## CITATIONAL NOTES

The method and merits of this system of CITATIONAL and DISCUSSIONAL NOTES are explained in ¶ 11. This unpatentable invention was first used in the Sociology of Invention, N (note) 49 below.

If you do not find a note below, it is doubtless a Discussional Note, indicated by italic numerials and "ftN," as ftN 55. You will find such a note by its numerical sequence, at the foot of the text page where the reference appears.

1. Davis, W. H.: Our Nat. Pat. Policy; Am. Ec. Rev., Papers & Proc. 38:235-44. 1948, followed by discussion by Folk, Dienner, and Jewett; p. 238 quoted. 2. Michelson, E. J.: How Missile Space Spending Enriches the Peacetime

Economy; survey shows that the Nation is already benefiting greatly in new goods, techniques and industries. Missiles & Rockets, Sept. 14, 1959, pp. 13-7.

Siegel, I.H.: Sci. Discovery, Inv. & the Cultural Environment: PTCJRE 4:233-48, 1960. Page 246 lists many such civil-military invs.

Pats., Trademks. & Copyrights, Rept. of the Senate Subcom'ee on Pats. etc., Apr. 3, 1961, 28 pp. Pages 4,5 give long lists of military-civil problems.

3. Venetian examples from year 1416 etc. are supplied by Mandich: Venetian Origins of Inventors' Rights; JPOS 42:378-82.

4. Mandich, G.: Venetian Patents (1450-1550), tr. by F. D. Prager in JPOS 30:166-224, 1948. See p. 174 for John of Speyer, and p. 176,7 for the law of 1474. Silberstein, Marcel: The Patents of Marini, 1443-57, in do., 37:674-6, 1955. Prager, F. D.: A Hist. of Intellectual Property from 1545 to 1787; in do., 26:711-60, 1044.

26:711-60, 1944.
6. Hulme, E. W.: Stat. Bib. in Relation to the Growth of Modern Civilization. Valuable long-time stat. data on pats., books and other London, 1923, 44 pp. indices of technology.

8. Federico, P. J.: Origin and Early Hist. of Pats.; JPOS 11:292-305, 1929. 12. Vojaček, Jan: A Survey of the Prin. Nat. Pat. Systems, 1936; and The Changing Face of Pat. Law, in JPOS 30:407-15, 1948.

Bennett, Wm. B.: The Amer. Pat. Sys., an ec. interpretation, 1943, pp. 73-8.

13. Our N 221, his p. 44.

14. Marine, R. E., in JPOS, v. 12, April 1930.

- 15. U.S. Senate, Judiciary Subcom'ee on Pats., etc.: 1961-2 Mgmt. Survey of . Pat. Office, Attachment No. 17, p. 3; and 1961 Patent Commissioner's Report. Each year averaged 819 interferences set up, and we guess 21/2 pats. per interfer-
- 16. Data dated 1942, rec'd from C. G. D. Maarschalk, Ph. D., pat. economist. Cf. also P. J. Federico: Renewal Fees and other Pat. Fees in Foreign Countries, JPOS 36:827-61, 1954.

17. Hulme (N6) p. 19, and Federico, (N8).
18. Machlup & Penrose: The Pat. Controversy in the 19th Cen.; Jol. of Ec. Hist., May 1950, pp. 1–29.

19. Van Cise, J. G.: The Trend in Pat. Provisions in Antitrust Consent Decrees;

JPOS 41:743-77, 1959.

20. Federico, P. J.: Adjudicated Pats., 1948-54, in Amer. Pat. Sys. Hearings before the Subcom'ee on Pats., Trademarks and Copyrights of the Com'ee on the Judiciary, U.S. Sen., 84th Cong., 1st sess., pursuant to S. Res. 92, Oct. 10-12, 1955. pp. 176-85; prepared at the request of the Subcom'ee, and reprinted in JPOS 38:233-49, 1956. Design pats. are incl., and not decisions in the Ct. of Claims, nor decisions not involving validity nor infringement; all these are very minor classes. See N 19 above and ftN 21.

22. Mayers, H. R.: The U.S. Pat. Sys. in Hist. Perspective; PTCJRE 3:33-55, 1959, with stat. on litigation and validation, from 1850-1957. 23. U.S. News & Wid. Rept.: A Vanishing American, the small U.S. inventor;

Nov. 23, 1956, pp. 113-6. 24. Federico, P. J.; Preliminary Survey of Adjudicated Pats., 1929-34; in JPOS 18:685-96.