

Mr. ST GERMAIN. How about the area you are going to put them into? Then the implication is that the relief rolls of the adjoining communities would then have to take over.

Mr. SHISHKIN. No. I think that what is proposed here is a total program in which you do have in one package not only the rehousing but also the welfare service, the training, and all the elements that are needed to put these people on their feet to enable them to help themselves; to do a total job of that kind would not require further relief rolls. The objective here is to reduce the relief rolls and eventually eliminate the problem.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. Mr. Shishkin, what would you think of this committee giving thought to a concept whereby we would have a two-section or two-stage demonstration cities act? One for the larger cities and one for the smaller cities? It is my information that we have approximately 100 cities of 300,000 population or above. We have approximately 5 cities whose population is 1 million or above. If we have a Demonstration Cities Act the mere title indicates that the purpose behind this is to find or conceive a plan which will cure the ills of our cities and help the citizens within our cities. And if we are going to do that, certainly I think we ought to start thinking of— If we have 100 cities whose population is 300,000 or above, and 5 or 6 cities with the population of a million, then the demonstration, I think the important demonstration will be in those cities of 300,000 or below where their problems are entirely different from a city like New York, Detroit, or Los Angeles, or San Francisco, where, because of size alone there is such a magnitude of problems.

Mr. SHISHKIN. Mr. St Germain, the whole burden of my testimony was that this ought to be a problem across the board, including middle-sized cities and smaller cities. And I think that that is the intent of this proposal, that is the intent of the entire message by the President, and that is the intent of this legislation.

Now, you are asking me to specify a line in which you would separate this into class I and class II, the big cities and the small cities. I am not willing to do that. I think this ought to include both. But I am not going to be put in a position where we are going to get under the Shishkin wire; I will leave that to the committee. You have the evidence.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. On page 3 of your statement, I was happy to see that you agree that in addition to the mere development of new housing and improvements in existing housing that we should also see to it that an effort is made to provide more job opportunities. Now, I am not talking about just those opportunities that will be provided by the rebuilding, but I am talking of those of a permanent nature.

Mr. SHISHKIN. Industrial development, too.

Mr. ST GERMAIN. That is correct. Don't you feel that the committee should give some thought to industrial development within this particular Demonstration Cities Act, and also to see to it that once we have improved or made the supreme attempt to improve a lot of these cities, that it will be a lasting effect, and in order to be lasting we must provide or see that they provide employment on a permanent basis?

Mr. SHISHKIN. That is right. And in land acquisition for these communities that provision should be made for sites for industrial de-