

racy down here; trust that they are going to administer this program so as to take advantage of State administration and responsibility.

While you might have this trust in one particular individual at a particular time, I wonder if this is good policy to assume that you can always have the same kind of trust?

That is a kind of speech. Maybe you have some comment on it.

Governor WELSH. I would say, first, that I regard this as a national problem, not a State problem. This is not only Indiana's problem. Many of the youngsters we have on our hands are youngsters who really grew up in other States and are now in Indiana. This is true in many other States. As much as we do, we will never solve our problem unless it is attacked on a national scale. I think we must keep this in mind at all times. I have no fear of the Federal Government. We assume that the intentions and objectives of the agency that is going to administer this policy are going to be identical with what we are trying to do. We don't think we have all the answers. I am sure there are able and intelligent people in other States as well as in Washington and we would welcome the opportunity to benefit by their experience and perhaps the best way to do this is to have a national agency charged with this responsibility so that everyone who has an interest and information to contribute can do so.

We will use every device and every bit of information that is helpful that we can.

This matter of blind trust—we feel that we are part of this Government and that the Government is not going to pick on us.

Mr. GRIFFIN. Under title II, the so-called community action program, the extent of State involvement is limited to submitting a proposed program to the Governor "for his comment." Title II, of course, will involve aid to education. Are you satisfied that the State responsibility is sufficient and adequate under title II?

Governor WELSH. You mean the requirement—

Mr. GRIFFIN. The recognition of the State role.

Governor WELSH. Frankly, I am not familiar with the precise language.

Mr. GRIFFIN. The community action title involves some \$390 million the first year. Apparently a lot of it is going to be used in various forms of aid to education and anything else, I guess, because there are no standards of criteria whatsoever. The bill completely bypasses State government, under title II, except that a project will be submitted to you for your comment.

If you have not examined title II from the standpoint of the State's role, I wish you would do that. You will find it interesting.

Governor WELSH. This gets back to the point I made a moment ago. My experience of 3½ years as Governor is that when a Governor makes comments to a Federal agency, they usually listen. That has been my experience.

Mr. GRIFFIN. I do not think that necessarily follows in every case.

Governor WELSH. I would not expect them to do precisely what I asked them. Certainly, if a program is going to be successful, it has to be one, so far as my experience would indicate, that has the voluntary support of the people and of other agencies. You can't force people to do things and you can't drive them. The Federal program