

Mr. CORNISH. Doctor, would it be unfair to say that generally speaking you feel that on the issue of consent that this is a matter which should be left to what we would hope to be the wise judgment of the investigator?

Dr. BRAYFIELD. I think that would be my fundamental response, yes.

Mr. CORNISH. I think this is probably where a basic difference between us comes in, because I think that the members of the subcommittee probably feel that consent of the individual or his parent should be required wherever possible and that is where, actually, we have the major difference of opinion.

Dr. BRAYFIELD. I would probably behave in the way in which you advocate, but I would want just a few degrees of freedom where in a particular instance I might feel that a lot of other factors overweighed the consent requirement. One of the things that impresses me in Government agencies on the research side is the extensive review procedures that have been worked out. The projects I have seen helped by having review procedures. But those committees work this way. Those committees themselves feel restricted if there is a highly specific condition that is stated; it comes down to a best judgment sort of thing.

Mr. GALLAGHER. One of the things that comes to my attention in these research projects is the revolving chair factor of people in Government this year giving grants to people out of Government and people on the other end being in Government next year giving grants to people who are then out of Government, a Joe and Charley type of thing, that perhaps there is an inbred confidence among the grantors and the grantees that professional confidences will be respected and on that ground alone, having reviewed some of the correspondence in grantsmanship, I think it is necessary that some guidelines be laid down.

We are not trying to lay down inflexible guidelines, but some guidelines should be directed to this program.

Mr. CORNISH. I know the American Psychological Association is a private organization, but you do receive some financial support from the Federal Government in the form of grants, do you not?

Dr. BRAYFIELD. Yes.

Mr. CORNISH. Do you have any idea what that amounts to in a total, say, for the current year?

Dr. BRAYFIELD. Yes. We have an NSF grant which is in support of a scientific information exchange project. People all over the country are in one sense submerged in scientific information, and we have attempted to see what are the best ways of getting scientific information communicated where it would do the most good and where it can really be brought to bear on a particular investigator or particular practitioner's needs.

This has turned out to be an extremely valuable project in opening up some new channels on the problem. This runs—probably about \$100,000 from NSF this year.

Mr. CORNISH. Perhaps in the interest of time—

Dr. BRAYFIELD. Total figure this year runs about \$250,000 of Federal grants.

Mr. CORNISH. I wonder, could you provide for the record a list of the grants which you have received from the Public Health Service