

Mr. GALLAGHER. We have a companion concern, that is why we are meeting. We are concerned with the corrosion of the whole fiber of American privacy, the right of the privacy of the individual, which is being violated under the excuse or veil of studying human behavior. And we are wondering whether or not we have reached a point where we had better reconsider some of our values and whether the importance of this study of human behavior outweighs the breach of constitutional rights.

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Mr. Chairman, a few months ago you expressed interest in the circumstances surrounding the development of the test. I don't know if the committee is aware of this article by the developer of the test, which appeared in the March 1964 American Psychologist. But it is a useful article, and I would be glad to submit it for the record.

Mr. GALLAGHER. We would include it and make it a part of the record.

(The article referred to, entitled "Psychology in Action," appears on p. 390 of the appendix.)

Mr. GALLAGHER. Gentlemen, we thank you very much.

We want to thank and congratulate you for the progress you have made, and the consideration you have given to this problem.

The subcommittee will stand adjourned until 2 p.m. Monday afternoon.

(Whereupon, at 4:45 p.m. the subcommittee was adjourned, to reconvene at 2 p.m. Monday, June 7, 1965.)