

things, I don't know. I remember a situation in southern France when we had a lot of artillery fire and we had some tanks and we ordered some mortar fire thrown in there. Finally we took the hill with the Infantry. My mortar sergeant said, "I don't think we needed that mortar fire." I don't know whether in this war on poverty we have one or two things in here we don't need, but the important thing is the objective.

I would rather have too much than too little.

Mr. BELL. We may have a realistic problem, too, in getting youths to volunteer to go to camps, maybe in Wyoming, Alaska, and Montana, and so on. Although I agree that we should not have too little, I think a lot of these responsibilities can be handled by the States on a local level and with some added matching funds program.

Governor SANFORD. Yes; I made a strong case, I hope, or intended to, for the use of State government and local government and local citizens in any program. I think it would be a mistake to make this massive, centrally directed, centrally controlled, centrally tied up program. I think to the extent that we use the State governments, to the extent that we use the local governments and the local leadership, that is the extent of the success that we will have. I certainly agree that as much of this as can, should be put out locally and through State government. I think it will be more successful, because I think our problems are different than the problems of Wyoming, and I think there needs to be a great deal of flexibility in it.

Mr. LANDRUM. Will you yield?

Mr. BELL. Yes, I yield.

Mr. LANDRUM. However, Governor, that is not to say, is it, that the provision in this bill to tie together under one umbrella a great many existing or proposed Federal programs is not a good idea, so that they can be centrally directed from here in cooperation with the State programs?

Governor SANFORD. No; I said that, too. I said it must be put under one umbrella. I think one of our problems in the past has been that we have had too many umbrellas. I think the more we can draw these things together and the thing I like about it is that it does talk about coordination and it does bring the various agencies together for a common assault instead of saying to all the agencies and all the States, "You go out and fight the battle the way you see fit." This is a coordinated, planned attack that calls on the resources of the Federal Government, all of its agencies, all the States, and brings into play all the local governments.

I think that is the beauty of it, the fact that it does bring things together.

Mr. BELL. As I understand, you are recommending wherever possible local control; are you not?

Governor SANFORD. I think you have a good example in the Hill-Burton legislation, in the legislation for construction of facilities for higher education, where you have required the States to set up some kind of broadly representative agency and within the general guidelines which Congress has set down, the State has planned its program in the case of Hill-Burton of hospital development, and I think it has worked very well. I think local initiative, State initiative have both been called into play. I think that kind of local control is essen-