We are hoping that there would be some way, as we mentioned in our statement, that the urban renewal program for all the cities would continue while you are trying to grapple with this very difficult problem of the cities you will select for the demonstration project. But I don't think any modification of these figures would give you the satisfaction of having taken care of all the cities; it would only let you take care of a few additional cities.

Mr. Moorhead. It would only take care of additional cities. But because the Federal share would not be so munificent there would be, I think, slightly less disappointment at being left out of the program.

Mr. Daley. But then you would have to remember what impact this would have on your going urban renewal program. If you are making this a demonstration grant, then it should be done in such a way so as to assure there will be no ill effect on participation in the regular ongoing urban renewal program.

Mr. Moorhead. I quite agree I was saying, instead of making

90 percent, or 80 percent, you could spread the dollars a little further.

Mr. Daley. You cannot. And I think this is one of the problems the Congress will have difficulty with. Every city feels—and I would support each one—every city feels that should be a part of this demonstration. And I would think every mayor feels that their city should be selected.

However, there are acute situations, as you know and I know, in

some of the cities that will determine priority.

Mr. Moorhead. Are you familiar with a proposed amendment which Mr. Reuss and Mr. Ashley and I have suggested which attempts to define the criteria of cities with the most serious needs or neighborhoods with the most serious needs? If you are familiar with that amendment, I would like to have a comment as to whether you favor or oppose it?

Mr. Daley. I am not familiar with the details of the amendment.

Congressman.

Mr. Moorhead. I do not have a copy of it before me. And my time has expired. Thank you.

Mr. BARRETT. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. Stephens?

Mr. Stephens. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have no questions.

Mr. Barrett. Mr. St Germain?

Mr. St Germain. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mayor Daley, does the State of Illinois help the various cities in their local share for urban renewal?

Mr. Daley. No, not since 1949.

Mr. St Germain. As you know, there are some States that do. Under the circumstances, in line with Mr. Moorhead's questioning on that 80 percent feature, do you feel that the local share referred to here should be the determining factor after deducting that share that the State is contributing to the local community, or do you think that the State's contribution should be considered as part of the local contribution?

Mr. Daley. First, I am firmly of the opinion that the State should

participate financially in all of these projects.

And secondly, I think if they do participate, then naturally it should be a part of the local participation.