improve the social and economic work potential conditions of the peoples, improve the face of the area, and you want to improve their housing. But in the meantime, how could you give them better housing while you are doing this?

Am I reading this section correctly, this requirement correctly?

Secretary Weaver. No. I think this requirement says, in effect, that there must be a relocation program which assures that there will be an adequate amount of relocation housing available before people are displaced. You may go about this in several ways. In a project which we now have, a demonstration in New York City on 114th Street, which is rehabilitation, we took 20 buildings, one of which was vacant, and we moved the families from the first building that we rehabilitated into the vacant one after we had rehabilitated that, and with a regular turnover. The next time we were able to do two buildings. And so we went progressively.

Mr. St Germain. Was this in a rehabilitation area? Secretary Weaver. Most of this is rehabilitation.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. And it is contemplated that under this demon-

stration cities that it will be rehabilitation?

Secretary Weaver. Definitely. I say, when we talk about this total program, we expect the number of dwellings to be rehabilitated to be 250,000, and the number of new buildings for high and moderate income housing about 40,000. So this is primarily a rehabilitation program.

Mr. BARRETT. The gentleman's time has expired.

Mr. St GERMAIN. Did the Chairman deduct the time I had to relinquish?

Mr. BARRETT. I think I allowed for that.

But perhaps Mr. Reuss would be glad to yield for another question.

Mr. St Germain. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Section 11. Consultation: it says here that the Secretary will confer with Federal agencies administering the program. And let's get back to No. 8 that I referred to about the establishing of the criteria. I didn't notice anything about consulting with perhaps the members of the authorized committee on some of these areas. And I wonder if the Secretary had any intentions of doing that.

Secretary Weaver. Well, I think that the consultation there should be properly through the other Secretaries or the other heads of the agencies. I think there would be a good deal of feeling, and I think rightfully, about these Secretaries going back to their authorizing committees. I think that this would be their responsibility. And mine would be to get this cooperation to explain what we are trying to do and to find out how far they could go to cooperate with us and facilitate that cooperation. But I don't think I should go back behind them to—

Mr. St Germain. I was thinking about this particular Secretary and this particular authorizing committee, in view of the fact that this is a demonstration act in experience.

Secretary Weaver. I think I would do that without any word or

direction.

Mr. St Germain. One last comment, since my time is up, and that is, on the Federal coordinators, some of the gentlemen are proposing an amendment to make these permanent. Is that it?