

agreed to a man that it was necessary to first qualify Detroit as a demonstration city. One way to do it was to stimulate the great private sector of our community and spur greater private investment. If the Federal Government and local government proposed to spend a millions of dollars in a demonstration city area, well, then, the private community through this nonprofit development corporation should do likewise and probably do an even greater job. And I am very pleased with the very great response, because here we had some extremely politically conservative businessmen that recognized the need for this sort of thing. There was not a voice raised in dissent, I might add.

Mrs. SULLIVAN. Thank you very much.

That is all, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Fino?

Mr. FINO. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Mayor, first I want to compliment you on your statement here this morning. It is very interesting and very enlightening.

I want to say for the record—and I said this to Dr. Weaver as well as others that have testified—that I favor and support this legislation, its purposes and objectives.

There are only two provisions in this bill that disturb me. The one the chairman has alluded to which provides for the creation of a Federal coordinator, which I consider a commissar. I am afraid that such a coordinator might interfere with the autonomous powers of the local government. I fear that he might have too much power and interfere with local government.

Yesterday, the mayor of Newark testified before this committee. And in connection with a similar question, he went on to say that he would be willing to have the local government make the recommendations or suggestions. I would like to read part of his testimony. He said—and this is Mayor Addonizio of Newark, in New Jersey—he said:

I certainly would hope that the Secretary would check with the mayor of a particular city before anyone is appointed to that responsibility. And I would hope that it would be someone from the local areas.

He went on further to say:

I would hope that you would write that into the law or into the bill. And I have no objections to it.

Now, as the Federal coordinator, do you think that we should write into the legislation that the communities concerned be charged with submitting nominations from which the Secretary of this Department could make his appointments?

Mr. CAVANAGH. My response to that, Mr. Congressman, would be a very emphatic "Yes." I think the local coordinator should be one sympathetic not only to the aims but the particular problems of a community. I think it might well be that that local coordinator could best be recruited from the local area rather than from the Federal Establishment maybe in some other area. And I truly believe that a coordinator is needed and badly needed in this program.

I also think that the point which you raise is well taken, that it should be a person who understands and is sympathetic to the local problems and the local aim. I would agree that the local—either the