

the troubles of going out to get a new contractor would both delay and might broaden the security information available in a way that it was felt to be adverse to the national interest, or for some other reason, \$600,000 of those. A sole source initial award, because of particular skills or experience, \$600,000.

Unsolicited proposals which came in and a suggestion which was made by some company or some institution in a way that we had not conceived of but which was responsive to our responsibility, a little under \$500,000.

We have had grant agreements to university professors or experts in particular fields, \$150,000. And we have reimbursable agreements of \$2,400,000. That means for instance we have had the Census Bureau do some things in which they have particular skills or the Office of Naval Research, which has particular expertise, the Department of Commerce, the Department of State.

(The following information has been supplied for the record:)

U.S. ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

Summary showing contract amounts by methods of selection for period
Jan. 1, 1962, through Dec. 31, 1964

Method of contractor selection	Dollar amount	Percent
Proposals awarded after competition.....	4,589,152	42.8
Follow-on proposals to competitive awards.....	1,868,194	17.4
Follow-on proposals to noncompetitive awards.....	609,378	5.7
Sole source, initial award.....	624,604	5.8
Unsolicited proposals.....	487,927	4.6
Grant agreements.....	152,287	1.4
Reimbursable agreements, other Federal agencies.....	2,388,175	22.3

Mr. ADAIR. Mr. Foster—

Mr. ZABLOCKI (presiding). The gentleman's time has expired.

Mr. ADAIR. May I finish this question?

Mr. ZABLOCKI. Go ahead.

Mr. ADAIR. With how many educational institutions do you have contracts?

Mr. FOSTER. I would say we have done it with 10 or 12.

Mr. ADAIR. Thank you.

Mr. ZABLOCKI. Mr. Selden.

Mr. SELDEN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Foster, are you aware that the name "Disarmament Agency" may have given to some people a false impression as to this Agency's function?

Mr. FOSTER. I am aware of the discussion concerning it, Mr. Selden, but of course the objectives to which we are devoted under the law include the movement toward general and complete disarmament as an ultimate objective of the United States.

Mr. SELDEN. However, am I correct in stating that this Agency does not under the law have the authority to unilaterally disarm the United States?

Mr. FOSTER. You are quite correct, sir.

Mr. SELDEN. I found in the last campaign that misinformation of that nature was spread around very generally and that many people did have an incorrect impression of the Agency's functions. Have