

Are you familiar with that document?

Mr. WERTS. I have seen it.

Mr. REUSS. I now ask you to turn to appendix M, which is the guidance for the markers of these exams, with particular reference to the 158 questions we have mentioned. I now shall read to you the bottom paragraph on that page of appendix M, criteria sheet No. 7:

If there is any evidence of legal infraction, other than civil rights demonstrations and offenses, or mention of emotional illness, or indication of a severe handicap, confinement to wheelchair, deaf, blind, et cetera, the full rating should be done and the application then set aside for special consideration.

From this sentence it looks to me very much as if in addition to whatever other purposes you were using these 158 questions for, you were using them to get personal information about these people, and then for whatever purposes you wanted.

Now let's be perfectly clear, I want you to get all of the relevant personal information about your people, but your testimony this morning was that you did not, under the guise of these 158 true-false questions, seek to obtain this personal and private information. You may answer either now or later for the record how you square that with the mandate to your examiners, which I just read.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Will the gentleman yield before the Secretary answers the question?

Mr. REUSS. Yes.

Mr. GALLAGHER. The same question reappears in the new set of questions, under a different mask. Question 115 reads, "Have you ever served a term in prison or jail, or were on parole from prison or jail within 5 years. Yes, for participation in organized nonviolent civil rights demonstration; yes, for conviction for other reasons, or no."

So I think we worm our way back to this thing constantly. I really feel that this could be very detrimental to minority groups, the very groups that perhaps we are attempting to assist. It does not suppose at all that minority groups themselves perhaps could be trained as youth counselors, and if it does, then this sets up a discriminatory foundation. And I personally feel it is quite insulting to Negroes, the whole line of questioning in this new test because there are certainly an equal number of people who are Negro, and who can answer these questions without having to break it down into beatnik talk or find out as to how they stand on these situations. I just don't feel that social scientists have any special license to invade a person's privacy, merely under the guise of studying human behavior.

I yield back.

Mr. REUSS. Who, in the executive branch, authorized this group of 158 questions and decreed they should be put to the 21,993 young people who wished to serve their country?

Mr. WERTS. I don't have the specific name, but I have the individuals who are responsible. Whether they personally did it or not, I don't know. It would be the Administrator of the Bureau of Employment Security, and the person on his staff who is responsible for Project CAUSE.

Mr. REUSS. Will you give the names of those two people?

Mr. WERTS. Mr. Goodwin is the Administrator of the Bureau of Employment Security, and Mr. Harry Kranz is director of the unit that supervises the Project CAUSE.

Mr. REUSS. Who does Mr. Goodwin report to?