You are saying you do not think any community would ever come up with such an action program. That avoids the question.

This is a question which is a legitimate one. There is broad language

Mr. LANDRUM. I will not avoid answering it any further if that is what the gentleman says. I will say that the bill as written does not contemplate that there would be any such action ever imagined. Certainly we are not going to have to go into the business of prohibiting taking a deep breath, are we?

Mr. Goodell. You are not imagining that it will happen. Are you going the next step that if it should happen that it is not authorized,

that Federal funds be used for such a program?

Mr. Landrum. I have never anticipated that Federal funds would be used and I do not think the bill as proposed contemplates it and I do not think it is possible under the bill. If you think it is, I will say you are exercising an imagination that is trying to scare the polar bears around.

Mr. Bell. It does not prohibit this.

Mr. GOODELL. If the gentleman will yield-

Mr. LANDRUM. If you have objections to the bill as written that are valid, let us advance them and talk about them constructively, but let us get out of the fairy land.

Mr. Goodell. Now, Mr. Chairman-

Mr. Landrum. Regular order. Let us finish with the witness.

Mr. Goodell. He has yielded to me. If you want to start putting a muzzle on these hearings, go right ahead, but this is a legitimate question which the gentleman from Georgia has frequently raised in other pieces of legislation, that broad general authority can be used specifically and we ought to try to anticipate how it will be used.

Mr. Landrum. What word does the gentleman from New York

 $\mathbf{desire} \ \mathbf{from} \ \mathbf{me}$?

Mr. GOODELL. You are saying that we are raising a point that is fantastic.

Mr. Landrum. I will not retract the statement.

Mr. GOODELL. We are creating legislative history. If you say this won't be done and it is not authorized, that is sufficient for me. You have apparently said that as a matter of legislative history this is not authorized under the language here.

Mr. LANDRUM. Are you through? Mr. GOODELL. I asked the question.

Mr. LANDRUM. I have answered all the questions I am going to answer from the gentleman today. You stay away from the most constructive hearing that we have and come in at the last minute and stir up a lot of fanfare.

Mr. Bell. If the gentleman will yield, the point of the matter is that certainly it would be constructive to avoid any pitfalls that could be misinterpreted or misused. I think that is a very constructive

Mr. LANDRUM. I do not care to pursue the question with you further. I see you have no concrete argument to pursue it with.

Mr. Bell. I think the concreteness of the argument is in the phrase-

ology.