

manual, appendix M, you will find a reproduction of Criteria Sheet No. 7, which gives directions for P-Rating of the trainees.

I am also sending the name of the publisher of the California Psychological Inventory, as follows:

Psychological Consultation Service,
1230 Queens Road,
Berkeley 8, Calif.

We do not have a copy of the test in its commercial form, nor of the test manual, which describes how it was put together. However, you may purchase a specimen set at a nominal cost, by writing to the above firm. I believe you are aware that we included in our examination only 5 of the 16 scales making up the test.

If we can be of any further service to you, please let us know.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT C. GOODWIN, *Administrator.*

Mr. GALLAGHER. It is my understanding, Mr. Secretary, that there has been a change of policy, that you are now advising this committee that the tests used in 1964, which Mr. Reuss referred to, will be discontinued?

Mr. WERTS. That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. HORTON. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Horton?

Mr. HORTON. Normally I would like to reserve questions and I know it is your policy to reserve questions until the witness has finished, but I think in this instance it ought to be made clear just exactly what the policy was before, and what the policy is now, and when the policy was changed. Now if the witness is prepared to give us that information, I think it might be appropriate to have it now.

Mr. WERTS. Yes, Mr. Congressman. I would be pleased to comment on that. I would say this, that the Secretary and the Department's policy does not permit the kind of thing that happened in 1964. If, in the judgment of those who have had experience with the material, it is considered to have been a violation or invasion of privacy, the policy then is the same as now—prohibiting use of tests if they are considered to be an invasion of privacy.

I think it is fair to say that we in the Secretary's office were not aware of the fine points in this test until the press stories about a young man who was released from one of the colleges where he was under training. I am assured by competent people whose judgment I accept that this gentleman was not released because of anything that was revealed in the test, but his having been requested to resign from the training was based upon observations of his behavior and performance while in training, and was not based at all in terms of tests that were given last year. So that—

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Werts, may the Chair merely sound a note of caution that, since the person has not yet been made part of the record, we would just as soon that it not become a part of the record, and we should speak of him generally, rather than as a specific person, if it would be damaging to the young man.

Mr. WERTS. I agree, Mr. Chairman. I think that is very wise advice. But the point, just to summarize, is that the policy has not changed. I think what we are doing is restating the policy, and making clear and making sure that we have procedures which will make the policy effective. When I proceed, I will be able to—

Mr. HORTON. Mr. Chairman, I am not satisfied with the answer and I want to go into it, but I think we ought to permit the witness to complete his statement.