

Mr. GILL. What we are doing is using local skills, local effort, local initiative with Federal tax dollars paid by people in that community in the first place.

Thank you.

Mr. LANDRUM. The gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. QUITE. I will yield to the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I would like to plead with the members of this committee to use a little good sense and perhaps a little more good humor than we have shown, with respect to our descriptions of fellow members. I was very unhappy yesterday at the characterization of the gentleman from New Jersey, and I am now unhappy about the remarks of the gentleman from Illinois today. This name calling is going to get us nowhere, especially when it is inaccurate name calling.

Mr. PUCINSKI. What name calling?

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. This business you just indulged in.

Mr. PUCINSKI. I don't recall any name calling.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. You referred to the gentleman from Michigan as being unaware. You referred to me as—

Mr. PUCINSKI. That is a perfectly frank statement.

Mr. LANDRUM. The gentleman from New Jersey has the floor.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. The fact that our districts may not be ones with heavy unemployment, or heavily industrialized, does not mean that we do not have deep and continuing concern for the problems of urban areas. I do not think any Republican refuses to recognize the fact that Chicago, Detroit, Cleveland, New York City, and so on, have problems. Nor do I think that most of us would feel that the Federal Government does not have a responsibility to help. However, we also know there are vast programs of assistance already on the books which we hope is going to be of some value.

I would plead for us not to make a spectacle of ourselves in discussing what, admittedly, is a controversial question. I happen to think this bill is misnamed. I believe it is massive only in the sense of creating great expectations. A billion dollars is all that is being provided for all the programs under this bill. For the community action programs, it would interest you gentlemen, only \$315 million will be provided. Unless we are to boost this by many billions of dollars, the chances are that Cleveland's share is going to be small, Chicago's share is going to be small, New York City's share is going to be small, or that one or any of those cities may get nothing. I do not think that we can fairly describe this as a massive attack. It lends itself, as written, to all sorts of possibilities which, to some of us, seem unwise. It lends itself to competition between existing Federal programs. It lends itself to a bypassing and a reduction of efforts by communities and States which are or should be made. In many ways, this bill is something that needs looking into.

I hope our views are respected and that members of the committee, at least, will forbear and not use us as whipping boys to the extent they have.

I thank the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. LANDRUM. The gentleman has consumed 4 minutes of the time allotted.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. I thought the Chair was watching the use of time.