

SUMMARY TABLE

Hypothetical city demonstration program for a city with a population of over 500,000

[Dollars in millions]

	1-year program	5-year program
A. Expenditure program component:		
1. Federally assisted activities:		
(a) Capital improvements—Demonstration area	\$23.7	\$118.5
(b) Public and social services—Demonstration area	22.9	114.5
(c) Other capital improvements—Demonstration program connected ¹	5.9	29.5
Subtotal	52.5	262.5
2. Municipal and State public expenditures (unrelated to Federal assistance programs): ¹		
(a) Existing municipal expenditures ¹	8.0	40.0
(b) New and supplemental municipal service	7.6	38.0
(c) State expenditures (within city) ¹	1.0	5.0
Subtotal	16.6	83.0
3. Private sector:		
(a) Private nonprofit organizations ¹	3.4	17.0
(b) Private housing construction and rehabilitation ¹	5.0	25.0
(c) Private nonresidential construction and rehabilitation ¹	4.0	20.0
Subtotal	12.4	62.0
4. Totals	81.5	407.5
B. Derivation of supplemental grant entitlement (non-Federal share):		
Capital improvements in demonstration area	7.7	
Other capital improvements—Demonstration program connected	2.0	
Public and social services in demonstration area	9.9	
Total	19.6	
80 percent of total	15.7	
C. Use of supplemental grants (in demonstration area):		
1. Urban rehabilitation and capital improvements	4.5	
2. Payment of portion of non-Federal share under categorical Federal grant-in-aid programs for public and social services	3.6	
3. New and supplemental municipal services	7.6	
Subtotal	15.7	
D. Federal share under categorical aid programs	18.4	
E. Total Federal expenditures	34.1	

¹ Figures prorated to demonstration area.

NOTE.—Sum of figures may not equal total shown due to rounding.

Mrs. SULLIVAN. You say on page 8 of your statement:

The Federal assistance authorized by the demonstration cities bill will be provided to a city demonstration agency. This may be the city or any local public agency established or designated by the local governing body to administer the comprehensive city demonstration program.

Could this new program possibly come under the existing housing authority that is already set up?

Secretary WEAVER. It could. I think the difficulty is that this will involve not only urban renewal and public housing—which in St. Louis is under one administrator—but also the school system, the board, which always has a sort of peculiar relationship, the welfare people, and the people who are in the OEO program. And you have such a diversification—it certainly would involve the planning board, and it would involve any group that is responsible for the planning and operation of mass transit. It has been our experience that very