tive amount of time spent by dist. judges on different types of cases, pp. 173-

96. 262. Mayers, N 22, gives some graphs of "Outstanding Pats. Litigated in Court of Appeals," with percents validated, 1930-55.

265. 1956 Rept., supra, p. 239.

266. Ibidem, p. 194.

266. Ibidem, p. 194.
268. The courts occupy 2,500,000 sq. ft., and have a management operating cost of \$0.575 per sq. ft., acc. to their ann. rept. Taking the sq. ft. as gross, and estimating \$15 new cost per unit, depreciation \(\frac{1}{2}\), interest \(\frac{3}{2}\)/20, and \(\frac{3}{2}\)/20 occupied by pat. suits, we get \$28,000 as the yearly cap. cost without further depreciation, and \$46,000 operating cost, total \$74,000.
270. Sci. Advisory Bd., Com'ee on the Relation of the Pat. Sys. to the Stimulation of New Industries, Rept., Apr. 1, 1935: in the Board's 2d Rept., September 1935, pp. 317-40, and in V. Bush: Endless Horizons, 1946, 182 pp., p. 151-69.
271. Ladd, D. L.: Bus. Aggression under the Pat. System: U. of Chao Law Rev.

271. Ladd, D. L.: Bus. Aggression under the Pat. System; U. of Chgo Law Rev.

26:353-75, esp. p. 363.

272. Greenawalt, W. E.: Pats. & Litigation as Viewed by an Engr.: Mining & Metallurgy 18:339-42, 1934. Carson's pats. were 1149495 and 1302307.
273. Federico, N 20,p. 246, based on 50 recent cases.
274. MacLaurin, W. R.: Inventions and Innovation in the Radio Indus., 1949,

304 pp., an understanding book.
275. Woodbury, D. O.: A Measure of Greatness (biog. of Weston), 1949, p. 206,7.

276. Levenstein in Chem. Age 21:329, 1927.

277. Patents, Tr-mks & Copyrights, Rept. No. 97 to 86th Congress, by Subcom'ee on Pats. etc. of the Judiciary Com'ee of the Senate, March 9, 1959, p. 27.

278. Backeland, L. H.: E. Weston's Invs.; Sci. 41-484-92, 1915. He took still

fewer pats. after 1908.

279. Polanyi, N 223, pp. 91,5.

281. Piel, G.: What Price Scientific Secrecy? Chgo. Sun Times, Nov. 10, 1957, sec. 2:3. By the ed. of Sci. Amer.

282. Machlup, N 177, p. 32 quoting Edwards, N 252.

283. Mycalex vs. Pemco, 64 F Supp. 425 (1946) D.C., Mā. 284. Melman, N 65, p. 35. 285. Kottke, N 211, p. 47ff. Melman, N 65, p. 46-8.

287. Perazich & Field, N 60, p. 47.

288. N 211, p. 47.

289. Eyre, Rich.: A Necessary Reform in Pat. Practice. N.Y., before 1939, 43 pp. Argues for drafting pats. to center on describing the inv., rather than on the claims.

290. 126 U.S. 1-584 (1888), and Petro, ftN 9 and 115, and Hamilton, N 207, p.

293. G. A. Leibtag claimed a working telephone in 1872. Cleveland Plain Dealer, Apr. 6, 1930, p. 4D.
294. Encic. Ital., article Antonio Meucci; his here reported pat. is not to be

found; his application may have disappeared from the U.S. Pat. Office. 295. Early Electric Telephony; Nature, 17: 510, 1878.

296. Petro, ft N 9, p. 366 etc.

Douglass, W. B.: Who was the Original Inventor of the Telephone? Prof.

Engr. 13: 18-21, June 1828.

297. As in the fight of Zenith against RCA.

298. Levinstein, Herb.: Chem. Invs., with spec. ref. to chem. pats.; Chemistry & Indus., Oct. 11, 1929, pp. 980-7; critical of pats.; p. 986 cited.
299. U.S. Temp. Nat. Econ. Com'ee: Hearings Pt. 2, Patents, Automobile Indus., Glass Container Indus., December 1938, pp. 253-884, esp. p. 460.

Cf. also Pat. Pooling and the Sherman Act, unsigned, Columbia Law Rev. 50:1113-23, 1950, p. 1114 and its notes 15,16.

300. Hamilton, W. H.: Is Our Pat. Sys. Obsolete? Yes: in Am. Scholar, autumn 1948, pp. 470-2. Answered No by C. W. Ooms et al in following issues. 301. TNEC Hearings, N 299, p. 270 etc.

Rice, Willis B.: A Constructive Pat. Law: N.Y.U. Law Qly. Rev. 16:179-201, 1939, esp. pp. 180-3; or N.Y.U. Sch. of Law, Contemp. Law Pamphlets, No. 12, 1939.