

the capital improvement programs of all city, county, and State agencies with public work and building programs in Chicago.

While we recognize some interim guidelines and criteria are needed during the development of the plans we would recommend that since all cities have their own individual and unique administrative and organizational structures, that any administrative requirements, procedures, and criteria other than those stated in the bill be deferred until such time as plans from cities throughout the country have been submitted and reviewed.

Further, it would be indeed difficult for any agency to determine the actual amount of funds necessary to achieve the objectives of the Demonstration Act when the cities in the United States vary in their resources, economic strength, extent, and quality of programs, and in social structure and environment. The Chicago program alone, I believe, makes it apparent that the only way to arrive at a reasonable estimate of the total funds needed would be by examining the plans submitted by the various cities. In the meantime, however, it is obvious that the \$2.3 billion is far from adequate to carry on a program of this magnitude. It is also obvious that funds for planning must be substantially increased so as to provide an opportunity for every city to develop a demonstration program.

The preparation of a comprehensive plan involving the total environment will make a tremendous contribution to every city—and to the Nation by projecting the total needs of urban life.

With reference to H.R. 12946, the Urban Development Act, and H.R. 13064, the Housing and Urban Development Program Amendments of 1966, we will, with the chairman's permission, furnish the subcommittee with a statement outlining our views on these measures after we have had an opportunity to complete our analysis of their provisions.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. BARRETT. Without objection, it may be done.

(The statement referred to was previously submitted, see the joint statement of the U.S. Conference of Mayors and National League of Cities, p. 199.)

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Daley, I want to thank you on behalf of the subcommittee for a very excellent and informative statement.

Mr. Mayor, I have one question. It is a little bit repetitious, because I have asked this of other mayors that have come before the committee.

First, I want to inform the members here this morning that we will continue under a 5-minute rule.

Mr. Mayor, I would like to ask you the same question that I asked Mayors Cavanagh and Lindsay earlier this week. Some people seem to have a fear that the Federal coordinator which the bill would set up for each demonstration city program would be some sort of a Federal dictator or czar. Now, I do not believe this, and I think the bill is clear that he would not be a dictator nor have dictatorial powers. But I would like to ask you these two questions. First, would the people who have such fear feel better, do you think, if we renamed this Federal official a local coordinator rather than a Federal coordinator?

And second, what do you think of the idea of making the services of the coordinator optional for participating cities rather than mandatory as provided by the bill, H.R. 12341?