

As the mayor of New York said yesterday, in effect, he could not solve poverty in New York, as rich as New York was, because the more he solved it the more people flooded into New York to get better jobs.

We have to solve this on a nationwide basis.

I commend and compliment you for the fine job you have done.

Mrs. GREEN. Does the gentleman from New Jersey wish to ask questions?

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I should like to very much. I should like to apologize to the panel. It so happens I had a doctor's appointment. I made it on the assumption we would not begin until 10. I regret that I have missed this discussion. I, like the gentleman from Michigan, am very much interested in doing what we can to encourage as much responsibility on the part of State and local government as is feasible. I do not want to see the individual community turning to the Federal trough unless there is no alternative.

How do you feel about the advisability of a Federal program trying to encourage a greater degree of participation on the part of the State and local government? I do not suppose any of you gentlemen are opposed to that as a general proposition; are you?

Mr. BESSE. No.

Mr. ROOSEVELT. Are you against sin?

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I am going to ask you—you did not have to talk among yourselves—I am going to ask about matching funds, by which, in the past, we have specifically tried to encourage this sense of responsibility and participation. In your opinion, is that an old-fashioned theory that should be discarded?

Mr. V. MARTIN. I don't think we would be in the field of entrepreneurial effort if we felt this way, which, essentially, business is, if we felt this way.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Does that mean you are in favor of a requirement for matching on the part of local and State communities in order to receive Federal funds?

Mr. V. MARTIN. I thought you said you were not talking about matching funds.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I am talking about matching funds as one of the tested ways in which, in the past at least, we have tried to encourage a sense of participation and responsibility on the part of local and State government.

Mr. V. MARTIN. I will answer as an individual. I cannot answer for the others.

So far as I am concerned, I am completely accustomed to the theory of matching funds, and think there is substantial virtue in it.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Do you think the bill would be improved if we should step up the matching requirement so that, instead of 90 percent of the money coming from the Federal Government, something more than 10 percent would come from local and State governments?

Mr. V. MARTIN. I think whether you talk about 10, 20, 30 percent is irrelevant. I think you are talking essentially about, and again I want to go back to this residential revolution that I talked about earlier, Congressman, I think you are talking essentially about a problem that many of the communities have inherited from a na-