

Mr. FOSTER. There is always room for improvement. I think within the pressures of the many other things which they have they are doing a very good job.

Mr. DERWINSKI. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman MORGAN. Mr. Farbstein.

Mr. FARBSTEIN. Mr. Foster—Mr. Frelinghuysen, all the time you want.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Thank you, Mr. Farbstein. I want to ask the chairman one question, if I may, for clarification in my own mind of just what an executive session means. Do I understand that anything that is said normally in an executive session should not be relayed outside of this committee?

Chairman MORGAN. That is correct.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. We have had, I might say for the new members' benefit, a number of very significant leaks from this committee when there has been an executive session. My point is, my question is, that we should not discuss just what has been said earlier that was not being taken down. Anything that is said in executive session should not be discussed with the press and so on; is that correct?

Chairman MORGAN. That is correct. This transcript will be a committee transcript. Of course, it will be submitted to the Agency for screening and anything we can use in the hearings we have permission to use. This is our transcript. It belongs to the committee and it doesn't leave the committee room after it comes back up from the reporter.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. The individual members of the committee do not have the right to decide that some of this can be used by them—

Chairman MORGAN. That is correct, without clearing with the chairman.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Farbstein.

Mr. DERWINSKI. May I ask one related question for clarification? This committee transcript is not available to noncommittee members?

Chairman MORGAN. No, sir.

Mr. Farbstein.

Mr. FARBSTEIN. I would like to clarify for my own mind, and I think for the record, the function of your organization, because questions have been asked dealing with the Brooklyn Navy Yard, with certain airplane factories, and so on. Your organization is solely concerned with the effect of the closing of various military installations on the body politic; isn't that so?

Mr. FOSTER. Yes, sir; in order to prepare plans for the situation that might arise out of arms reduction and disarmament activities which occur later.

Mr. FARBSTEIN. In other words, your organization has no function or authority to go into the closing of them?

Mr. FOSTER. That is correct.

Mr. FARBSTEIN. You are only concerned about the effect. So any questions asked of you dealing with the closings would be irrelevant; is that correct? Or am I wrong? Or shall the statement be that you are supposed to anticipate the effect of the closing of a particular installation and make your study before the closing so as to know what will happen thereafter?

I would like to get that clarified in my mind.