

interesting, and addresses itself to this point that you make, Mr. Congressman.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Following up my previous question, as to your contemplated plan for the city of Detroit, have you formulated or come to a cost, a projected cost for what your particular program would cost for the city of Detroit under this act?

Mr. CAVANAGH. Well, the cost of our original model city program, which was submitted last fall before this message of the President, was about \$2.5 billion.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Billion?

Mr. CAVANAGH. Yes; \$2.5 billion. Of course that would be programmed over a period of 5 years. And I think that the new proposal would not be substantially different from that.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Tell me, Mr. Mayor, would that be for everything or just the Federal share?

Mr. CAVANAGH. That is the total share, including local contributions.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. I wonder if you could submit to us later on for the record what the Federal cost would be?

Mr. CAVANAGH. Yes; I would be most happy to.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(The information follows:)

INFORMATION ABOUT THE FEDERAL COST OF DETROIT'S MODEL CITY PROPOSAL

The city of Detroit submitted a proposal to the Department of Housing and Urban Development in November of 1965 before President Johnson's city demonstration proposal was made public. Our proposals for the city of Detroit will need to be reworked in line with these guidelines, and we are now in the process of doing so. We are anxious to give the greatest emphasis in our proposal to social renewal and the needs of neighborhoods.

Our original proposal would call for a total expenditure of \$982,036,000. This total was broken down roughly as follows: \$550 million for water and sewer improvements, \$204 million for freeway construction, \$50 million for industrial renewal, \$27 million for a cultural center, \$38 million for various park projects, \$8.9 million for the construction and initial operation of family centers, and \$9 million for various demonstration grants, including central business district improvements, regional libraries, strip commercial development, rapid transit, traffic control, and the application of scientific technology to the solution of urban problems. These total expenditure figures do not include the school systems educational proposals, and I must emphasize that they do not represent the amount of Federal contribution we are seeking for the city of Detroit. The amount of the Federal contribution would, as you know, vary for each of the projects involved in our proposal according to existing grant-in-aid formulas.

Again, I want to emphasize that I have described our preliminary proposal, submitted to HUD last November and that we are now in the process of revising the proposal so that it will conform to the guidelines established by the President's message and the requirements of the legislation before you today.

Mr. BARRETT. The gentleman's time has expired.

Mr. REUSS?

Mr. REUSS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to add my thanks to those of my colleagues for your marvelous testimony. And most of the questions I wanted to ask have been asked, so I will be brief.

I am glad Mrs. Dwyer brought up the question of new towns. And I was glad to hear you say in response to Mrs. Dwyer's question that the Conference of Mayors was working on the problem and it would have a position. I call your attention to the fact that Secretary