

Would you like to state for the record what agency was concerned about the tall girls?

Mr. MEAGHER. Yes, sir; I have the agencies for all of them. The tall girls was Bonneville Power.

Mr. GALLAGHER. That is the same one that we have come in contact with. We called this to the attention of Mr. Macy this morning.

Mr. MEAGHER. Yes, sir.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Horton?

Mr. HORTON. Looking at your statement, you have set forth a few instances and you have also indicated that there are some that you are not at liberty to disclose because of the request of the employee involved. But do you find in your duties as Director that there are quite a number of these cases, or are they on a small scale?

Mr. MEAGHER. Very few have come to my attention, sir. I went to our department of employee relations which normally would handle grievances along these lines. I have talked to them and they tell me that 2 years ago we had no such case. They are currently handling about 15. Unfortunately, none of the people are willing to talk. So I can only judge by that; we went from none to 15 in 2 years.

Mr. HORTON. In at least 15 cases that you are handling, they came to you as a result of the employee's initiative rather than the organization making any efforts to find out about it?

Mr. MEAGHER. That is right, sir. These came from the employee.

Mr. HORTON. You don't have any directive or request of employees to furnish you information about this? In other words, you've made no overt action to find out, taken no overt action to find out about these type of instances?

Mr. MEAGHER. Yes, sir. We have. When we heard of this committee's interest, we put out a news service bulletin to our people asking that they refer such cases to us. I believe that was 4 weeks ago, sir, and we haven't had a response.

Mr. HORTON. Thank you.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Rosenthal?

Mr. ROSENTHAL. No questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Reuss?

Mr. REUSS. Just one question. Do you see any difference in the abrogation of the kind of psychological tests as a condition of employment, which you have been telling us about in the case of a straight civil service employee as opposed to a Federal agency conducting an identical test with respect to people such as those under the youth opportunities program who would technically be employed by a State or locality rather than the Federal Government?

Mr. MEAGHER. As a union, sir, we represent only the career civil service employee. I share your concern with the people who are not professional civil service. I am sure if I were representing those people I would be arguing just as strongly for them as the people I do represent.

Mr. REUSS. Thank you very much.

Mr. GALLAGHER. How many people do you represent?

Mr. MEAGHER. About 160,000, sir. It was 158,000 2 months ago, so I would guess about 160,000.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Horton?

Mr. HORTON. I was just going to ask, are there any other incidences you know of, of other types of invasions of privacy of employees?