

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Mayor, I want to tell you, you have made a splendid statement. And because of your experience in running a large city, we will give it very careful attention.

If I know you as a go-getter, is there a possibility that you have a plan for a city demonstration project in Newark now in your pocket?

Mr. ADDONIZIO. Mr. Chairman, we have, of course, submitted a request for planning funds and ultimate designations as a project city. But I do not have a specific program prepared. All mayors, I am certain, and their city planners have a hundred ideas and a hundred programs ready to go. I admit some very definite ideas. But basically, our planning will be designed to bring together the scientists, physical planners, and the community people themselves. We want a program that comes out of the community, not one that is forced onto us. I am sure our program will involve parks, neighborhood centers, schools, and a variety of housing, street widening, and all of the standard approaches. But I expect this will include them in a novel manner, and that it will include some surprises too.

I believe that that is what this bill is all about.

Mr. BARRETT. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

You are proposing that we appropriate a total of \$10 billion to cover just the housing and urban development share of the program, or \$10 billion for a total program, including the cost of other participating departments, agencies and programs. What is your comment?

Mr. ADDONIZIO. I am suggesting that the total cost of the program—that is, all facets of it—be \$10 billion.

I also feel that this would be directly appropriated for this act, leaving untouched the appropriations of other acts.

I think that doing this, the funding of all facets of programing of this act in this way would end fears of diversion of funds from non-participating cities, and greatly simplify the administration of the program.

I think that many cities would be concerned that if we did not fund it in this manner that this may well have money taken from those from other sources.

Mr. BARRETT. May I just ask you this one question in closing. You indicate that \$2.3 billion is not adequate, is that right?

Mr. ADDONIZIO. That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Widnall?

Mr. WIDNALL. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Mayor, I think you have made a very constructive statement. And I think the point that you make about concentrating on a few demonstration cities has great value, because again we can waste our effort by trying to spread it too thin.

Mr. ADDONIZIO. At the same time, Mr. Widnall, I want to make it perfectly clear that in the planning phase of it, I want to include as many cities as possible.

Mr. WIDNALL. This, I understand. And I think it is very constructive suggestion, too.

Do you have in mind at this time any particular criteria that you feel should be utilized in making a choice for the demonstration? I just have this in mind right now. I have seen some figures that would suggest that New York City can use \$4 billion; Chicago, \$2 billion; Los Angeles, \$2 billion; Philadelphia, \$1 billion; Detroit, \$1 billion;