

is a part of the funds which have been provided under the Manpower Development and Training Act.

Mr. GROSS. What is the price tag on that contract with New York State?

Mr. FOSTER. The contract is \$80,000, of which we put up \$40,000. The Department of Defense puts up \$40,000. It has been fully coordinated with the same list of agencies, which I will not repeat, that I spoke of in connection with the other economic—

Mr. GROSS. Does the use of this contract procedure in lieu of other means permit the contractor to add various costs that cannot be paid under a grant?

Mr. FOSTER. I don't quite understand your question.

Mr. GROSS. Do you pay more under a contract than you would under a grant?

Mr. FOSTER. No.

Mr. GROSS. Are there costs loaded into this?

Mr. FOSTER. This is a contract under the terms of our normal contract in which we set forth the purpose of the contract and we then negotiate with the contractor. In this case the New York State Department of Labor obviously has a keen interest and much knowledge about the field. This helps them to do the job that they could not otherwise do, which gives an idea of what happens in cutbacks—

Mr. GROSS. You mean the State of New York can't provide you with these figures if they already have them?

Mr. FOSTER. It isn't figures that they already have.

Mr. GROSS. Or information, facts, whatever you want to call it.

Mr. FOSTER. No; they cannot. This is a special kind of information which this contract is designed to develop.

Chairman MORGAN. The time of the gentleman from South Dakota has expired.

Mr. Monagan.

Mr. MONAGAN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. FOSTER, what is the 1965 appropriation for the Agency?

Mr. FOSTER. \$9 million.

Mr. MONAGAN. I beg your pardon?

Mr. FOSTER. \$9 million.

Mr. MONAGAN. What was it the previous year?

Mr. FOSTER. The previous appropriation was \$7½ million.

Mr. MONAGAN. I don't want to take the time to discuss it. It has previously at least been adverted to, but I don't approve of a 4-year authorization. I think we in the Congress have been tending too much to lose control of some of these programs, and I just want to state my position on that request at this time.

There is one sort of philosophical question that concerns me about the Agency. Isn't it a fact that there is an assumption here that by discussion we are going to break down the position that the Russians, for example, have taken? Isn't this in contrast to the actual power situation that exists? When the Russians are ready to talk, won't they talk and won't that decision be something that will be made at the top of the pyramid rather than through discussions? I think, for example, of the rather hopeful situation that existed last summer when I was in Geneva when there was talk at that time of the Russians being willing to discuss all the different possibilities that previously