

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Keeping au courant, Mr. Secretary, in order for the city to do this, if it is a city that needs money and is in bad shape, and it also needs funds to develop an industrial park to give employment to these people, I am wondering why this was not included as so many other things were in the Demonstration Cities Act.

Secretary WEAVER. The reason for it is dual. In the first place, as I said earlier, we want this to be concerned primarily with housing and with the human elements involved.

Secondly, under the existing urban renewal program the city now has Federal support up to two-thirds for the provision of industrial parks, so that it can do this out of the regular program that can be coordinated into this. There is no lack of assistance for that now.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. But for the most part it is 50 percent, and I also—

Secretary WEAVER. For the economic development program, but up to two-thirds for writing down the cost of blighted land in our urban renewal program. The manner of developing the land and the site for the industrial park could come in as an urban renewal project. Now, the actual development of that would probably come under the economic development program. Let's assume that you had a city developing an industrial park, and it went through urban renewal to get the site, and used our two-thirds grant to write down the cost of the site. It would be two-thirds Federal money and one-third local. On that one-third local, the proportion of it that would result in improvement and employment for the people involved here—they would get 80 percent of that one-third. If the other Federal program, economic development, which deals with the development as contrasted to the making of the land available were involved, and that were a 50-percent grant, with respect to the proportion of the local contribution to that grant which helped these people, they could get an 80-percent grant from this program. So this proposal does cover it, just as it would cover the building of the houses.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. I know my time is up, but I would like to make one observation, because we will be back to the Secretary, I am sure later on, Mr. Chairman.

On two occasions, the Secretary mentioned that we are primarily interested in housing. I make this observation, Mr. Secretary. If you give these people wonderful housing you must also give them jobs to afford to stay in that housing after 5 years.

Secretary WEAVER. I think this program would do it under the very approach you have suggested.

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Gonzalez?

Mr. GONZALEZ. I would like to defer questioning until this afternoon.

Mr. BARRETT. It seems to be agreeable to the Secretary. I am sure that he will answer your questions.

Mr. Reuss?

Mr. REUSS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, in commenting to Mr. Ashley and Mr. Moorhead on the four amendments which were put to you, and particularly on the amendment to require the development of an expedited program for new systems of urban transport, when you indicated you approved of that, I thought your approval was a little hesitant, and that you