Mr. Harvey. I have one other question.
Mr. Barrett. That may be submitted.

Mr. Barrett. That may be submitted. Mr. Harvey. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Referring to H.R. 12541

Mr. Daley. We do not have them with us now, but we can get them and submit them to you.

Mr. HARVEY. That is fine.

Then if you would refer to H.R. 12341, on page 3, "comprehensive city demonstration programs," section 4—do you have a copy of the bill there?

Mr. DALEY. No, I have not.

Mr. HARVEY. If you want, I will read the question, and—or you can

read it in the record, and I can get the answer later on.

My question is this, Mayor Daley, Do you feel that the conditions set forth in section 4(b) (1) of H.R. 12341, line 24 on page 3, should apply to all urban renewal programs provided the share of Federal

assistance is accordingly increased?

Mr. Daley. No. I thought I made it perfectly clear that I thought the ongoing urban renewal program had been established through the long process of trial and error. And the method and procedure we have is working quite successfully in every city in the United States, and I would not think that we would attempt to tamper with it and change it to do anything different.

Mr. HARVEY. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Barrett. Mr. Ashley?

Mr. Ashley. Mr. Mayor, I gathered from your responses to the questions of Mr. Moorhead and Mr. Reuss and Mr. St Germain that you recognize that there may be demonstration projects which relate to areas having different intensities of need, so that—I can put it this way—so that it would be expected that in the selection of projects it will be recognized that some represent problem areas more serious than others?

Mr. DALEY. That is right.

Mr. Ashley. Inasmuch as there is a problem here of funding all of the demonstration projects that the administration has indicated it is interested in doing; namely, about 60, don't you think that some thought might be given by the committee to a sliding scale for the Federal input? The bill sets forth the 80-percent formula, so that in any given project the Federal contribution would be 90 percent of the local share. On the basis that some needs are greater than others, would not it be worthy in your judgment for thought to be given to a sliding scale, let us say from 50 to 90 percent, rather than a straight 80 percent in every instance, depending on the degree of need, and hence the worthiness in the overall sense of the project.

Mr. Daley. This is a very difficult question. Every presentation will have the ingredients required by the Congress even if it might be only a block or two in a smaller city. I know what you are confronted with; it is not an easy question. We know that the demand is there, and we know that the amount is inadequate. And what you are saying is, How do we spread it out so that we can cover more people and more cities and at the same time try to do this job? And frankly, I

don't know the answer to that question.

Mr. Ashley. My only thought was that the criteria suggested by Mr. Reuss to which you indicated a general agreement are fairly