## Referendums on urban renewal issues

	<u> </u>	10.15	Acres 1	13 Fa T 15 Fa a 1 (5)	
City	For	Against	Date	Subject	
Philadelphia, Pa		73, 038	Nøy. 2, 1965	Bond issues.	
Smithville, Mo	322 366	227	O.4 . F 100E	A & Barrellan	
Liberty, Mo Lawson, Mo		291 109	Oct. 5, 1965 Sept. 7, 1965	Authority. Do.	
Excelsior Springs: Mo	300	130		Do.	
Louisville, Kv	70, 712	7,819	Nev. 2, 1965 Mily 17, 1965 June 12, 1965 Sept. 27, 1965 Oct. 5, 1965 Sept. 21, 1965 June 15, 1965 Oct. 5 1965	Bond issue.	1 1 2 2 2
Dedham, Mass	70, 712 141	60	May 17, 1965	Project.	A series of
Louisville, Ky Dedham, Mass Do	1,711		June 12, 1965	Do.	1000
Adams, Mass St. Petersberg, Fla. San Diego, Calif. Hawthorne, Calif.	1, 711 135 12, 232 37, 4% 2, 164	1	Sept. 27, 1965	Do.	1.
St. Petersberg, Fla	12, 232	35, 150	Oct. 5, 1965	Authority.	
San Diego, Calif	31.4%	62.6% 3,138	Sept. 21, 1900	Do. Do.	And the day for
Santa Rosa, Calif	90%	10%	Oct. 5, 1965	Bond issue.	
Dania Rosa, Cam	3070	10/6	0, 1000	Dona assac.	
Berkeley Heights, N.J	1 to 5 a	gainst		Project.	
Columbus, Ohio	26, 000	54, 000	Nov. 2, 1965	Bond issue.	
Fridley, Minn Little Rock, Ark	1 to 3 a	gainst 1 for	Nov. 2, 1965 Nov. 2, 1965	Project. Bond issue.	
Little Rock, Ark	4 10	1 101	1407. 2, 1900	Boliu issue.	
Omaha, Nebr	31, 121	64, 319	May 11, 1965 Apr. 6, 1965 Feb. 16, 1965	Authority.	
Omaha, NebrAlton, Ill.	4, 609	7, 104	Apr. 6, 1965	Do.	
Fairfield, Conn Franklin, Mass	3, 931	8,088	Feb. 16, 1965	Bond issue.	
Franklin, Mass	546	595	Mar. 11, 1965	Project.	
Miami, Fla	50, 277	48, 922	Jan. 28, 1964	Referendum.	
Los Angeles, Calif Marysville, Calif	6 to 0 8	igainst 1.061	Jan. 21, 1964	Authority.	
San Antonio, Tex	Overwhelm	ng approval			
San Antonio, Tex Kansas City, Mo St. Ignatius, Mont	64.7% approva	l (not enough)		Elderly.	
St. Ignatius, Mont	87	52	March 1964	Authority.	
		1,440			
Roanoke, Va	13,712	564	Mar. 24, 1964	Bond issue.	
Madison, Wis	18, 121	18, 488	April 1964	Authority.	
Waukegan, III	4,099	9, 400	T 20 1084	Doforon dama	
Roanoke, Va. Madison, Wis. Waukegan, Ill Alameda, Calif.	2, 962 2, 969	8, 171 8, 251	June 30, 1964	Referendum. Project.	
Bangor Maine	4, 044	3, 568	June 1964	Do.	
Bangor, Maine Benton Harbor, Mich	34		1000	Technical raising of fur	nds through
大作 医乳糖 二甲基二甲基甲二甲基	1			mileage assessment.	
Bethlehem, Pa Denver, Colo	5 to 7 a	against		Bond issue.	
Denver, Colo	29, 344	34, 300	June 30, 1964	Do.	
Gainesville, Ga	2 10	1 for		Do.	
Manchester, Conn	3, 000 3, 874	1, 219 1, 240		Do. Do.	
Newport, KySouth Milwaukee, Wis	2,300	5, 300		Authority.	
Kansas City, Kans	(1)	(1)		Authority.	
New York State	1, 414, 174	2, òí8, 579		Proposed changes in newal and housing	urban re-
30	<b>#</b> 00	0.500		constitution.	
Monroe, Mich Hazard, Ky Baltimore, Md	709	3,780		Program.	
Politimore Md	1, 264 83, 131	659 <b>70, 983</b>		Project.	
Baltimore County, Md	58, 988	93, 466	1 1	Bond issue. Do.	
Baltimore County, Md Cleveland, Ohio	62.6% a	pproval		Do.	
Orlando, Fla	8,027	15, 911		Authority.	
Orlando, FlaRutland, Vt	3, 927	4, 764		Project.	
1)0	2,523	4,706		Bond issue.	
Lexington, Ky Columbus, Ohio Wilmington, Del	4, 341	10, 749		Project.	
Columbus, Ohio	52% approval	; needed 55%		Bond issue.	
Wilmington, Del	3 to 2 m	argin for		Do	
Philadelphia, Pa Sapulpa, Okla	2 to 1 w	argin for ejection		Do.	100
Santa Monica, Calif	(3)	(3)			- 1
Livonia, Ga	250	75	Apr. 14, 1963	Authority.	
Hoquiam, Wash		bond issue			
	l		1		1 1

Mr. Chairman, support for my bill has come from many quarters. The amazing thing is that, while I have not publicized the proposal or solicited support, letters and petitions of support have come to me in unusual quantities. Approximately 300 persons have signed petitions favoring my bill, H.R. 7434. The petitioners come from Chicago,

Bond issue got majority but not required 65 percent.
 Voters transferred by 8 to 1 margin responsibility for urban renewal program from housing authority to mayor.
 Approved program of storm drain centers as support noncash grant in aid for urban renewal program