

good trial lawyer should, that Dr. Carp is right in saying that particular answers don't matter. But I believe there is another concern here, too—

Mr. REUSS. May I interrupt to say I think the special inquiry should determine whether they matter or not.

Mr. JOSEPHSON. I agree. I understand the committee's concern with respect to the validity of the test. I would like to consult with Dr. Carp about this, but I feel that he would be glad to discuss this issue under circumstances where the significance of answers to particular questions are not necessarily going to be made public.

I think he can explain at great length why—

Mr. GALLAGHER. Would you agree if we had it in executive session?

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Would that be satisfactory? Am I right in assuming that your concern is with the validity of the test?

Dr. CARP. Yes; my concern is with the validity of the test, and I think in a sense, that the question, what are the right and wrong answers to a particular item indicates, if you will pardon the expression, a lack of appreciation on the part of the committee of what these tests are trying to do, and what answers to particular items mean.

When the test directions say there are no right or wrong answers, they mean this.

Mr. GALLAGHER. But there is a positive and negative factor in whether or not George Washington was greater or Lincoln. That is a fact which cannot be denied.

Mr. REUSS. Give us the template and let us make the judgment as to whether we are able to understand it.

Dr. CARP. If this could be in executive session and if the way in which the test is scored would not be made a matter of public record, then my anxiety would be minimized. This is my concern.

Mr. ROSENTHAL. We don't want to contribute to your anxiety.

Dr. CARP. You have already.

Mr. GALLAGHER. We don't have the full membership of the special inquiry here. Mr. Horton is not here, so I think it might be in order if you weighed Mr. Reuss' suggestion, and we would very much like to do this. If you would be here at 2 p.m. and feel at that point it should not be made public, and if we are unable to purchase one in the meantime, perhaps we will hold an executive session at a later date.

But we will have an open meeting at 2 p.m.

Mr. REUSS. If you aren't going to level with us on these things, I wish you would let me know before 2, so I can rearrange my schedule, because it is not useful for me to be patronized and told we can't have the whole answers to this MMPI test.

Are you prepared to tell me that now?

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Mr. Reuss, may I try to answer that?

Mr. REUSS. Please.

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Psychologists, as a profession, are a lot younger than lawyers. They, however, have made and are making a serious effort to develop professional ethical standards which are in every respect comparable to those which the legal and medical professions have developed and which are respected.

My hunch is, although Dr. Carp is free to speak for himself, is that he would be delighted to discuss the area of your concern in executive session. But that he could not ethically commit himself to discuss