this, have competent staff that you will write in here the same sort of guarantees to protect the citizens as I hope as a businessman our buyers and our merchandise people write in their orders when they specify.

Mr. GRIFFIN. But if we do not, we ought to pass it anyway?

Mr. LANDRUM. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. MARTIN. I ask unanimous consent.

Mr. LANDRUM. The time of the gentleman has expired. Mr. Martin, I do not desire to be rude.

The gentleman from California.

Mr. ROOSEVELT. Mr. Martin, what you have said is the clearest exposition of one of the problems which I assume my good friend does not quite understand. I feel that we have asked you to come up here to tell us from your experience whether you think there is a problem and, if there is a problem, whether the general aim of the bill is going to accomplish something in this area. I thoroughly agree with you. In previous testimony we have discussed with experts from the Government the details of administration. If we are not capable of working that out, then we are not doing our job. I do not say we are perfect. We may miss the point. As you have said, if we miss it, undoubtedly, we will have to come back and rectify it. We do that almost every year with different kinds of legislation.

I have read the statement of the president of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. with interest. I think it goes in the right direction. I want to congratulate you and tell you that I have thoroughly enjoyed your testimony.

Mr. LANDRUM. Mr. Goodell.

Mr. GOODELL. I yield to Mr. Griffin.

Mr. LANDRUM. Mr. Goodell is recognized for 5 minutes. He yields to Mr. Griffin.

Mr. GRIFFIN. Gentlemen, I wonder if we can consider, for a moment, the question of State responsibility and the Federal-State concept of government. If you have read this bill—and I assume you have—you are aware that the State role in this field under this bill is completely bypassed except in one situation which has to do with the Domestic Peace Corps. By endorsing this bill, as it is presented, do you approve of the elimination of State responsibility as this bill seems to do? Do you want the Federal Government to take over this particular responsibility completely and work directly with the local government and not through the State government, as has been the situation in the past?

Would you like to address yourselves to that general concept of government?

Mr. BESSE. Yes. My statement was directed to title II and the possibility of what might be done through school systems. School systems in our State, at least, while they have some State regulation, are essentially local-action programs. The welfare agencies and other community agencies that might be involved in this are also local agencies.