

	ACDA/ST-52.....	Summer study.	28	27	7	No	Follow-on to competition.	
Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies.	ACDA/IR-63.							36,500
Stanford University.	Amendment No. 1.							164,186
Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.	ACDA/WE-64.		20	24	11	No		182,314
Los Angeles State College.	ACDA/RS-55.		25	59	17	Yes		235,000
Midwest Research Institute.	ACDA/ST-56.		31	20	14	Yes		48,855
National Planning Association.	Amendment No. 1.		61	41	41	No	Follow-on to competition.	138,000
Kirschner Associates.	Amendment No. 1.							909
University of Michigan.	ACDA/IE-58.		61	41	41	No		125,705
Harold Joseph Berman.	ACDA/IE-59.							7,500
TRW Space Technology Laboratory.	ACDA/GC-60.							31,824
Control Data Corp.	ACDA/ST-61.	X						4,065
	ACDA/WE-62.						Sole source, individual.	1,000
	Amendment No. 1.							125,628
The Brookings Institution.	ACDA/RS-63.						Follow-on.	75,000
University of Pennsylvania.	ACDA/ST-64.	X					Sole source (ACDA/WE-47).	15,000
North American Aviation.	ACDA/WE-65.	X						100,404
							Follow-on to competition (ACDA/WE-30).	73,899
Midwest Research Institute.	ACDA/IE-66.		85	40	23	Yes		249,400
Martin-Marietta Corp.	ACDA/IE-67.	X						84,314
Denver Research Institute.	ACDA/IE-68.		97	44	23	No		12,587
State of New York Department of Labor.	ACDA/IE-69.	X						53,739
The Johns Hopkins University.	ACDA/GC-70.		29	17	2	Yes		80,000
								195,746

¹ The Agency has made a general solicitation of grants for research by individuals and nonprofit institutions in the field of arms control and disarmament. Thus, all grant proposers compete against each other for the funds available; the Agency does not seek competition among prospective grantees on specific research projects.

² These are proposals where the initiative for the research project was not taken by the Agency, but where the initiator was entirely that of the proposer and, either by reason of the profitmaking character of the proposal, or by reason of the nature of the work, the Agency believes that the appropriate venue for agreement is a contract rather than a grant. Such proposals must be consistent with the Agency's research program, be well developed, represent a considerable investment of time and effort by the proposer, and not be readily available from other sources in order to qualify for a noncompetitive contract award.

³ Normally the Agency seeks competition by issuing formal requests for proposals

(RFP's). In isolated instances, where the interests of the Government would be better served by significant savings of time and/or money, competition may be sought informally by telephonic or personal visit inquiries concerning interest and capability of prospective contractors.

⁴ Contracts may be awarded without seeking competition in instances in which the project officer has made a thorough review of existing capabilities and has determined that there is only 1 source for the work. Before a contract award is made on this basis, the project officer's evaluation is reviewed by the Research Council and specifically approved or disapproved by the Director or his Deputy.

⁵ Follow-on contract awards are similar in nature to sole-source awards, but are made on the ground that the contractor concerned has already performed such work for the Agency that it would be contrary to the best interests of the Government to award the contract to a different organization.