behalf of this means of growth, and the fact that if properly planned the new community concept will permit reductions in the expenditures of tax dollars for city services over those that would prevail through conventional expansion and growth. But this takes a long and persuasive discussion with an individual mayor in terms of his own town. Now, as I said in my statement, in both San Jose and Honolulu the mayors are the greatest advocates.

Mr. Barrett. I can appreciate that. And I certainly appreciate your statements here. But some of the mayors think differently.

Thank you very much. Mrs. Sullivan.?

Mrs. Sullivan. No questions, Mr. Chairman but I would like to observe that on the short trip we made to Honolulu last year some of the committee saw some of the new developments being done in Hawaii. Your testimony has been most helpful to the committee.

Mr. Barrett. Mr. Moorhead?

Mr. Moorhead. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Do I understand that the development of the new community in California is within the city limits of San Jose?

Mr. SIMPICH. That is correct.

Mr. Moorhead. And how about the development in Hawaii, is that within the city limits of Honolulu or outside?

Mr. Simpich. Within. The whole island there, it is the city of and county of Honolulu, so that the same zoning and tax base throughout

the island are involved.

Mr. Moorhead. I think it is significant that it is within the corporate limits, and you have the support of the mayors. I think that where we lose the support of the mayors is where we are planning a new community outside of the city limits. I would like to see if I understand correctly your position with respect to Chairman Barrett's question. If in order to get this legislation passed as a practical matter, if we would have to put some limits on the number of new communities, or the total amount of money authorized which would have substantially the same effect, you would regret this, but accept it as a step in the right direction and only wish it were a bigger step, is that correct?

Mr. Simpich. That is correct; yes. Mr. Moorhead. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. BARRETT. Thank you, Mr. Moorhead.

As I promised you, Mr. Simpich, we are not going to exhaust you this morning. We appreciate your very fine statement, and your answers and those of your associate to the questions.

All time has expired for your testimony. We thank you for your

very fine statements.

Mr. SIMPICH. Thank you.

Mr. Geldermann. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the

Mr. Barrett. Our next witness this morning will be Frederic A. Fay, president, National Association of Housing Redevelopment Officials. I wonder if Mr. Fay would come forward.

Mr. Fay, we are glad to have you this morning.

I notice you have an associate with you. Would you be kind enough to introduce your associate?