and the National Science Foundation and other Federal agencies, say,

over the past 5 years?

Dr. Brayfield. I would be pleased to file my entire set of budgets for the last 5 years so that you can get some view of the relative proportion. In 1966, our total gross financial activity will be in the neighborhood of about \$2\% million of which, as I indicated, about \$250,000 will be Federal grant funds.

There is one thing I want to make clear for the record, because I am aware that at least one scientific and professional society in this city didn't wisely husband its resources and as a result was largely dependent on Federal grants. We use grants for the multiplier effect

to make our own dollars go further and more usefully.

(The information referred to follows:)

AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, Washington, D.C., November 12, 1965.

Mr. NORMAN CORNISH, Staff Director and Chief Investigator, Subcommittee on Government Operations, Rayburn Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. CORNISH: Attached is the breakdown of figures on Federal grants and contracts which the American Psychological Association has received over the past 5 years, plus the figures for 1965 covering to September 30, as requested by your committee during my testimony. This is a followup to my previous letter on expenditures in response to your telephoned inquiry (via Mr. Romney) for a report on total grants as distinguished from expenditures.

During the 5-year period from 1960 to 1964 the American Psychological Association was awarded grants and contracts from Federal agencies amounting to tion was awarded grants and contracts from Federal agencies amounting to \$1,752,835 of which \$90,000 was transferred to the University of Maryland. Federal funds expended during that period amounted to \$1,314,014 of which \$44,452 was returned to the U.S. Public Health Service. It should be noted that the difference in awards and expenditures for any given period is a function of the date when an award is made. Portions of it may carry over into another time period. During this same period the American Psychological Association funded \$5,518,600 expenses from its own funds. In addition, APA expended \$98,986 of non-Federal grant funds and it invested \$960,800 of its own funds in the develop-

\$5,518,000 expenses from its own funds. In addition, APA expended \$96,980 of non-Federal grant funds and it invested \$960,809 of its own funds in the development of a new headquarters building in Washington.

In summary, during this 5-year period, 1960-64 inclusive, APA expended \$7,847,957 of which \$1,269,562, or 16 percent were Federal grant or contract funds. In 1966 APA currently has budgeted expenses of \$2,239,200 of its own funds and estimates that it will spend about \$225,000 in Federal grants and contracts which represents 0 percent of the total expenditure.

contracts, which represents 9 percent of the total expenditure.

Funds received from grants have been expended on special projects.

Attached is a detailed sheet of figures giving the names of projects, the amounts received from grants and grant expenditures year by year.

Sincerely yours,

ARTHUR H. BRAYFIELD, Executive Officer.