

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Not over this weekend. But when we were first advised of the committee's inquiry, I did discuss these matters with Morris Abram, and in that connection, Dr. Bennett, who is the president of the Psychological Corp., has, I know, prepared a statement. I know Morris has reviewed that statement. It does go into these issues. And I believe that it is Morris' opinion—although he ought to speak for himself—that he does not believe that the kind of use to which the Peace Corps puts the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, and the circumstances under which the Peace Corps uses it, involves any unreasonable invasion of privacy.

Mr. ROSENTHAL. This is a variation of the end which justifies the means.

Mr. JOSEPHSON. No; I don't think so, Mr. Rosenthal. I think there are many situations in which there are public policies that cut different ways, and where one must strike a balance. I believe the posture of the Peace Corps here is one of being very concerned with the clear mandate, if you will, that we received from the Congress to select and send overseas as volunteers only those who are clearly qualified to withstand all the stresses of Peace Corps service, and on the other hand the clear public policy in favor of individual privacy. We are trying to strike a reasonable balance between those two policies.

Mr. ROSENTHAL. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Reuss.

Mr. REUSS. Mr. Chairman—I just heard counsel's statement about their reluctance or unwillingness to allow publication in the printed record of the answers to the 566 questions.

I would want to respect that reluctance. But I would suggest that, after we finish the public examination of these witnesses, that we go into executive session and have them present to us the answers that we may look at them and that we exercise our discretion not to include those in the printed record, so that their copyright arrangements would be respected.

Mr. JOSEPHSON. Mr. Chairman, if I could speak on that point.

In the course of my discussions with the chairman and the staff this morning, it was suggested that one possible solution would be to make available to the committee on a basis that was acceptable to the Psychological Corp. this information. My personal opinion is that that can be worked out, and that either the Peace Corps or the Psychological Corp. would be agreeable under conditions to make available to the committee this type of information.

I have tried to get hold of Mr. Seitz to make sure that the Psychological Corp. had no objection to this. From the point of view of our relationship with the Psychological Corp., I am almost certain it has not. But I expect to be able to get a hold of Mr. Seitz some time today and be in a position to make available to the committee that booklet under certain conditions.

Mr. REUSS. Mr. Chairman, that sounds like progress, and certainly will be satisfactory to me.

Mr. GALLAGHER. I think it is, Mr. Reuss. The Chair is concerned about two problems. One is the problem of copyright and, secondly, with the ethics of Dr. Carp. And I think that may well be a way in which we can obtain full access to the information we seek.

Mr. REUSS. I do have a question or two.