

New York, but take a look firsthand at some of the sections that have been pointed out to us on that map.

Mr. LINDSAY. That is a most welcome suggestion.

Mr. WIDNALL. To see exactly what the problems are.

Mr. LINDSAY. Congressman, that is a most welcome suggestion to me. You are all very welcome. I would be delighted if the Committee should come to New York City to be our guests. And we will be your guide and show you around.

Mr. WIDNALL. Thank you.

Just one more question. You said that you thought just in one section you could take \$300 million for urban renewal and \$300 million for social welfare. Three hundred million for urban renewal. What percentage of that would you use for residential and what for commercial development?

Mr. LINDSAY. I think it is impossible to break that down at this moment. I think it is safe to say that the majority of it would be residential. That is our most critical need.

Mr. WIDNALL. Would it be well over 50 percent?

Mr. LINDSAY. Yes.

Mr. WIDNALL. I still have that concern, that these areas are primarily residential today, with small stores. And I realize that you are going to have to have park space, open space in connection with redevelopment. And I would hope that the great emphasis would be on residential, redevelopment, particularly of housing for the poor.

Mr. LINDSAY. I think my answer would have to be "Yes," that that is the great emphasis. And when you say you would hope that the great emphasis would be residential, as far as New York is concerned, the answer is "Yes," it would be.

Mr. WIDNALL. Thank you.

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. St Germain has a short question.

And I would also advise that Mr. Ashley desires to rush back here after he answers his name to ask you some questions. I am sure Mr. St Germain will keep you entertained until that time. Mr. St Germain.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Perhaps this question would be better directed to Mr. Logue, whom I presume has been working on the programs for the city of New York.

In section 4, where the criteria are mentioned, the third part refers—I will quote it for you—"The program provides for educational and social services necessary to serve the poor and disadvantaged in the area, widespread citizen participation in the program, maximum opportunities," et cetera. I want to ask you, how do you interpret this particular wording, "Widespread citizen participation in the program"?

Would you interpret it as some sections of the war on poverty are interpreted, where those people whom we seek to help are asked to be part of the administration of the program?

Mr. LOGUE. Congressman, most respectfully, we were engaged in planning with people in project areas long before the poverty program was made into law. In Wooster Square in New Haven, in the Dixwell area in New Haven, and the various neighborhood projects in Boston, we have always reached out and dealt with the communities and sought out their views, walked the streets of the neighborhood